

Technical Report 474

# RABBIT: A Compiler for SCHEME

Guy Lewis Steele

MIT Artificial Intelligence Laboratory



REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER TR474	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) RABBIT: A Compiler for SCHEME (A Study in Compiler Optimization)		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Technical report
		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(s) Guy Lewis Steele		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) N00014-75-C-0643
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Artificial Intelligence Laboratory 545 Technology Square Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Advanced Research Projects Agency 1400 Wilson Blvd Arlington, Virginia 22209		12. REPORT DATE May 1978
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 272
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office) Office of Naval Research Information Systems Arlington, Virginia 22217		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)  Distribution of this document is unlimited.		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES  None		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) compiler optimization                      tail-recursion code generation                              lambda calculus LISP    lexical scoping macros    continuations		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) We have developed a compiler for the lexically-scoped dialect of LISP known as SCHEME. The compiler knows relatively little about specific data manipulation primitives such as arithmetic operators, but concentrates on general issues of environment and control. Rather than having specialized knowledge about a large variety of control and environment constructs, the compiler handles only a small basis set which reflects the semantics of lambda-calculus. All of the traditional imperative constructs, such as sequencing, assignment, looping, GOTO, as well as many standard (cont'd)		

## BLOCK 20 CONTINUED

LISP constructs such as AND, OR, and COND, are expressed as macros in terms of the applicative basis set. A small number of optimization techniques, coupled with the treatment of function calls as GOTO statements, serve to produce code as good as that produced by more traditional compilers. The macro approach enables speedy implementation of new constructs as desired without sacrificing efficiency in the generated code.

A fair amount of analysis is devoted to determining whether environments may be stack-allocated or must be heap-allocated. Heap-allocated environments are necessary in general because SCHEME (unlike Algol 60 and Algol 68, for example) allows procedures with free lexically scoped variable to be returned as the values of other procedures; the Algol stack-allocation environment strategy does not suffice. The methods used here indicate that a heap-allocating generalization of the "display" technique leads to an efficient implementation of such "upward funargs". Moreover, compile-time optimization and analysis can eliminate many "funargs" entirely, and so far fewer environment structures need be allocated at run time than might be expected.

A subset of SCHEME (rather than triples, for example) serves as the representation intermediate between the optimized SCHEME code and the final output code; code is expressed in this subset in the so-called continuation-passing style. As a subset of SCHEME, it enjoys the same theoretical properties; one could even apply the same optimizer used on the input code to the intermediate code. However, the subset is so chosen that all temporary quantities are made manifest as variables, and no control stack is needed to evaluate it. As a result, this apparently applicative representation admits an imperative interpretation which permits easy transcription to final imperative machine code. These qualities suggest that an applicative language like SCHEME is a better candidate for an UNCOL than the more imperative candidates proposed to date.

This research was conducted at the Artificial Intelligence Laboratory of the Massachusetts Institute of Technology. Support for the Laboratory's artificial intelligence research is provided in part by the Advanced Research Projects Agency of the Department of Defense under Office of Naval Research contract number N00014-75-C-0643.



**RABBIT:**  
**A Compiler for SCHEME**  
**(A Dialect of LISP)**

**A Study in**  
**Compiler Optimization**

**Based on Viewing**  
**LAMBDA as RENAME**  
**and**  
**PROCEDURE CALL as GOTO**

**using the techniques of**  
**Macro Definition of Control and Environment Structures**  
**Source-to-Source Transformation**  
**Procedure Integration**  
**and**  
**Tail-Recursion**

**Guy Lewis Steele Jr.**  
**Massachusetts Institute of Technology**  
**May 1978**

Revised version of a dissertation submitted (under the title "Compiler Optimization Based on Viewing LAMBDA as RENAME plus GOTO") to the Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science on May 12, 1977, in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science.





RABBIT: A Compiler for SCHEME (A Dialect of LISP)

A Study in Compiler Optimization  
Based on Viewing LAMBDA as RENAME and PROCEDURE CALL as GOTO

using the techniques of  
Macro Definition of Control and Environment Structures,  
Source-to-Source Transformation, Procedure Integration, and Tail-Recursion

Guy Lewis Steele Jr.  
Massachusetts Institute of Technology  
May 1978

ABSTRACT

We have developed a compiler for the lexically-scoped dialect of LISP known as SCHEME. The compiler knows relatively little about specific data manipulation primitives such as arithmetic operators, but concentrates on general issues of environment and control. Rather than having specialized knowledge about a large variety of control and environment constructs, the compiler handles only a small basis set which reflects the semantics of lambda-calculus. All of the traditional imperative constructs, such as sequencing, assignment, looping, GOTO, as well as many standard LISP constructs such as AND, OR, and COND, are expressed as macros in terms of the applicative basis set. A small number of optimization techniques, coupled with the treatment of function calls as GOTO statements, serve to produce code as good as that produced by more traditional compilers. The macro approach enables speedy implementation of new constructs as desired without sacrificing efficiency in the generated code.

A fair amount of analysis is devoted to determining whether environments may be stack-allocated or must be heap-allocated. Heap-allocated environments are necessary in general because SCHEME (unlike Algol 60 and Algol 68, for example) allows procedures with free lexically scoped variables to be returned as the values of other procedures; the Algol stack-allocation environment strategy does not suffice. The methods used here indicate that a heap-allocating generalization of the "display" technique leads to an efficient implementation of such "upward funargs". Moreover, compile-time optimization and analysis can eliminate many "funargs" entirely, and so far fewer environment structures need be allocated at run time than might be expected.

A subset of SCHEME (rather than triples, for example) serves as the representation intermediate between the optimized SCHEME code and the final output code; code is expressed in this subset in the so-called continuation-passing style. As a subset of SCHEME, it enjoys the same theoretical properties; one could even apply the same optimizer used on the input code to the intermediate code. However, the subset is so chosen that all temporary quantities are made manifest as variables, and no control stack is needed to evaluate it. As a result, this apparently applicative representation admits an imperative interpretation which permits easy transcription to final imperative machine code. These qualities suggest that an applicative language like SCHEME is a better candidate for an UNCOL than the more imperative candidates proposed to date.

Thesis Supervisor: Gerald Jay Sussman  
Title: Associate Professor of Electrical Engineering



### Note

The first part of this report is a slightly revised version of a dissertation submitted in May 1977. Where it was of historical interest to reflect changes in the SCHEME language which occurred in the following year and the effect they had on RABBIT, the text was left intact, with notes added of the form, "Since the dissertation was written, thus-and-so occurred." The second part, the Appendix, was not part of the dissertation, and is a complete listing of the source code for RABBIT, with extensive commentary.

It is intended that the first part should be self-contained, and provide a qualitative overview of the compilation methods used in RABBIT. The second part is provided for those readers who would like to examine the precise mechanisms used to carry out the general methods.

Thus there are five levels of thoroughness at which the reader may consume this document:

- (1) The reader who wishes only to skim is advised to read sections 1, 5, 6, possibly 7, 8A, 8B, 8C, 10, 11, and 12. This will give a basic overview, including the use of macros and the optimizing techniques.
- (2) The reader who also wants to know about the details of SCHEME, the run-time system, and a long example is advised to read the entire main text (about a third of the document).
- (3) The reader who wants to understand the low-level organization of the algorithms, and read about the more tricky special cases, should read the main text and then the commentary on the code.
- (4) The reader who additionally wants to understand the nit-picking details should read the code along with the commentary.
- (5) The reader who wants a real feel for the techniques involved should read the entire document, invent three new SCHEME constructs and write macros for them, and then reimplement the compiler for another run-time environment. (He ought please also to send a copy of any documents on such a project to this author, who would be very interested!)



## Acknowledgements

I would like to acknowledge the contributions to this work of the following people and other entities:

Gerald Jay Sussman, who is not only my thesis advisor but a colleague and a good friend; who is fun to hack programs with; who not only provided insights on the issues of programming, but also was willing to give me a kick in the right direction when necessary;

Jon Doyle, one of the first real "users" of SCHEME, who was always willing to discuss my problems, and who carefully proofread the thesis in one day when no one else would or could;

Richard Zippel, the other first real SCHEME user, who has discussed with me many possibilities for the practical use of SCHEME-like languages in such large systems as MACSYMA;

Carl Hewitt, whose actors metaphor inspired in part first SCHEME and then the investigations presented here;

Scott Fahlman, who has Great Ideas, and who paid some of his dues at the same place I did;

Jon L White, resident LISP compiler expert and agreeable office-mate, who likes both tea and ();

Dan Weinreb, Bernie Greenberg, Richard Stallman, Dave Moon, Howard Cannon, Alan Bawden, Henry Baker, and Richard Greenblatt for their companionship, advice, comments, enthusiasm, criticism, and/or constructive opposition;

the rest of the gang at the AI Lab and Project MAC (loosely known as the Lab for Computer Science), for their continued interest in my work and for the pleasant social atmosphere they provide;

Bill Wulf, Charles Geschke, Richard Johnsson, Charles Weinstock, and Steven Hobbs, whose work on BLISS-11 I found a great inspiration, for it told me that there was at least one beautiful compiler already;

Dan Friedman and Dave Wise, who also know that LISP is the One True Way;

Dick Gabriel, a most singular person (that's odd...), who knows that Lapin is best dealt with gingerly;

the National Science Foundation, which provided the fellowship under which this work was done;

Cindy Ellis and J.J. McCabe, who always treated me as just a regular guy;

Julie Genovese, my main (and only) groupie;

the congregation at the Brighton Evangelical Congregational Church, for their social and moral support;

Mittens Jr., our cat, who was willing to communicate when the rest of the world was asleep;

Chuck, the peculiar poodle, who carried on as best she could after Mittens Jr. had gone, and who still barks in the night;

my brother, David A. Steele, who has kept me up to date on cultural affairs, and who probably understands me better than anyone else;

and my parents, Guy L. Steele Sr. and Nalora Steele, who provided unbounded amounts of patience, encouragement, opportunity, and support.



## Contents

<u>1. Introduction</u>	7
<u>A. Background</u>	7
<u>B. The Thesis</u>	10
<u>2. The Source Language - SCHEME</u>	15
<u>3. The Target Language</u>	18
<u>4. The Target Machine</u>	22
<u>5. Language Design Considerations</u>	25
<u>6. The Use of Macros</u>	28
<u>7. The Imperative Treatment of Applicative Constructs</u>	37
<u>8. Compilation Strategy</u>	44
<u>A. Alpha-conversion and macro-expansion</u>	45
<u>B. Preliminary analysis</u>	46
<u>C. Optimization</u>	49
<u>D. Conversion to Continuation-Passing Style</u>	56
<u>E. Environment and closure analysis</u>	60
<u>F. Code generation</u>	64
<u>9. Example: Compilation of Iterative Factorial</u>	69
<u>10. Performance Measurements</u>	86
<u>11. Comparison with Other Work</u>	88
<u>12. Conclusions and Future Work</u>	90
<u>Notes</u>	93
<u>References</u>	113
<u>Appendix</u>	117





## 1. Introduction

The work described here is a continuation (!) of that described in [SCHEME], [Imperative], and [Declarative]. Before enumerating the points of the thesis, we summarize here each of these documents.

### A. Background

In [SCHEME] we (Gerald Jay Sussman and the author) described the implementation of a dialect of LISP named SCHEME with the properties of lexical scoping and tail-recursion; this implementation is embedded within MacLISP [Moon], a version of LISP which does not have these properties. The property of lexical scoping (that a variable can be referenced only from points textually within the expression which binds it) is a consequence of the fact that all functions are closed in the "binding environment". [Moses] That is, SCHEME is a "full-funarg" LISP dialect. {Note Full-Funarg Example} The property of tail-recursion implies that loops written in an apparently recursive form will actually be executed in an iterative fashion. Intuitively, function calls do not "push control stack"; instead, it is argument evaluation which pushes control stack. The two properties of lexical scoping and tail-recursion are not independent. In most LISP systems [LISP1.5M] [Moon] [Teitelman], which use dynamic scoping rather than lexical, tail-recursion is impossible because function calls must push control stack in order to be able to undo the dynamic bindings after the return of the function. On the other hand, it is possible to have a lexically scoped LISP which does not tail-recuse, but it is easily seen that such an implementation only wastes storage space needlessly compared to a tail-recurring implementation. [Steele] Together, these two properties cause

SCHEME to reflect lambda-calculus semantics much more closely than dynamically scoped LISP systems. SCHEME also permits the treatment of functions as full-fledged data objects; they may be passed as arguments, returned as values, made part of composite data structures, and notated as independent, unnamed ("anonymous") entities. (Contrast this with most ALGOL-like languages, in which a function can be written only by declaring it and giving it a name; imagine being able to use an integer value only by giving it a name in a declaration!) The property of lexical scoping allows this to be done in a consistent manner without the possibility of identifier conflicts (that is, SCHEME "solves the FUNARG problem" [Moses]). In [SCHEME] we also discussed the technique of "continuation-passing style", a way of writing programs in SCHEME such that no function ever returns a value.

In [Imperative] we explored ways of exploiting these properties to implement most traditional programming constructs, such as assignment, looping, and call-by-name, in terms of function application. Such applicative (lambda-calculus) models of programming language constructs are well-known to theoreticians (see [Stoy], for example), but have not been used in a practical programming system. All of these constructs are actually made available in SCHEME by macros which expand into these applicative definitions. This technique has permitted the speedy implementation of a rich user-level language in terms of a very small, easy-to-implement basis set of primitive constructs. In [Imperative] we continued the exploration of continuation-passing style, and noted that the escape operator CATCH is easily modelled by transforming a program into this style. We also pointed out that transforming a program into this style enforces a particular order of argument evaluation, and makes all intermediate computational quantities manifest as variables.

In [Declarative] we examined more closely the issue of tail-recursion,

and demonstrated that the usual view of function calls as pushing a return address must lead to an either inefficient or inconsistent implementation, while the tail-recursive approach of SCHEME leads to a uniform discipline in which function calls are treated as GOTO statements which also pass arguments. We also noted that a consequence of lexical scoping is that the only code which can reference the value of a variable in a given environment is code which is closed in that environment or which receives the value as an argument; this in turn implies that a compiler can structure a run-time environment in any arbitrary fashion, because it will compile all the code which can reference that environment, and so can arrange for that code to reference it in the appropriate manner. Such references do not require any kind of search (as is commonly and incorrectly believed in the LISP community because of early experience with LISP interpreters which search a-lists) because the compiler can determine the precise location of each variable in an environment at compile time. It is not necessary to use a standard format, because neither interpreted code nor other compiled code can refer to that environment. (This is to be contrasted with "spaghetti stacks" [Bobrow and Wegbreit].) In [Declarative] we also carried on the analysis of continuation-passing style, and noted that transforming a program into this style elucidates traditional compilation issues such as register allocation because user variables and intermediate quantities alike are made manifest as variables on an equal footing. Appendix A of [Declarative] contained an algorithm for converting any SCHEME program (not containing ASET) to continuation-passing style.

We have implemented two compilers for the language SCHEME. The purpose was to explore compilation techniques for a language modelled on lambda-calculus, using lambda-calculus-style models of imperative programming constructs. Both compilers use the strategy of converting the source program to continuation-

passing style.

The first compiler (known as CHEAPY) was written as a throw-away implementation to test the concept of conversion to continuation-passing style. The first half of CHEAPY is essentially the algorithm which appears in Appendix A of [Declarative], and the second is a simple code generator with almost no optimization. In conjunction with the writing of CHEAPY, the SCHEME interpreter was modified to interface to compiled functions. (This interface is described later in this report.)

The second compiler, with which we are primarily concerned here, is known as RABBIT. It, like CHEAPY, is written almost entirely in SCHEME (with minor exceptions due only to problems in interfacing with certain MacLISP I/O facilities). Unlike CHEAPY, it is fairly clever. It is intended to demonstrate a number of optimization techniques relevant to lexical environments and tail-recursive control structures. (The code for RABBIT, with commentary, appears in the Appendix.)

## B. The Thesis

- (1) Function calls are not expensive when compiled correctly; they should be thought of as GOTO statements that happen to pass arguments.
- (2) The combination of cheap function calls, lexical scoping, tail-recursion, and "anonymous" notation of functions (which are not independent properties of a language, but aspects of a single unified approach) permits the definition of a wide variety of "imperative" constructs in applicative terms. Because these properties result from adhering to the principles of the well-known lambda-calculus [Church], such definitions can be lifted intact from existing literature and used directly.

- (3) A macro facility (the ability to specify syntactic transformations) makes it practical to use these as the only definitions of imperative constructs in a programming system. Such a facility makes it extremely easy to define new constructs.
- (4) A few well-chosen optimization strategies enable the compilation of these applicative definitions into the imperative low-level code which one would expect from a traditional compiler.
- (5) The macro facility and the optimization techniques used by the compiler can be conceptually unified. The same properties which make it easy to write the macros make it easy to define optimizations correctly. In the same way that many programming constructs are defined in terms of a small, well-chosen basis set, so a large number of traditional optimization techniques fall out as special cases of the few used in RABBIT. This is no accident. The separate treatment of a large and diverse set of constructs necessitates separate optimization techniques for each. As the basis set of constructs is reduced, so is the set of interesting transformations. If the basis set is properly chosen, their combined effect is "multiplicative" rather than "additive".
- (6) The technique of compiling by converting to continuation-passing style elucidates some important compilation issues in a natural way. Intermediate quantities are made manifest; so is the precise order of evaluation. Moreover, this is all expressed in a language isomorphic to a subset of the source language SCHEME; as a result the continuation-passing style version of a program inherits many of the philosophical and practical advantages. For example, the same optimization techniques can be applied at this level as at the original source level. While the use of continuation-passing style may not make the decisions any easier, it provides an effective and

natural way to express the results of those decisions.

- (7) Continuation-passing style, while apparently applicative in nature, admits a peculiarly imperative interpretation as a consequence of the facts that it requires no control stack to be evaluated and that no functions ever return values. As a result, it is easily converted to an imperative machine language.
- (8) A SCHEME compiler should ideally be a designer of good data structures, since it may choose any representation whatsoever for environments. RABBIT has a rudimentary design knowledge, involving primarily the preferral of registers to heap-allocated storage. However, there is room for knowledge of "bit-diddling" representations.
- (9) We suggest that those who have tried to design useful UNCOL's (UNiversal Computer-Oriented Languages) [Sammet] [Coleman] have perhaps been thinking too imperatively, and worrying more about data manipulation primitives than about environment and control issues. As a result, proposed UNCOLs have been little more than generalizations of contemporary machine languages. We suggest that SCHEME makes an ideal UNCOL at two levels. The first level is the fully applicative level, to which most source-language constructs are easily reduced; the second is the continuation-passing style level, which is easily reduced to machine language. We envision building a compiler in three stages: (a) reduction of a user language to basic SCHEME, whether by macros, a parser of algebraic syntax, or some other means; (b) optimization by means of SCHEME-level source-to-source transformations, and conversion to continuation-passing style; and (c) generation of code for a particular machine. RABBIT addresses itself to the second stage. Data manipulation primitives are completely ignored at this stage, and are just passed along from input to output. These primitives, whether integer arithmetic, string

concatenation and parsing, or list structure manipulators, are chosen as a function of a particular source language and a particular target machine. RABBIT deals only with fundamental environment and control issues common to most modes of algorithmic expression.

- (10) While syntactic issues tend to be rather superficial, we point out that algebraic syntax tends to obscure the fundamental nature of function calling and tail-recursion by arbitrarily dividing functions into syntactic classes such as "operators" and "functions". ([Standish], for example, uses much space to exhibit each conceptually singular transformation in a multiplicity of syntactic manifestations.) The lack of an "anonymous" notation for functions in most algebraic languages, and the inability to treat functions as data objects, is a distinct disadvantage. The uniformity of LISP syntax makes these issues easier to deal with.

To the LISP community in particular we address these additional points:

- (11) Lexical scoping need not be as expensive as is commonly thought. Experience with lexically-scoped interpreters is misleading; lexical scoping is not inherently slower than dynamic scoping. While some implementations may entail access through multiple levels of structure, this occurs only under circumstances (accessing of variables through multiple levels of closure) which could not even be expressed in a dynamically scoped language. Unlike deep-bound dynamic variables, compiled lexical access requires no search; unlike shallow-bound dynamic variables, lexical binding does not require that values be put in a canonical value cell. The compiler has complete discretion over the manipulation of environments and variable values. The "display" technique used in Algol implementations can be generalized to provide an efficient solution to the FUNARG problem.
- (12) Lexical scoping does not necessarily make LISP programming unduly difficult.

The very existence of RABBIT, a working compiler some fifty pages in length written in SCHEME, first implemented in about a month, part-time, substantiates this claim (which is, however, admitted to be mostly a matter of taste and experience). (Note Refinement of RABBIT) SCHEME has also been used to implement several AI problem-solving languages, including AMORD [Doyle].



## 2. The Source Language - SCHEME

The basic language processed by RABBIT is a subset of the SCHEME language as described in [SCHEME] and [Revised Report], the primary restrictions being that the first argument to ASET must be quoted and that the multiprocessing primitives are not accommodated. This subset is summarized here.

SCHEME is essentially a lexically scoped ("full funarg") dialect of LISP. Interpreted programs are represented by S-expressions in the usual manner. Numbers represent themselves. Atomic symbols are used as identifiers (with the conventional exception of T and NIL, which are conceptually treated as constants). All other constructs are represented as lists.

In order to distinguish the various other constructs, SCHEME follows the usual convention that a list whose car is one of a set of distinguished atomic symbols is treated as directed by a rule associated with that symbol. All other lists (those with non-atomic cars, or with undistinguished atoms in their cars) are combinations, or function calls. All subforms of the list are uniformly evaluated in an unspecified order, and then the value of the first (the function) is applied to the values of all the others (the arguments). Notice that the function position is evaluated in the same way as the argument positions (unlike most other LISP systems). (In order to be able to refer to MacLISP functions, global identifiers evaluate to a special kind of functional object if they have definitions as MacLISP functions of the EXPR, SUBR, or LSUBR varieties. Thus "(PLUS 1 2)" evaluates to 3 because the values of the subforms are <functional object for PLUS>, 1, and 2; and applying the first to the other two causes invocation of the MacLISP primitive PLUS.)

The atomic symbols which distinguish special constructs are as follows:

**LAMBDA** This denotes a function. A form (LAMBDA (var1 var2 ... varn) body)

will evaluate to a function of  $n$  arguments. The parameters  $vari$  are identifiers (atomic symbols) which may be used in the body to refer to the respective arguments when the function is invoked. Note that a LAMBDA-expression is not a function, but evaluates to one, a crucial distinction.

**IF** This denotes a conditional form. (IF  $a$   $b$   $c$ ) evaluates the predicate  $a$ , producing a value  $x$ ; if  $x$  is non-NIL, then the consequent  $b$  is evaluated, and otherwise the alternative  $c$ . If  $c$  is omitted, NIL is assumed.

**QUOTE** As in all LISP systems, this provides a way to specify any S-expression as a constant. (QUOTE  $x$ ) evaluates to the S-expression  $x$ . This may be abbreviated to ' $x$ ', thanks to the MaclISP read-macro-character feature.

**LABELS** This primitive permits the local definition of one or more mutually recursive functions. The format is:

```
(LABELS ((name1 (LAMBDA ...))
          (name2 (LAMBDA ...))
          ...
          (namen (LAMBDA ...)))
  body)
```

This evaluates the body in an environment in which the names refer to the respective functions, which are themselves closed in that same environment. Thus references to these names in the bodies of the LAMBDA-expressions will refer to the labelled functions. {Note Generalized LABELS}

**ASET'** This is the primitive side-effect on variables. (ASET'  $var$   $body$ ) evaluates the body, assigns the resulting value to the variable  $var$ , and returns that value. {Note Non-quoted ASET} For implementation-dependent reasons, it is forbidden by RABBIT to use ASET' on a global

variable which is the name of a primitive MacLISP function, or on a variable bound by LABELS. (ASET' is actually used very seldom in practice anyway, and all these restrictions are "good programming practice". RABBIT could be altered to lift these restrictions, at some expense and labor.)

**CATCH** This provides an escape operator facility. [Landin] [Reynolds] (CATCH var body) evaluates the body, which may refer to the variable var, which will denote an "escape function" of one argument which, when called, will return from the CATCH-form with the given argument as the value of the CATCH-form. Note that it is entirely possible to return from the CATCH-form several times. This raises a difficulty with optimization which will be discussed later.

**Macros** Any atomic symbol which has been defined in one of various ways to be a macro distinguishes a special construct whose meaning is determined by a macro function. This function has the responsibility of rewriting the form and returning a new form to be evaluated in place of the old one. In this way complex syntactic constructs can be expressed in terms of simpler ones.

### 3. The Target Language

The "target language" is a highly restricted subset of MacLISP, rather than any particular machine language for an actual hardware machine such as the PDP-10. RABBIT produces MacLISP function definitions which are then compiled by the standard MacLISP compiler. In this way we do not need to deal with the uninteresting vagaries of a particular piece of hardware, nor with the peculiarities of the many and various data-manipulation primitives (CAR, RPLACA, +, etc.). We allow the MacLISP compiler to deal with them, and concentrate on the issues of environment and control which are unique to SCHEME. While for production use this is mildly inconvenient (since the code must be passed through two compilers before use), for research purposes it has saved the wasteful re-implementation of much knowledge already contained in the MacLISP compiler.

On the other hand, the use of MacLISP as a target language does not by any means trivialize the task of RABBIT. The MacLISP function-calling mechanism cannot be used as a target construct for the SCHEME function call, because MacLISP's function calls are not guaranteed to behave tail-recursively. Since tail-recursion is a most crucial characteristic distinguishing SCHEME from most LISP systems, we must implement SCHEME function calls by more primitive methods. Similarly, since SCHEME is a full-funarg dialect of LISP while MacLISP is not, we cannot in general use MacLISP's variable-binding mechanisms to implement those of SCHEME. On the other hand, it is a perfectly legitimate optimization to use MacLISP mechanisms in those limited situations where they are applicable.

Aside from ordinary MacLISP data-manipulation primitives, the only MacLISP constructs used in the target language are PROG, GO, RETURN, PROGN, COND, SETQ, and ((LAMBDA ...) ...). PROG is never nested; there is only a single, outer PROG. RETURN is used only in the form (RETURN NIL) to exit this outer

PROG; it is never used to return a value of any kind. LAMBDA-expressions are used only to bind temporary variables. In addition, CONS, CAR, CDR, RPLACA, and RPLACD are used in the creation and manipulation of environments.

We may draw a parallel between each of these constructs and an equivalent machine-language (or rather, assembly language) construct:

PROG      A single program module.  
 GO        A branch instruction. PROG tags correspond to instruction labels.  
 RETURN    Exit from program module.  
 PROGN     Sequencing of several instructions.  
 COND      Conditional branches, used in a disciplined manner. One may think of

```
(COND (pred1 value1)
      (pred2 value2)
      ...
      (predn valuen))
```

as representing the sequence of code

```

                                <code for pred1>
                                JUMP-IF-NIL reg1,TAG1
                                <code for value1>
                                JUMP ENDTAG
TAG1:                            <code for pred2>
                                JUMP-IF-NIL reg1,TAG2
                                <code for value2>
                                JUMP ENDTAG
TAG2:                            ...
                                <code for predn>
                                JUMP-IF-NIL reg1,TAGn
                                <code for valuen>
                                JUMP ENDTAG
TAGn:                            LOAD-VALUE NIL
ENDTAG:
```

which admits of some optimizations, but we shall not worry about this.

(The MacLISP compiler does, but we do not depend at all on this fact.)

SETQ      Load register, or store into memory.

LAMBDA We use this primarily in the form:

```
((LAMBDA (q1 ... qn)
      (setq var1 q1)
      ...
      (setq varn qn))
  value1 ... valuen)
```

which we may think of as saving values on a temporary stack and then popping them into the variables:

```
<code for value1>           ;leaves result in reg1
PUSH reg1
...
<code for valuen>
PUSH reg1
POP varn
...
POP var1
```

This is in fact approximately how the MacLISP compiler will treat this construct. This is used to effect the simultaneous assignment of several values to several registers. It would be possible to do without the MacLISP LAMBDA in this case, by using extra intermediate variables, but it was decided that this task was less interesting than other issues within RABBIT, and that assignments of this kind would occur sufficiently often that it was desirable to get the MacLISP compiler to produce the best possible code in this case.

The form ((LAMBDA ...) ...) is also used in some situation where the user wrote such a form in the SCHEME code, and the arguments and LAMBDA-body are all "trivial", in a sense to be defined later.

CONS CONS is used, among other things, to "push" new values onto the current environment. While SCHEME variables can sometimes be represented as temporary MacLISP variables using LAMBDA, in general they must be kept

in a "consed environment" in the heap; CAR and CDR are used to "index" the environment "stack" (which is not really a stack, but in general tree-like). (N.B. By using CONS for this purpose we can push the entire issue of environment retention off onto the LISP garbage collector. It would be possible to use array-like blocks for environments, and an Algol-like "display" pointer discipline for variable access. However, a retention strategy as opposed to a deletion strategy must be used in general, because SCHEME, unlike Algol 60 and 68, permits procedures to be the values of other procedures. Stack allocation does not suffice in general -- a heap must be used. Later we will see that RABBIT uses stack allocation of environments and a deletion strategy in simple cases, and reverts to heap allocation of environments and a retention strategy in more complicated situations.)

CAR, + Primitive MacLISP operators such as + and CAR are analogous to machine-language instructions such as ADD and LOAD-INDEXED. We leave to the MacLISP compiler the task of compiling large expressions involving these; but we are not avoiding the associated difficult issues such as register allocation, for we shall have to deal with them in compiling calls to SCHEME functions.

#### 4. The Target Machine

Compiled code is interfaced to the SCHEME interpreter in two ways. The interpreter must be able to recognize functional objects which happen to be compiled and to invoke them with given arguments; and compiled code must be able to invoke any function, whether interpreted or compiled, with given arguments. (This latter interface is traditionally known as the "UO Handler" as the result of the widespread use of the PDP-10 in implementing LISP systems. [DEC] [Moon] [Teitelman]) We define here an arbitrary standard form for functional objects, and a standard means for invoking them.

In the PDP-10 MacLISP implementation of SCHEME, a function is, in general, represented as a list whose car contains one of a set of distinguished atomic symbols. (Notice that LAMBDA is not one of these; a LAMBDA-expression may evaluate to a function, but is not itself a valid function.) This set of symbols includes EXPR, SUBR, and LSUBR, denoting primitive MacLISP functions of those respective types; BETA, denoting a SCHEME function whose code is interpretive; DELTA, denoting an escape function created by the interpreter for a CATCH form, or a continuation given by the interpreter to compiled code; CBETA, denoting a SCHEME function or continuation whose code is compiled; and EPSILON, denoting a continuation created when compiled code invokes interpreted code. Each of these function types requires a different invocation convention; the interpreter must distinguish these types and invoke them in the appropriate manner. For example, to invoke an EXPR the MacLISP FUNCALL construct must be used. A BETA must be invoked by creating an appropriate environment, using the given arguments, and then interpreting the code of the function.

We have arbitrarily defined the CBETA interface as follows: there are a number of "registers", in the form of global variables. Nine registers called



**\*\*CONT\*\***, **\*\*ONE\*\***, **\*\*TWO\*\***, ..., **\*\*EIGHT\*\*** are used to pass arguments to compiled functions. **\*\*CONT\*\*** contains the continuation. The others contain the arguments prescribed by the user; if there are more than eight arguments, however, then they are passed as a list of all the arguments in register **\*\*ONE\*\***, and the others are unused. (Any of a large variety of other conventions could have been chosen, such as the first seven arguments in seven registers and a list of all remaining arguments in **\*\*EIGHT\*\***. We merely chose a convention which would be workable and convenient, reflect the typical finiteness of hardware register sets, and mirror familiar LISP conventions. The use of a list of arguments is analogous to the passing of an arbitrary number of arguments on a stack, sometimes known as the LSUBR convention. [Moon] [Declarative])

There is another register called **\*\*FUN\*\***. A function is invoked by putting the functional object in **\*\*FUN\*\***, its arguments in the registers already described, and the number of arguments in the register **\*\*NARGS\*\***, and then exiting the current function. Control (at the MacLISP level) is then transferred to a routine (the "SCHEME UO handler") which determines the type of the function in **\*\*FUN\*\*** and invokes it.

A continuation is invoked in exactly the same manner as any other kind of function, with two exceptions: a continuation does not itself require a continuation, so **\*\*CONT\*\*** need not be set up; and a continuation always takes a single argument, so **\*\*NARGS\*\*** need not be set to 1. {Note Multiple-Argument Continuations}

A CBETA form has additional fixed structure. Besides the atomic symbol CBETA in the car, there is always in the cadr the address of the code, and in the caddr the environment. The form of the environment is completely arbitrary as far as the SCHEME interpreter is concerned; indeed, the CHEAPY compiler and the RABBIT compiler use completely different formats for environments for compiled

function. (Recall that this cannot matter since the only code which will ever be able to access that environment is the code belonging to the functional closure of which that environment is a part.) The "UUO handler" puts the caddr of **\*\*FUN\*\*** in the register **\*\*ENV\*\***, and then transfers to the address in the cadr of **\*\*FUN\*\***. When that code eventually exits, control returns to the "UUO handler", which expects the code to have set up **\*\*FUN\*\*** and any necessary arguments.

There is a set of "memory locations" -11-, -12-, ... which are used to hold intermediate quantities within a single user function. (Later we shall see that we think of these as being used to pass values between internally generated functions within a module. For this purpose we think of the "registers" and "memory locations" being arranged in a single sequence **\*\*CONT\*\***, **\*\*ONE\*\***, ..., **\*\*EIGHT\*\***, -11-, -12-, ... There is in principle an unbounded number of these "memory locations", but RABBIT can determine (and in fact outputs as a declaration for the MacLISP compiler) the exact set of such locations used by any given function.) One may think of the "memory locations" as being local to each module, since they are never used to pass information between modules; in practice they are implemented as global MacLISP variables.

The registers **\*\*FUN\*\***, **\*\*NARGS\*\***, **\*\*ENV\*\***, and the argument registers are the only global registers used by compiled SCHEME code (other than the "memory locations"). Except for global variables explicitly mentioned by the user program, all communication between compiled SCHEME functions is through these registers. It is useful to note that the continuation in **\*\*CONT\*\*** is generally analogous to the usual "control stack" which contains return addresses, and so we may think of **\*\*CONT\*\*** as our "stack pointer register".

## 5. Language Design Considerations

SCHEME is a lexically scoped ("full-funarg") dialect of LISP, and so is an applicative language which conforms to the spirit of the lambda-calculus. [Church] We divide the definition of the SCHEME language into two parts: the environment and control constructs, and the data manipulation primitives. Examples of the former are LAMBDA-expressions, combinations, and IF; examples of the latter are CONS, CAR, EQ, and PLUS. Note that we can conceive of a version of SCHEME which did not have CONS, for example, and more generally did not have S-expressions in its data domain. Such a version would still have the same environment and control constructs, and so would hold the same theoretical interest for our purposes here. (Such a version, however, would be less convenient for purposes of writing a meta-circular description of the language, however!)

By the "spirit of lambda-calculus" we mean the essential properties of the axioms obeyed by lambda-calculus expressions. Among these are the rules of alpha-conversion and beta-conversion. The first intuitively implies that we can uniformly rename a function parameter and all references to it without altering the meaning of the function. An important corollary to this is that we can in fact effectively locate all the references. The second implies that in a situation where a known function is being called with known argument expressions, we may substitute an argument expression for a parameter reference within the body of the function (provided no naming conflicts result, and that certain restrictions involving side effects are met). Both of these operations are of importance to an optimizing compiler. Another property which follows indirectly is that of tail-recursion. This property is exploited in expressing iteration in terms of applicative constructs, and is discussed in some detail in

[Declarative].

We realize that other systems of environment and control constructs also are reasonably concise, clear, and elegant, and can be axiomatized in useful ways, for example the guarded commands of Dijkstra. [Dijkstra] However, that of lambda-calculus is extremely well-understood, lends itself well to certain kinds of optimizations in a natural manner, and has behind it a body of literature which can be used directly by RABBIT to express non-primitive constructs.

The desire for uniform lexical scoping arises from other motives as well, some pragmatic, some philosophical. Many of these are described in [SCHEME], [Imperative], [Declarative], and [Revised Report]. It is often difficult to explain some of these to those who are used to dynamically scoped LISP systems. Any one advantage of lexical scoping may often be countered with "Yes, but you can do that in this other way in a dynamically scoped LISP." However, we are convinced that lexical scoping in its totality provides all of the advantages to be described in a natural, elegant, and integrated manner, largely as a consequence of its great simplicity.

There are those to whom lexical scoping is nothing new, for example the ALGOL community. For this audience, however, we should draw attention to another important feature of SCHEME, which is that functions are first-class data objects. They may be assigned or bound to variables, returned as values of other functions, placed in arrays, and in general treated as any other data object. Just as numbers have certain operations defined on them, such as addition, so functions have an important operation defined on them, namely invocation.

The ability to treat functions as objects is not at all the same as the ability to treat representations of functions as objects. It is the latter ability that is traditionally associated with LISP; functions can be represented as S-expressions. In a version of SCHEME which had no S-expression primitives,

however, one could still deal with functions (i.e. closures) as such, for that ability is part of the fundamental environment and control facilities. Conversely, in a SCHEME which does have CONS, CAR, and CDR, there is no defined way to use CONS by itself to construct a function (although a primitive ENCLOSE is now provided which converts an S-expression representation of a function into a function), and the CAR or CDR of a function is in general undefined. The only defined operation on a function is invocation. {Note Operations on Functions}

We draw this sharp distinction between environment and control constructs on the one hand and data manipulation primitives on the other because only the former are treated in any depth by RABBIT, whereas much of the knowledge of a "real" compiler deals with the latter. A PL/I compiler must have much specific knowledge about numbers, arrays, strings, and so on. We have no new ideas to present here on such issues, and so have avoided this entire area. RABBIT itself knows almost nothing about data manipulation primitives beyond being able to recognize them and pass them along to the output code, which is a small subset of MacLISP. In this way RABBIT can concentrate on the interesting issues of environment and control, and exploit the expert knowledge of data manipulation primitives already built into the MacLISP compiler.

## 6. The Use of Macros

An important characteristic of the SCHEME language is that its set of primitive constructs is quite small. This set is not always convenient for expressing programs, however, and so a macro facility is provided for extending the expressive power of the language. A macro is best thought of as a syntax rewrite rule. As a simple example, suppose we have a primitive GCD which takes only two arguments, and we wish to be able to write an invocation of a GCD function with any number of arguments. We might then define (in a "production-rule" style) the conditional rule:

```
(XGCD)           => 0
(XGCD x)         => x
(XGCD x . rest) => (GCD x (XGCD . rest))
```

(Notice the use of LISP dots to refer to the rest of a list.) This is not considered to be a definition of a function XGCD, but a purely syntactic transformation. In principle all such transformations could be performed before executing the program. In fact, RABBIT does exactly this, although the SCHEME interpreter naturally does it incrementally, as each macro call is encountered.

Rather than use a separate production-rule/pattern-matching language, in practice SCHEME macros are defined as transformation functions from macro-call expressions to resulting S-expressions, just as they are in MacLISP. (Here, however, we shall continue to use production rules for purposes of exposition.) It is important to note that macros need not be written in the language for which they express rewrite rules; rather, they should be considered an adjunct to the interpreter, and written in the same language as the interpreter (or the compiler). To see this more clearly, consider a version of SCHEME which does not have S-expressions in its data domain. If programs in this language are

represented as S-expressions, then the interpreter for that language cannot be written in that language, but in another meta-language which does deal with S-expressions. Macros, which transform one S-expression (representing a macro call) to another (the replacement form, or the interpretation of the call), clearly should be expressed in this meta-language also. The fact that in most LISP systems the language and the meta-language appear to coincide is a source of both power and confusion.

In the PDP-10 MacLISP implementation of SCHEME, four separate macro mechanisms are used in practice. One is the MacLISP read-macro mechanism [Moon], which performs transformations such as 'FOO => (QUOTE FOO) when an expression is read from a file. The other three are as described earlier, processed by the interpreter or compiler, and differ only in that one kind is recognized by the MacLISP interpreter as well while the other two are used only by SCHEME, and that of the latter two one kind is written in MacLISP and the other kind in SCHEME itself.

There is a growing library of SCHEME macros which express a variety of traditional programming constructs in terms of other, more primitive constructs, and eventually in terms of the small set of primitives. A number of these are catalogued in [Imperative] and [Revised Report]. Others were invented in the course of writing RABBIT. We shall give some examples here.

The BLOCK macro is similar to the MacLISP PROGN; it evaluates all its arguments and returns the value of the last one. One critical characteristic is that the last argument is evaluated "tail-recursively" (I use horror quotes because normally we speak of invocation, not evaluation, as being tail-recursive). An expansion rule is given for this in [Imperative] equivalent to:

```
(BLOCK x)          => x
(BLOCK x . rest) => ((LAMBDA (DUMMY) (BLOCK . rest)) x)
```

This definition exploits the fact that SCHEME is evaluated in applicative order, and so will evaluate all arguments before applying a function to them. Thus, in the second subrule, x must be evaluated, and then the block of all the rest is. It is then clear from the first subrule that the last argument is evaluated "tail-recursively".

One problem with this definition is the occurrence of the variable DUMMY, which must be chosen so as not to conflict with any variable used by the user. This we refer to as the "GENSYM problem", in honor of the traditional LISP function which creates a "fresh" symbol. It would be nicer to write the macro in such a way that no conflict could arise no matter what names were used by the user. There is indeed a way, which ALGOL programmers will recognize as equivalent to the use of "thunks", or call-by-name parameters:

```
(BLOCK x)          => x
(BLOCK x . rest) => ((LAMBDA (A B) (B))
                    x
                    (LAMBDA () (BLOCK . rest)))
```

Consider carefully the meaning of the right-hand side of the second subrule. First the expression x and the (LAMBDA () ...) must be evaluated (it doesn't matter in which order!); the result of the latter is a function (that is, a closure), which is later invoked in order to evaluate the rest of the arguments. There can be no naming conflicts here, because the scope of the variables A and B (which is lexical) does not contain any of the arguments to BLOCK written by the user. (We should note that we have been sloppy in speaking of the "arguments" to BLOCK, when BLOCK is properly speaking not a function at all, but merely a syntactic keyword used to recognize a situation where a syntactic rewriting rule is applicable. We would do better to speak of "argument expressions" or "macro



arguments", but we shall continue to be sloppy where no confusion should arise.)

This is a technique which should be understood quite thoroughly, since it is the key to writing correct macro rules without any possibility of conflicts between names used by the user and those needed by the macro. As another example, let us consider the AND and OR constructs as used by most LISP systems. OR evaluates its arguments one by one, in order, returning the first non-NIL value obtained (without evaluating any of the following arguments), or NIL if all arguments produce NIL. AND is the dual to this; it returns NIL if any argument does, and otherwise the value of the last argument. A simple-minded approach to OR would be:

```
(OR)           => 'NIL
(OR x . rest) => (IF x x (OR . rest))
```

There is an objection to this, which is that the code for x is duplicated. Not only does this consume extra space, but it can execute erroneously if x has any side-effects. We must arrange to evaluate x only once, and then test its value:

```
(OR)           => 'NIL
(OR x . rest) => ((LAMBDA (V) (IF V V (OR . rest))) x)
```

This certainly evaluates x only once, but admits a possible naming conflict between the variable V and any variables used by rest. This is avoided by the same technique used for BLOCK:

```
(OR)           => 'NIL
(OR x . rest) => ((LAMBDA (V R) (IF V V (R)))
                  x
                  (LAMBDA () (OR . rest)))
```

Similarly, we can express AND as follows:

```

(AND)           => 'T
(AND x)         => x
(AND x . rest) => ((LAMBDA (V R) (IF V (R) 'NIL))
                  x
                  (LAMBDA () (AND . rest)))

```

(The macro rules are not precise duals because of the non-duality between NIL-ness and non-NIL-ness, and the requirement that a successful AND return the actual value of the last argument and not just T.) {Note Tail-Recursive OR}

As yet another example, consider a modification to BLOCK to allow a limited form of assignment statement: if (v := x) appears as a statement in a block, it "assigns" a value to the variable v whose scope is the remainder of the block. Let us assume that such a statement cannot occur as the last statement of a block (it would be useless to have one in that position, as the variable would have a null scope). We can write the rule:

```

(BLOCK x)           => x
(BLOCK (v := x) . rest) => ((LAMBDA (v) (BLOCK . rest)) x)
(BLOCK x . rest)   => ((LAMBDA (A B) (B))
                       x
                       (LAMBDA () (BLOCK . rest)))

```

The second subrule states that an "assignment" causes x to be evaluated and then bound to v, and that the variable v is visible to the rest of the block.

We may think of := as a "sub-macro keyword" which is used to mark an expression as suitable for transformation, but only in the context of a certain larger transformation. This idea is easily extended to allow other constructions, such as "simultaneous assignments" of the form

```
((var1 var2 ... varn) := value1 value2 ... valuen)
```

which first compute all the values and then assign to all the variables, and "exchange assignments" of the form (X ::= Y), as follows:

```

(BLOCK x)          => x
(BLOCK (v := x) . rest)
                  => ((LAMBDA (v) (BLOCK . rest)) x)
(BLOCK (vars := . values) . rest)
                  => ((LAMBDA vars (BLOCK . rest)) . values)
(BLOCK (x ::= y) . rest)
                  => ((LAMBDA (x y) (BLOCK . rest)) y x)
(BLOCK x . rest)  => ((LAMBDA (A B) (B))
                     x
                     (LAMBDA () (BLOCK . rest)))

```

Let us now consider a rule for the more complicated COND construct:

```

(COND) => 'NIL
(COND (x) . rest) => (OR x (COND . rest))
(COND (x . r) . rest) => (IF x (BLOCK . r) (COND . rest))

```

This defines the "extended" COND of modern LISP systems, which produces NIL if no clauses succeed, which returns the value of the predicate in the case of a singleton clause, and which allows more than one consequent in a clause. An important point here is that one can write these rules in terms of other macro constructs such as OR and BLOCK; moreover, any extensions to BLOCK, such as the limited assignment feature described above, are automatically inherited by COND. Thus with the above definition one could write

```

(COND ((NUMBERP X) (Y := (SQRT X)) (+ Y (SQRT Y)))
      (T (HACK X)))

```

where the scope of the variable Y is the remainder of the first COND clause.

SCHEME also provides macros for such constructs as DO and PROG, all of which expand into similar kinds of code using LAMBDA, IF, and LABELS (see below). In particular, PROG permits the use of GO and RETURN in the usual manner. In this manner all the traditional imperative constructs are expressed in an applicative manner. {Note ASET' Is Imperative}

None of this is particularly new; theoreticians have modelled imperative

constructs in these terms for years. What is new, we think, is the serious proposal that a practical interpreter and compiler can be designed for a language in which such models serve as the sole definitions of these imperative constructs. {Note Dijkstra's Opinion} This approach has both advantages and disadvantages.

One advantage is that the base language is small. A simple-minded interpreter or compiler can be written in a few hours. (We have re-implemented the SCHEME interpreter from scratch a dozen times or more to test various representation strategies; this was practical only because of the small size of the language. Similarly, the CHEAPY compiler is fewer than ten pages of code, and could be rewritten in a day or less.) Once the basic interpreter is written, the macro definitions for all the complex constructs can be used without revision. Moreover, the same macro definitions can be used by both interpreter and compiler (or by several versions of interpreter and compiler!). Excepting the very few primitives such as LAMBDA and IF, it is not necessary to "implement a construct twice", once each in interpreter and compiler.

Another advantage is that new macros are very easy to write (using facilities provided in SCHEME). One can easily invent a new kind of DO loop, for example, and implement it in SCHEME for both interpreter and all compilers in less than five minutes. (In practice such new control constructs, such as the ITERATE loop described in [Revised Report], are indeed installed within five to ten minutes of conception, in a routine manner.)

A third advantage is that the attention of the compiler can be focused on the basic constructs. Rather than having specialized code for two dozen different constructs, the compiler can have much deeper knowledge about each of a few basic constructs. One might object that this "deeper knowledge" consists of recognizing the two dozen special cases represented by the separate constructs of

the former case. This is true to some extent. It is also true, however, that in the latter case such deep knowledge will carry over to any new constructs which are invented and represented as macros.

Among the disadvantages of the macro approach are lack of speed and the discarding of information. Many people have objected that macros are of necessity slower than, say, the FSUBR implementation used by most LISP systems. This is true in many current interpretive implementations, but need not be true of compilers or more cleverly designed interpreters. Moreover, the FSUBR implementation is not general; it is very hard for a user to write a meaningful FSUBR and then describe to the compiler the best way to compile it. The macro approach handles this difficulty automatically. We do not object to the use of the FSUBR mechanism as a special-case "speed hack" to improve the performance of an interpreter, but we insist on recognizing the fact that it is not as generally useful as the macro approach.

Another objection relating to speed is that the macros produce convoluted code involving the temporary creation and subsequent invocation of many closures. We feel, first of all, that the macro writer should concern himself more with producing correct code than fast code. Furthermore, convolutedness can be eliminated by a few simple optimization techniques in the compiler, to be discussed below. Finally, function calls need not be as expensive as is popularly supposed. [Steele]

Information is discarded by macros in the situation, for example, where a DO macro expands into a large mess that is not obviously a simple loop; later compiler analysis must recover this information. This is indeed a problem. We feel that the compiler is probably better off having to recover the information anyway, since a deep analysis allows it to catch other loops which the user did not use DO to express for one reason or another. Another is the possibility that

DO could leave clues around in the form of declarations if desired.

Another difficulty with the discarding of information is the issuing of meaningful diagnostic messages. The user would prefer to see diagnostics mention the originally-written source constructs, rather than the constructs into which the macros expanded. (An example of this problem from another LISP compiler is that it may convert (MEMQ X '(A B C)) into (OR (EQ X 'A) (EQ X 'B) (EQ X 'C)); when by the same rule it converts (MEMQ X '(A)) (a piece of code generated by a macro) into (OR (EQ X 'A)), it later issues a warning that an OR had only one subform.) This problem can be partially circumvented if the responsibility for syntax-checking is placed on the macro definition at each level of expansion.

## 7. The Imperative Treatment of Applicative Constructs

Given the characteristics of lexical scoping and tail-recursive invocations, it is possible to assign a peculiarly imperative interpretation to the applicative constructs of SCHEME, which consists primarily of treating a function call as a GOTO. More generally, a function call is a GOTO that can pass one or more items to its target; the special case of passing no arguments is precisely a GOTO. It is never necessary for a function call to save a return address of any kind. It is true that return addresses are generated, but we adopt one of two other points of view, depending on context. One is that the return address, plus any other data needed to carry on the computation after the called function has returned (such as previously computed intermediate values and other return addresses) are considered to be packaged up into an additional argument (the continuation) which is passed to the target. This lends itself to a non-functional interpretation of LAMBDA, and a method of expressing programs called the continuation-passing style (similar to the message-passing actors paradigm [Hewitt]), to be discussed further below. The other view, more intuitive in terms of the traditional stack implementation, is that the return address should be pushed before evaluating arguments rather than before calling a function. This view leads to a more uniform function-calling discipline, and is discussed in [Declarative] and [Steele].

We are led by these points of view to consider a compilation strategy in which function calling is to be considered very cheap (unlike the situation with PL/I and ALGOL, where programmers avoid procedure calls like the plague -- see [Steele] for a discussion of this). In this light the code produced by the sample macros above does not seem inefficient, or even particularly convoluted. Consider the expansion of (OR a b c):

```

((LAMBDA (V R) (IF V V (R)))
  a
  (LAMBDA () ((LAMBDA (V R) (IF V V (R)))
    b
    (LAMBDA () ((LAMBDA (V R) (IF V V (R)))
      c
      (LAMBDA () 'NIL))))))

```

Then we might imagine the following (slightly contrived) compilation scenario. First, for expository purposes, we shall rename the variables in order to be able to distinguish them.

```

((LAMBDA (V1 R1) (IF V1 V1 (R1)))
  a
  (LAMBDA () ((LAMBDA (V2 R2) (IF V2 V2 (R2)))
    b
    (LAMBDA () ((LAMBDA (V3 R3) (IF V3 V3 (R3)))
      c
      (LAMBDA () 'NIL))))))

```

We shall assign a generated name to each LAMBDA-expression, which we shall notate by writing the name after the word LAMBDA. These names will be used as tags in the output code.

```

((LAMBDA name1 (V1 R1) (IF V1 V1 (R1)))
  a
  (LAMBDA name2 () ((LAMBDA name3 (V2 R2) (IF V2 V2 (R2)))
    b
    (LAMBDA name4 () ((LAMBDA name5 (V3 R3)
      (IF V3 V3 (R3)))
      c
      (LAMBDA name6 () 'NIL))))))

```

Next, a simple analysis shows that the variables R1, R2, and R3 always denote the LAMBDA-expressions named name2, name4, and name6, respectively. Now an optimizer might simply have substituted these values into the bodies of name1, name3, and name5 using the rule of beta-conversion, but we shall not apply that technique here. Instead we shall compile the six functions in a straightforward manner. We make use of the additional fact that all six functions are closed in identical



environments (we count two environments as identical if they involve the same variable bindings, regardless of the number of "frames" involved; that is, the environment is the same inside and outside a (LAMBDA () ...)). Assume a simple target machine with argument registers called reg1, reg2, etc.

```

main:  <code for a>           ;result in reg1
        LOAD reg2,[name2]     ;[name2] is the closure for name2
        CALL-FUNCTION 2,[name1] ;call name1 with 2 arguments

name1:  JUMP-IF-NIL reg1,name1a
        RETURN                ;return the value in reg1
name1a: CALL-FUNCTION 0,reg2   ;call function in reg2, 0 arguments

name2:  <code for b>           ;result in reg1
        LOAD reg2,[name4]     ;[name4] is the closure for name4
        CALL-FUNCTION 2,[name3] ;call name3 with 2 arguments

name3:  JUMP-IF-NIL reg1,name3a
        RETURN                ;return the value in reg1
name3a: CALL-FUNCTION 0,reg2   ;call function in reg2, 0 arguments

name4:  <code for c>           ;result in reg1
        LOAD reg2,[name6]     ;[name6] is the closure for name6
        CALL-FUNCTION 2,[name5] ;call name5 with 2 arguments

name5:  JUMP-IF-NIL reg1,name5a
        RETURN                ;return the value in reg1
name5a: CALL-FUNCTION 0,reg2   ;call function in reg2, 0 arguments

name6:  LOAD reg1,'NIL        ;constant NIL in reg1
        RETURN

```

Now we make use of our knowledge that certain variables always denote certain functions, and convert CALL-FUNCTION of a known function to a simple GOTO. (We have actually done things backwards here; in practice this knowledge is used before generating any code. We have fudged over this issue here, but will return to it later. Our purpose here is merely to demonstrate the treatment of function calls as GOTOs.)

```

main:  <code for a>           ;result in reg1
        LOAD reg2,[name2]     ;[name2] is the closure for name2
        GOTO name1

```

```

name1: JUMP-IF-NIL reg1,name1a
        RETURN ;return the value in reg1
name1a: GOTO name2

name2: <code for b> ;result in reg1
        LOAD reg2,[name4] ;[name4] is the closure for name4
        GOTO name3

name3: JUMP-IF-NIL reg1,name3a
        RETURN ;return the value in reg1
name3a: GOTO name4

name4: <code for c> ;result in reg1
        LOAD reg2,[name6] ;[name6] is the closure for name6
        GOTO name5

name5: JUMP-IF-NIL reg1,name5a
        RETURN ;return the value in reg1
name5a: GOTO name6

name6: LOAD reg1,'NIL ;constant NIL in reg1
        RETURN

```

The construction [foo] indicates the creation of a closure for foo in the current environment. This will actually require additional instructions, but we shall ignore the mechanics of this for now since analysis will remove the need for the construction in this case. The fact that the only references to the variables R1, R2, and R3 are function calls can be detected and the unnecessary LOAD instructions eliminated. (Once again, this would actually be determined ahead of time, and no LOAD instructions would be generated in the first place. All of this is determined by a general pre-analysis, rather than a peephole post-pass.) Moreover, a GOTO to a tag which immediately follows the GOTO can be eliminated.

```

main:    <code for a>           ;result in reg1
name1:   JUMP-IF-NIL reg1,name1a
         RETURN                 ;return the value in reg1
name1a:
name2:   <code for b>           ;result in reg1
name3:   JUMP-IF-NIL reg1,name3a
         RETURN                 ;return the value in reg1
name3a:
name4:   <code for c>           ;result in reg1
name5:   JUMP-IF-NIL reg1,name5a
         RETURN                 ;return the value in reg1
name5a:
name6:   LOAD reg1,'NIL         ;constant NIL in reg1
         RETURN

```

This code is in fact about what one would expect out of an ordinary LISP compiler. (There is admittedly room for a little more improvement.) RABBIT indeed produces code of essentially this form, by the method of analysis outlined here.

Similar considerations hold for the BLOCK macro. Consider the expression (BLOCK a b c); conceptually this should perform a, b, and c sequentially. Let us examine the code produced:

```

((LAMBDA (A B) (B))
  a
  (LAMBDA () ((LAMBDA (A B) (B))
              b
              (LAMBDA () c))))

```

Renaming the variables and assigning names to LAMBDA-expressions:

```

((LAMBDA name1 (A1 B1) (B1))
  a
  (LAMBDA name2 () ((LAMBDA name3 (A2 B2) (B2))
                   b
                   (LAMBDA name4 () c))))

```

Producing code for the functions:

```

main:  <code for a>
        LOAD reg2,[name2]
        CALL-FUNCTION 2,[name1]

name1:  CALL-FUNCTION 0,reg2

name2:  <code for b>
        LOAD reg2,[name4]
        CALL-FUNCTION 2,[name3]

name3:  CALL-FUNCTION 0,reg2

name4:  <code for c>
        RETURN

```

Turning general function calls into direct GOTO's, on the basis of analysis of what variables must refer to constant functions:

```

main:  <code for a>
        LOAD reg2,[name2]
        GOTO name1

name1:  GOTO name2

name2:  <code for b>
        LOAD reg2,[name4]
        GOTO name3

name3:  GOTO name4

name4:  <code for c>
        RETURN

```

Eliminating useless GOTO and LOAD instructions:

```

main:  <code for a>
name1:
name2:  <code for b>
name3:
name4:  <code for c>
        RETURN

```

What more could one ask for?

Notice that this has fallen out of a general strategy involving only an approach to compiling function calls, and has involved no special knowledge of OR

or BLOCK not encoded in the macro rules. The cases shown so far are actually special cases of a more general approach, special in that all the conceptual closures involved are closed in the same environment, and called from places that have not disturbed that environment, but only used "registers". In the more general case, the environments of caller and called function will be different. This divides into two subcases, corresponding to whether the closure was created by a simple LAMBDA or by a LABELS construction. The latter involves circular references, and so is somewhat more complicated; but it is easy to show that in the former case the environment of the caller must be that of the (known) called function, possibly with additional values added on. This is a consequence of lexical scoping. As a result, the function call can be compiled as a GOTO preceded by an environment adjustment which consists merely of lopping off some leading portion of the current one (intuitively, one simply "pops the unnecessary crud off the stack"). LABELS-closed functions also can be treated in this way, if one closes all the functions in the same way (which RABBIT presently does, but this is not always desirable). If one does, then it is easy to see the effect of expanding a PROG into a giant LABELS as outlined in [Imperative] and elsewhere: normally, a GOTO to a tag at the same level of PROG will involve no adjustment of environment, and so compile into a simple GOTO instruction, whereas a GOTO to a tag at an outer level of PROG probably will involve adjusting the environment from that of the inner PROG to that of the outer. All of this falls out of the proper imperative treatment of function calls.

## 8. Compilation Strategy

The overall approach RABBIT takes to the compilation of SCHEME code may be summarized as follows:

- (1) Alpha-conversion (renaming of variables) and macro-expansion (expansion of syntactic rewrite rules).
- (2) Preliminary analysis (variable references, "trivial" expressions, and side effects).
- (3) Optimization (meta-evaluation).
- (4) Conversion to continuation-passing style.
- (5) Environment and closure analysis.
- (6) Code generation.

During (1) a data structure is built which is structurally a copy of the user program but in which all variables have been renamed and in which at each "node" of the program tree are additional slots for extra information. These slots are filled in during (2). In (3) the topology of the structure may be modified to reflect transformations made to the program; routines from (2) may be called to update the information slots. In (4) a new data structure is constructed from the old one, radically different in structure, but nevertheless also tree-like in form. During (5) information is added to slots in the second structure. In (6) this information is used to produce the final code.

### A. Alpha-conversion and macro-expansion

In this phase a copy of the user program is made. The user program is conceptually a tree structure; each node is one of several kinds of construct (constant, variable, LAMBDA-expression, IF-expression, combination, etc.). Some kinds of nodes have subnodes; for example, a LAMBDA-expression node has a subnode representing the body, and a combination node has a subnode for each argument. The copying is performed in the obvious way by a recursive tree-walk. In the process all bound variables are renamed. Each bound variable is assigned a new generated name at the point of binding, and each node for a reference to a bound variable contains this generated name, not the original name. From this point on all variables are dealt with in terms of their new names. (This is possible because, as a consequence of lexical scoping, we can identify all references to each bound variable.) These new names are represented as atomic symbols, and the property lists of these symbols will later be used to store information about the variables.

As each subform of the user program is examined, a check is made for a macro call, which is a list whose car is an atomic symbol with one of several macro-defining properties. When such a call is encountered, the macro call is expanded, and the tree-walk is resumed on the code returned by the expansion process.

## B. Preliminary analysis

The preliminary analysis ("phase 1") is in three passes, each involving a tree-walk of the node structure, filling in information slots at each node. (Two passes would have sufficed, but for reasons of clarity and modularity there is one pass for each type of analysis.)

The first pass (ENV-ANALYZE) analyzes variable references. For each node we determine the set of all local (bound) variables referenced at or below that node. For example, for a variable-reference node this set is empty (for a global variable), or the singleton set of the variable itself (for a local variable); for a LAMBDA-expression, it is the set for its body minus the variables bound by that LAMBDA-expression; for an IF-expression, it is the union of the sets for the predicate, consequent, and alternative; and so on. We also compute for each node the set of bound variables which appear in an ASET' at or below the node. (This set will be a subset of the first set, but no non-trivial use of this property is used in this pass.) Finally, for each variable we store several properties on its property list, including a list of all nodes which reference the variable (for "reading") and a list of of all ASET' nodes which modify the variable. These lists are built incrementally, with an entry added as each reference is encountered during the tree walk. (This exemplifies the general strategy for passing data around; any information which cannot be passed conveniently up and down the tree, but which must jump laterally between branches, is accumulated on the property lists of the variables. It may appear to be "lucky" that all such information has to do with variables, but this is actually an extremely deep property of our notation. The entire point of using identifiers is to relate textually separated constructions. We depend on alpha-conversion to give all variables distinct names (by "names" we really mean



"compile-time data structures") so that the information for variables which the user happened to give the same name will not be confused.)

The second pass (TRIV-ANALYZE) locates "trivial" portions of the program. (Cf. [Wand and Friedman].) Constants and variables are trivial; an IF-expression is trivial iff the predicate, consequent, and alternative are all trivial; an ASET' is trivial iff its body is trivial; a combination is trivial iff the function is either a global variable which is the name of a MacLISP primitive, or a LAMBDA-expression whose body is trivial, and the arguments are all trivial. LAMBDA-expressions, LABELS-forms (which contain LAMBDA-expressions), and CATCH-forms are never trivial. The idea is that a trivial expression is one that MacLISP could evaluate itself, without benefit of SCHEME control structures. (No denigration of MacLISP's ability is intended by this terminology!) Note particularly the two special cases of combinations distinguished here (in which the function position contains either the name of a MacLISP primitive or a LAMBDA-expression); they are very important, and shall be referred to respectively as TRIVFN-combinations and LAMBDA-combinations.

The third pass (EFFS-ANALYZE) analyzes the possible side-effects caused by each node, and the side-effects which could affect it. It actually produces two sets of analyses, one liberal and one conservative. Where there is any uncertainty as to what side-effects may be involved, it assumes none in one case and all possible in the other. The liberal estimation is used only to issue error messages to the user about possible conflicts which might result as a consequence of the freedom to evaluate arguments to combinations in any order. The user is given the benefit of doubt, and warned only of a "provable" conflict. (Actually, the "proof" is a little sloppy, and can err in both directions, but in practice it has issued no false alarms and a number of helpful warnings.) The conservative estimation is used by the optimizer, which will move expressions

only if it can prove that there will be no conflict.

Side effects are grouped into classes: ASET, RPLACA and RPLACD (which are considered distinct), FILE (input/output operations), and CONS. These are not intended to be exhaustive; there is also an internal notation for "any side-effect whatever". The use of classes enables the analysis to realize, for example, that RPLACA cannot affect the value of a variable per se. There is a moderately large body of data in RABBIT about the side-effects of MacLISP primitive functions. For example, CAR, CDR, CAAR, CADR, and so on are known not to have side-effects, and to be respectively affected only by RPLACA, RPLACD, RPLACA, RPLACA or RPLACD, and so on. Similarly, RABBIT knows that ASET' affects the values of variables, but cannot affect the outcome of a CAR operation. (It may affect the value of the expression (CAR X), but only because a variable reference is a subnode of the combination. The effects, or affectability, of a combination are the union of the effects, or affectability, of all arguments plus those of the function.) The CONS side-effect is a special case. This side-effect cannot affect anything, and two instances of it may be performed in the "wrong" order, but performing a single instance twice will produce distinct (as determined by EQ) and therefore incorrect results. In particular, closures of LAMBDA-expressions involve the CONS side-effect. (The definition of SCHEME says nothing about whether EQ is a valid operation on closures, but in general it is not a good idea to produce unnecessary multiple copies.) On the other hand, LAMBDA-expressions occurring in function position of a LAMBDA-combination do not incur the CONS side-effect. The CONS side-effect is given special treatment in the optimizer. {Note Side-Effect Classifications}

### C. Optimization

Once the preliminary analysis is done, the optimization phase performs certain transformations on the code. The result is an equivalent program which will (probably) compile into more efficient code. This new program is itself structurally a valid SCHEME program; that is, all transformations are contained within the language. The transformations are thus similar to those performed on macro calls, consisting of a syntactic rewriting of an expression, except that the situations where such transformations are applicable are more easily recognized in the case of macro calls. It should be clear that the optimizer and the macro-functions are conceptually at the same level in that they may be written in the same meta-language that operates on representations of SCHEME programs. {Note Non-deterministic Optimization}

The simplest transformation is that a combination whose function position contains a LAMBDA-expression and which has no arguments can be replaced by the body of the LAMBDA-expression:

```
((LAMBDA () body)) => body
```

Another is that, in the case of a LAMBDA-combination, if some parameter of the LAMBDA-expression is not referenced and the corresponding argument can be proved to have no side-effects (with an exception discussed below), then the parameter and argument can be eliminated:

```
((LAMBDA (x1 x2 x3) body) a1 a2 a3)
=> ((LAMBDA (x1 x3) body) a1 a3)
if x2 is unreferenced in body and a2 has no side-effects
```

Repeated applications of this rule can lead to the preceding case.

A third rule is that, in a LAMBDA-combination, an argument can be

substituted for one or more occurrences of a parameter in the body of the LAMBDA-expression. (This rule is related to the view of LAMBDA as a renaming operator discussed in [Declarative], and together with the two preceding rules make up the rule of beta-conversion.) Such a substitution is permissible only if (a) either the parameter is referred to only once or the argument has no side effects, and (b) the substitution will not alter the order in which expressions are evaluated in such a way as to allow possible side-effects to produce different results. Before performing the substitution it is necessary to show that side-effects will not interfere in this manner. This issue is discussed in [Allen and Cocke], [Geschke], and [Wulf], and characterized more accurately in [Standish]. There is also some difficulty if the parameter appears in an ASET'. Presently RABBIT does not attempt any form of substitution for such a parameter. (ASET' is so seldom used in SCHEME programs that this restriction makes very little difference.)

This third rule creates an exception to the second. If an argument with a side effect is referred to once, and is substituted for the reference, then the second rule must be invoked to eliminate the original occurrence of the argument, so that the side effect will not occur twice. This requires a little collusion between the two rules.

Even if such a substitution is permissible, it is not always desirable; time/space tradeoffs are involved. The current heuristic is that a substitution is desirable if (1) the parameter is referred to only once; or (2) the argument to be substituted in is a constant or variable; or (3) the argument is a LAMBDA-expression whose body is (3a) a constant, or (3b) a variable reference, or (3c) a combination which has no more arguments than the LAMBDA-expression requires and for which the arguments are all constants or variables. This heuristic was designed to be as conservative as possible while handling most cases which arise from typical macro-expansions.

The case where the expression substituted for a variable is a LAMBDA-expression constitutes an instance of procedure integration [Allen and Cocke]. The more general kind of procedure integration proposed in [Declarative], which would involve block compilation of several user functions, and possibly also user declarations or data type analysis, has not been implemented yet.

It would be possible to substitute a LAMBDA-expression for a variable reference in the case of a variable bound by a LABELS. This might be useful in the case of a LABELS produced by a simple-minded PROG macro, which produced a labelled function for each statement of the PROG; in such a case most labelled functions would be referred to only once. We have not implemented this yet in RABBIT. {Note Loop Unrolling}

Currently there is not any attempt to perform the inverse of beta-conversion. This process would be that of locating common subexpressions of some single large expression, making that large expression the body of a LAMBDA-expression of one parameter, replacing all occurrences of the common subexpression by a reference to the parameter, and replacing the large expression by a combination whose function position contained the LAMBDA-expression and whose argument was a copy of the common subexpression. More generally, several common subexpressions could be isolated at once and made into several parameters of the LAMBDA-expression. For example, consider:

```
(LAMBDA (A B C)
  (LIST (/ (+ (- B) (SQRT (- (^ B 2) (* 4 A C))))
          (* 2 A))
        (/ (- (- B) (SQRT (- (^ B 2) (* 4 A C))))
          (* 2 A))))
```

Within the large expression (LIST ...) we might detect the common subexpressions (- B), (SQRT ...), and (\* 2 A). Thus we would invent three parameters Q1, Q2, Q3 and transform the expression into:

```
(LAMBDA (A B C)
  ((LAMBDA (Q1 Q2 Q3)
    (LIST (/ (+ Q1 Q2) Q3)
          (/ (- Q1 Q2) Q3)))
   (- B)
   (SQRT (- (^ B 2) (* 4 A C)))
   (* 2 A)))
```

(There would be no problem of conflicting names as there is for macro rules, because we are operating on code for which all variables have already been renamed; Q1, Q2, and Q3 can be chosen as the next variables in the renaming sequence.)

This approach doesn't always work if side-effects are present; the abstracted (!) common subexpression may be evaluated too soon, or the wrong number of times. This can be solved by wrapping (LAMBDA () ☉) around the common subexpression, and replacing references by a combination instead of a simple variable reference. For example:

```
(IF (HAIRYP X)
  (BLOCK (PRINT '|Here is some hair:|)
         (PRINT X)
         (PRINT '|End of hair.|))
  (BLOCK (PRINT '|This one is bald:|)
         (PRINT X)
         (PRINT '|End of baldness.|)))
```

We could not transform it into this:

```
((LAMBDA (Q1)
  (IF (HAIRYP X)
    (BLOCK (PRINT '|Here is some hair:|)
           Q1
           (PRINT '|End of hair.|))
    (BLOCK (PRINT '|This one is bald:|)
           Q1
           (PRINT '|End of baldness.|))))
  (PRINT X))
```

because x would be printed before the appropriate leading message. Instead, we

transform it into:

```
((LAMBDA (Q1)
  (IF (HAIRYP X)
    (BLOCK (PRINT '|Here is some hair:|)
           (Q1)
           (PRINT '|End of hair.|))
    (BLOCK (PRINT '|This one is bald:|)
           (Q1)
           (PRINT '|End of baldness.|))))
 (LAMBDA () (PRINT X)))
```

This is similar to the call-by-name trick used in writing macro rules.

A more general transformation would detect nearly common subexpressions as follows:

```
((LAMBDA (Q1)
  (IF (HAIRYP X)
    (Q1 '|Here is some hair:|
        '|End of hair.|)
    (Q1 '|This one is bald:|
        '|End of baldness.|)))
 (LAMBDA (Q2 Q3)
  (BLOCK (PRINT Q2) (PRINT X) (PRINT Q3))))
```

In this way we can express the notion of subroutinization.

{Note Subroutinization}

We point out these possibilities despite the fact that they have not been implemented in RABBIT because the problem of isolating common subexpressions seems not to have been expressed in quite this way in the literature on compilation strategies. We might speculate that this is because most compilers which use complex optimization strategies have been for ALGOL-like languages which do not treat functions as full-fledged data objects, or even permit the writing of "anonymous" functions in functions calls as LISP does.

RABBIT does perform folding on constant expressions [Allen and Cocke]; that is, any combination whose function is a side-effect-less MacLISP primitive

and whose arguments are all constants is replaced by the result of applying the primitive to the arguments. There is presently no attempt to do the same thing for side-effect-less SCHEME functions, although this is conceptually no problem.

Finally, there are two transformations on IF expressions. One is simply that an IF expression with a constant predicate is simplified to its consequent or alternative (resulting in elimination of dead code). The other was adapted from [Standish], which does not have this precise transformation listed, but gives a more general rule. In its original form this transformation is:

```
(IF (IF a b c) d e) => (IF a (IF b d e) (IF c d e))
```

One problem with this is that the code for d and e is duplicated. This can be avoided by the use of LAMBDA-expressions:

```
((LAMBDA (Q1 Q2)
  (IF a
    (IF b (Q1) (Q2))
    (IF c (Q1) (Q2))))
 (LAMBDA () d)
 (LAMBDA () e))
```

As before, there is no problem of name conflicts with Q1 and Q2. While this code may appear unnecessarily complex, the calls to the functions Q1 and Q2 will typically, as shown above, be compiled as simple GOTO's. As an example, consider the expression:

```
(IF (AND PRED1 PRED2) (PRINT 'WIN) (ERROR 'LOSE))
```

Expansion of the AND macro will result in:

```
(IF ((LAMBDA (V R) (IF V (R) 'NIL))
  PRED1
  (LAMBDA () PRED2))
 (PRINT 'WIN)
 (ERROR 'LOSE))
```



(For expository clarity we will not bother to rename all the variables, inasmuch as they are already distinct.) Because V and R have only one reference apiece (and there are no possible interfering side-effects), the corresponding arguments can be substituted for them.

```
(IF ((LAMBDA (V R) (IF PRED1 ((LAMBDA () PRED2)) 'NIL))
    PRED1
    (LAMBDA () PRED2))
  (PRINT 'WIN)
  (ERROR 'LOSE))
```

Now, since V and R have no referents at all, they and the corresponding arguments can be eliminated, since the arguments have no side-effects.

```
(IF ((LAMBDA () (IF PRED1 ((LAMBDA () PRED2)) 'NIL)))
  (PRINT 'WIN)
  (ERROR 'LOSE))
```

Next, the combination ((LAMBDA () ...)) is eliminated in two places:

```
(IF (IF PRED1 PRED2 'NIL)
  (PRINT 'WIN)
  (ERROR 'LOSE))
```

Now, the transformation on the nested IF's:

```
((LAMBDA (Q1 Q2)
  (IF PRED1
    (IF PRED2 (Q1) (Q2))
    (IF 'NIL (Q1) (Q2))))
  (LAMBDA () (PRINT 'WIN))
  (LAMBDA () (ERROR 'LOSE)))
```

Now one IF has a constant predicate and can be simplified:

```

((LAMBDA (Q1 Q2)
  (IF PRED1
    (IF PRED2 (Q1) (Q2))
    (Q2)))
 (LAMBDA () (PRINT 'WIN))
 (LAMBDA () (ERROR 'LOSE)))

```

The variable Q1 has only one referent, and so we substitute in, eliminate the variable and argument, and collapse a ((LAMBDA () ..)):

```

((LAMBDA (Q2)
  (IF PRED1
    (IF PRED2 (PRINT 'WIN) (Q2))
    (Q2)))
 (LAMBDA () (ERROR 'LOSE)))

```

Recalling that (Q2) is, in effect, a GOTO branching to the common piece of code, and that by virtue of later analysis no actual closure will be created for either LAMBDA-expression, this result is quite reasonable. {Note Evaluation for Control}

#### D. Conversion to Continuation-Passing Style

This phase is the real meat of the compilation process. It is of interest primarily in that it transforms a program written in SCHEME into an equivalent program (the continuation-passing-style version, or CPS version), written in a language isomorphic to a subset of SCHEME with the property that interpreting it requires no control stack or other unbounded temporary storage and no decisions as to the order of evaluation of (non-trivial) subexpressions. The importance of these properties cannot be overemphasized. The fact that it is essentially a subset of SCHEME implies that its semantics are as clean, elegant, and well-understood as those of the original language. It is easy to build an

interpreter for this subset, given the existence of a SCHEME interpreter, which can execute the transformed program directly at this level. This cannot be said for other traditional intermediate compilation forms; building an interpreter for triples [Gries], for example, would be a tremendous undertaking. The continuation-passing version expresses all temporary intermediate results explicitly as variables appearing in the program text, and all temporary control structure in the form of LAMBDA-expressions (that is, closures). It is explicit in directing the order of operations; there is no non-trivial freedom at any point in the evaluation process.

As a result, once the CPS version of a program has been generated, the remainder of the compilation process is fairly easy. There is a reasonably direct correspondence between constructs in the CPS language and "machine-language" operations (if one assumes CONS to be a "machine-language" primitive for augmenting environment structure, which we do). The later passes are complicated only by the desire to handle certain special cases in an optimal manner, most particularly the case of a function call whose function position contains a variable which can be determined to refer to a known LAMBDA-expression. This analysis must be done after the CPS conversion because it applies to continuations as well as LAMBDA-expressions written by the user or generated by macros.

The CPS language differs from SCHEME in only two respects. First, each primitive function is different, in that it returns no value; instead, it accepts an additional argument, the continuation, which must be a "function" of one argument, and by definition invokes the continuation tail-recursively, giving it as an argument the computed "value" of the primitive function. We extend this by convention to non-primitive functions, and so all functions are considered to take a continuation as one of its arguments (by convention the first -- this

differs from the convention used in the examples in [SCHEME], [Imperative], and [Declarative]). Continuations, however, do not themselves take continuations as arguments.

Second, no combination may have a non-trivial argument. In strict continuation-passing style (as described in note {Evalorder} of [Imperative]), this implies that no combination can have another combination as an argument, or an IF-expression with a non-trivial consequent or alternative, etc. We relax this to allow as arguments any trivial form in the sense described above for the preliminary triviality analysis. We note that, in principle, trivial expressions require no unbounded space on the part of the SCHEME interpreter to evaluate, and that the compiler need not worry about control and environment issues for trivial expressions. (Trivial expressions do require unbounded space on the part of the MacLISP run-time system, because the point of the triviality analysis is that trivial expressions can be handled by MacLISP! The question of what should be considered trivial is actually a function of the characteristics of our target machine. We note that, at the least, variables, constants, and LAMBDA-expressions should be considered trivial. That the preliminary triviality analysis does not consider LAMBDA-expressions trivial is a trick so that all closures will be processed by the CPS-conversion process, and the fact that we call it a triviality analysis is a white lie. See, however, [Wand and Friedman].)

The effect of the restriction on combinations is startling. On the one hand, they do not so constrain the language as to be useless; on the other hand, they require a radically different approach to the expression of algorithms. It is easy to see that no control stack is necessary to evaluate such code, for, as mentioned in [SCHEME], control stack is used only to keep track of intermediate values and return addresses, and these arise only in the case of combinations

with non-trivial arguments, and conditionals with non-trivial predicates.

An algorithm for converting SCHEME programs to continuation-passing style was given in Appendix A of [Declarative]. {Note Old CPS Algorithm} The one used in RABBIT is almost identical, except that for the convenience of the code-generation phase a distinction is made between ordinary LAMBDA-expressions and continuations, and between combinations used to invoke "functions" and those used to invoke continuations. These sets can in fact be consistently distinguished, and it affords a certain amount of error-checking; for example, a LAMBDA-expression should never appear in the "function" position of a continuation-invoking combination. Another fine point is that ASET' can never be applied to a variable bound by a continuation. Except for such differences arising from their uses, the two sets of constructs are treated more or less identically in later phases. An additional difference between the algorithm in [Declarative] and the one in RABBIT is that trivial subforms are treated as single nodes in the CPS version; these nodes have pointers to the non-CPS versions of the relevant code, which are largely ignored by later processing until the final code is to be generated.

It must be emphasized that there is not necessarily a unique CPS version for a given SCHEME program; there is as much freedom as there is in the original program to re-order the evaluation of subexpressions. In the course of the conversion process decisions must be made as to what order to use in evaluating arguments to combinations. The current decision procedure is fairly simple-minded, consisting mostly of not making copies of constants and the values of variables. The point here, as earlier, is not so much that RABBIT has a much better algorithm than other compilers as that it has a far cleaner way of expressing the result. (For a complex decision procedure for argument ordering, see [Wulf].) {Note Non-deterministic CPS Conversion}

### E. Environment and closure analysis

This phase consists of four passes over the CPS version of the program. As with the earlier preliminary analysis, each pass determines one related set of information and attaches this information to nodes of the program tree and to property lists.

The first pass (CENV-ANALYZE) analyzes variable references for the CPS version in a manner similar to that of the first pass of the preliminary analysis. The results of this previous analysis are used here in the case of trivial expressions; with this exception the analysis is redone completely, because additional variables are introduced by the CPS conversion. (None of these new variables can appear in an ASET', however, and so the analysis of written variables need not be done over.) In addition, for each variable reference which does not occur in the function position of a combination, we mark that variable with a non-nil VARIABLE-REFP property, used later to determine whether closures need to be created for known functions.

The second pass (BIND-ANALYZE) determines for each LAMBDA-expression whether a closure will be needed for it at run time. There are three possibilities:

- (1) If the function denoted by the LAMBDA-expression is bound to some variable, and that variable is referenced other than in function position, then the closure is being treated as data, and must be a full (standard CBETA format) closure. If the function itself occurs in non-function position other than in a LAMBDA-combination, it must be fully closed.
- (2) If the closure is bound to some variable, and that variable is referenced

only in function position, but some of these references occur within other partially or fully closed functions, then this function must be partially closed. By this we mean that the environment for the closure must be "consed up", but no pointer to the code need be added on as for a full closure. This function will always be called from places that know the name of the function and so can just perform a GO to the code, but those such places which are within closures must have a complete copy of the necessary environment.

(3) In other cases (functions bound to variables referenced only in function position and never within a closed function, or functions occurring in function position of LAMBDA-combinations), the function need not be closed. This is because the environment can always be fully recovered from the environment at the point of call.

In order to determine this information, it is necessary to determine, for each node, the set of variables referred to from within closed functions at or below that node. Thus this process and the process of determining which functions to close are highly interdependent, and so must be accomplished in a single pass.

The second pass also generates a name for each LAMBDA-expression (to be used as tags in the output code, as discussed in the examples earlier), and for non-closed functions determines which variables will be assigned to "registers" or "memory locations". For these non-closed functions it may determine that certain variables need not be assigned locations at all (they are never referenced, or are bound to other non-closed functions -- the latter circumstance is important when a variable is known to denote a certain function, but the optimizer was too conservative to perform beta-substitution for fear of duplicating code and thus wasting space). Finally, for each variable which is (logically, at run time not necessarily actually) bound to a known function (and

which never appears in an ASET'), a property KNOWN-FUNCTION is put on its property list whose value is the node of the CPS version of that function. This property is used later in generating code for combinations in whose function positions such variables appear.

The third pass (DEPTH-ANALYZE) examines each LAMBDA-expression and determines the precise registers or memory locations through which arguments are to be passed to each. Closed functions take their arguments in the standard registers described earlier; non-closed functions may take their arguments in any desired places. (Partially closed functions could also, but there is little advantage to this.) The allocation strategy in RABBIT for non-closed functions is presently merely stack-like; the deeper the nesting of a function, the higher in the ordering of "registers" and "memory locations" are the locations assigned. (See e.g. [Johnsson] for a detailed analysis of the register allocation problem.)

The fourth pass (CLOSE-ANALYZE) determines the precise format of the environment to be constructed for each closure. That is, while the third pass handles cases for which stack-allocation of environments will suffice, the fourth pass deals with heap-allocated environment structures. Recall that the format of an environment can be completely arbitrary, since the only code which can possibly refer to an environment is the function for a closure of which the environment was created. Therefore the compiler which compiles that function has a free hand in determining the structure of the environment. For the sake of simplicity, RABBIT chooses to generate code which represents environments simply as a list of variable values. Several environment lists may share a common tail. The environment for a closure need not contain any variables not needed by the closed function, but it may if this will allow the sharing of a single structure among several closures. (There is a problem with variables modified by ASET' which is discussed in the next paragraph.)



For each LAMBDA-expression which must be closed, three sets of variables are computed: (1) the variables which will already be in the "consed" environment structure at the time the closure is to be created; (2) additional variables which must be added ("consed on") to the existing structure to create the closure (because at that point they are spread out in "registers") {Note Heap-Allocated Contours}; (3) variables which must be added to the environment immediately after entering the function because they must eventually be added in for closures later and they are referred to in ASET' constructs. The third set arises from a requirement that ASET' constructs must have a consistent effect, and confusion can arise if a variable's value can be in more than one place. If the value were allowed to be both in a "register" and in an environment structure, or in several different environment structures, then altering the value in one place would not affect the other places. To assure consistency, this third set is computed, and such variables must at run time be placed in an environment structure to be shared by all others which refer to such variables.

For every LABELS statement a set of variables is computed which is the set of variables to be added to the existing environment on entry to the LABELS body, in order to share this new structure among all the closures to be created for the LABELS functions.

## F. Code generation

Given the foregoing analysis, the generation of code is straightforward, and largely consists of using the information already gathered to detect special cases. The special cases of interest relate almost entirely to function calls and closures (indeed, there is little else in the language for RABBIT's purposes!).

RABBIT has provision for "block compiling" a number of functions into a single module. This permits an optimization in which one function can transfer control directly to another without going through the "UUO handler". Even if several user functions are not compiled into a single module, this is still of advantage, because a single user function can produce a large number of output functions, as a consequence of the code-generation techniques.

A module consists of a single MacLISP function whose body is a single PROG. This PROG has no local variables, but does have a number of tags, one for each function in the module. On entry to the module, the register **\*\*ENV\*\*** will contain the "environment" for the function to be executed. As noted above, the format of this is arbitrary. For functions compiled by RABBIT, this is a list whose car is a tag within the PROG and whose cdr is the "real environment". {Note Code Pointers} At the beginning of the PROG there is always the code

```
(GO (PROG2 NIL (CAR **ENV**)
      (SETQ **ENV** (CDR **ENV**))))
```

the effect of which is to put the "real environment" in **\*\*ENV\*\*** and then perform a computed GO to the appropriate tag. (This is the only circumstance in which the MacLISP PROG2 and computed GO constructs are used by RABBIT-compiled code. Either could be eliminated at the expense of more bookkeeping, the former by

using a temporary intermediate variable, the latter by using a giant COND with non-computed GO statements (which is effectively how the MacLISP compiler compiles a computed GO anyway). As always, such trivial issues are left to the MacLISP compiler when they do not bear on the issues of interest in compiling SCHEME code.) For small functions, often the "main entry point" is the only closed function, and it would be possible to eliminate the computed GO, but RABBIT always outputs one, because it is cheap and provides a useful error check.

Once the computed GO has been performed, the code following the tag is responsible for performing its bit of computation and then exiting. It may exit by setting the **\*\*FUN\*\*** register to another function, setting up appropriate argument registers, and then doing (RETURN NIL) to exit the module and enter the UWO handler; or it may exit by directly transferring control to another function within the module by performing a GO to the appropriate tag, after setting up the arguments and **\*\*ENV\*\***. In the latter case the arguments may actually be passed through "memory locations" rather than the standard "registers". (Conceptually, in this optimized case the environment needed for the function being called is being passed, not in **\*\*ENV\*\***, but spread out in those registers and locations lower than those being used to pass the arguments.)

Starting with the CPS version of one or more user functions, the generation of the code for a module proceeds iteratively. Code for each function is generated in turn, producing one segment of code and a tag; this tag and code will become part of the body of the module. In processing a function, other functions may be encountered; in general, each such function is added to the list of outstanding functions for the module, and is replaced by code to generate a closure for that function. When all functions have been processed, the outer structure of the module is created.

Many situations are treated specially. For example,

```
((LAMBDA ...) ...)
```

does not cause the LAMBDA-expression to be added to the list of outstanding functions; rather, a MacLISP PROGN is constructed consisting of the argument set-up followed by the code for the body of the LAMBDA-expression. A more subtle case is

```
(FOO (LAMBDA ...) ...)
```

where FOO is the name of a MacLISP primitive and the LAMBDA-expression is the continuation. In this case a PROGN is constructed consisting of calling the MacLISP primitive on the other arguments, putting this value into the appropriate location, and then executing the body of the LAMBDA-expression. (It should be noted that all these special cases must be anticipated by the analysis preceding the code generation phase.)

In the case of ((LAMBDA ...) ...), we must also handle the argument set-up a little carefully, because parameters which are never referred to or which represent known non-closed functions need not actually be passed. However, the corresponding argument for the first case must nevertheless be evaluated because it may have a side-effect. A good example is the result of expanding BLOCK (neglecting the effects of optimization): there is a (continuation-passing style) combination of the form:

```
((LAMBDA (C A B) (B C)) cont x (LAMBDA (C) y))
```

The argument x need not be passed, but presumably has a side effect and so must be evaluated. The second LAMBDA-expression need not be closed, and so requires neither evaluation nor passing. The output code uses a PROGN to evaluate the arguments which are potentially for effect. In this way the end result of a

BLOCK construct actually turns out to be a MacLISP PROGN. (The routine LAMBDATE in the Appendix is responsible for this analysis.)  
 {Note Evaluation for Effect}

Another case of interest is a combination whose function position contains a variable with a KNOWN-FUNCTION property. The value of this property is the node for the CPS version of the function, which provides information about possible code generation strategies. We can decide which arguments needn't be passed as for the ((LAMBDA ...) ...) case, and can also arrange to call the function with a direct (MacLISP) GO to the appropriate tag within the module. The set-up of the environment depends on whether the function is non-closed or partially closed; in the latter case the partial closure is the environment, and in the former the environment can be recovered from the current one (and may even be the same).

A certain amount of "peephole optimization" [McKeeman] is also performed, primarily to make it easier for people to inspect the code produced, since the MacLISP compiler will handle them anyway. Examples of these are avoiding the generation of SETQ of a variable to the value of that same variable; reduction of car-cdr chains to single functions, such as (CAR (CDR (CDR x))) to (CADDR x); removal of nested PROGN's such as

```
(PROGN a (PROGN b c) d) => (PROGN a b c d)
```

and the like; and simplification of nested COND's, such as

```
(COND (a b)
      (T (COND (c d)
                ...))) => (COND (a b)
                               (c d)
                               ...)
```

One of the effects of this last peephole optimization is that many times, when the user writes a COND in a piece of SCHEME code, that COND is expanded into IF

constructs, and then re-contracted by the peephole optimization into an equivalent COND! (This fact is of no practical consequence, but looks cute.)

## 9. Example: Compilation of Iterative Factorial

Here we shall provide a complete example of the compilation of a simple function IFACT (iterative factorial), to show what quantities are computed in the course of analyzing the code. We shall need some notation for the data structures involved. Every node of the program is represented by a small data structure which has a type and several named components. (In the actual implementation, a node is represented as two such structures; one contains named components common to all program nodes, and the other contains components specific to a given node type. We shall gloss over this detail here.) For example, a LAMBDA-expression is represented by a structure of type LAMBDA with components named UVARS (user variable names), VARS (the alpha-converted names), BODY (the node representing the body), ENV (the environment of the node), and so on. We shall represent a data structure as the name of its type, with the components written below it and indented, with colons after each component name. For example:

```
LAMBDA
  UVARS: (A B)
  VARS:  (VAR-43 VAR-44)
  BODY:  COMBINATION
          ARGS:  VARIABLE
                VAR:      F
                VARIABLE
                VAR:      VAR-44
                VARIABLE
                VAR:      VAR-43
```

Notice that the value of a component may itself be a structure. These structures are always arranged in a tree, so no notation for cycles will be needed. In the case where a component contains a list of things, we will write the things as a LISP list unless the things are structures, in which case we will simply write

them in a vertical stack, as shown in the example above. To conserve space, in any single diagram we will show only the named components of interest. Components may seem to appear and then disappear in the series of diagrams, but in practice they all exist simultaneously.

The source code for our example:

```
(DEFINE IFACT
  (LAMBDA (N)
    (LABELS ((F (LAMBDA (M A)
                  (IF (= M 0) A
                      (F (- M 1) (* M A))))))
      (F N 1))))
```

The alpha-conversion process copies the program and produces a tree of structures. All the bound variables are renamed, and VARIABLE nodes refer to these new names. The GLOBALP component in a VARIABLE node is non-NIL iff the reference is to a global variable. The ENV component is simply an a-list relating the user names of variables to the new names; this a-list is computed during the conversion as the new names are created at LAMBDA, LABELS, and CATCH nodes.

```
LAMBDA
  ENV:  ()
  UVARS: (N)
  VARS:  (VAR-1)
  BODY:
    LABELS
      ENV:  ((N VAR-1))
      UFNVARS: (F)
      FNVARS:  (FNVAR-2)
      FNDEFS:
        LAMBDA
          ENV:  ((F FNVAR-2) (N VAR-1))
          UVARS: (M A)
          VARS:  (VAR-3 VAR-4)
          BODY:  IF
            ENV:  ((A VAR-4) (M VAR-3) (F FNVAR-2) (N VAR-1))
            PRED:  COMBINATION
                  ENV:  *** (see below)
                  ARGS:  VARIABLE
```



```

ENV: ***
VAR: =
GLOBALP: T
VARIABLE
ENV: ***
VAR: VAR-3
GLOBALP: NIL
CONSTANT
ENV: ***
VALUE: 0
CON: VARIABLE
ENV: ***
VAR: VAR-4
GLOBALP: NIL
ALT: COMBINATION
ENV: ***
ARGS: VARIABLE
ENV: ***
VAR: FNVAR-2
GLOBALP: NIL
COMBINATION
ENV: ***
ARGS: VARIABLE
ENV: ***
VAR: -
GLOBALP: T
VARIABLE
ENV: ***
VAR: VAR-3
GLOBALP: NIL
CONSTANT
ENV: ***
VALUE: 1
COMBINATION
ENV: ***
ARGS: VARIABLE
ENV: ***
VAR: *
GLOBALP: T
VARIABLE
ENV: ***
VAR: VAR-3
GLOBALP: NIL
VARIABLE
ENV: ***
VAR: VAR-4
GLOBALP: NIL
BODY: COMBINATION
ENV: ((F FNVAR-2) (N VAR-1))
ARGS: VARIABLE
ENV: ((F FNVAR-2) (N VAR-1))
VAR: FNVAR-2
GLOBALP: NIL

```

```

VARIABLE
  ENV: ((F FNVAR-2) (N VAR-1))
  VAR: VAR-1
  GLOBALP: NIL
CONSTANT
  ENV: ((F FNVAR-2) (N VAR-1))
  VALUE: 1

```

The reader is asked to imagine that the expression

```
((A VAR-4) (M VAR-3) (F FNVAR-2) (N VAR-1))
```

occurs where \*\*\* appears in the diagram. It should be clear how the ENV components are computed on the basis of variables bound at the LAMBDA and LABELS nodes. The ENV information propagates down the tree to VARIABLE nodes, where it is used to supply the correct new name for the one used by the original code.

The first step in the preliminary analysis is the determination of referenced variables:

```

LAMBDA
  REFS: ()
  VARS: (VAR-1)
  BODY:
    LABELS
      REFS: (VAR-1)
      FNVARs: (FNVAR-2)
      FNDEFS:
        LAMBDA
          REFS: (FNVAR-2)
          VARS: (VAR-3 VAR-4)
          BODY: IF
            REFS: (FNVAR-2 VAR-3 VAR-4)
            PRED: COMBINATION
              REFS: (VAR-3)
              ARGS: VARIABLE
                REFS: ()
                VAR: =
                GLOBALP: T
              VARIABLE
                REFS: (VAR-3)
                VAR: VAR-3
                GLOBALP: NIL
            CONSTANT
              REFS: ()

```

```

                                VALUE: 0
CON:  VARIABLE
      REFS:  (VAR-4)
      VAR:   VAR-4
      GLOBALP:  NIL
ALT:  COMBINATION
      REFS:  (FNVAR-2 VAR-3 VAR-4)
      ARGS:  VARIABLE
            REFS:  (FNVAR-2)
            VAR:   FNVAR-2
            GLOBALP:  NIL
            COMBINATION
              REFS:  (VAR-3)
              ARGS:  VARIABLE
                    REFS:  ( )
                    VAR:   -
                    GLOBALP:  T
                    VARIABLE
                      REFS:  (VAR-3)
                      VAR:   VAR-3
                      GLOBALP:  NIL
                    CONSTANT
                      REFS:  ( )
                      VALUE:  1
            COMBINATION
              REFS:  (VAR-3 VAR-4)
              ARGS:  VARIABLE
                    REFS:  ( )
                    VAR:   *
                    GLOBALP:  T
                    VARIABLE
                      REFS:  (VAR-3)
                      VAR:   VAR-3
                      GLOBALP:  NIL
                    VARIABLE
                      REFS:  (VAR-4)
                      VAR:   VAR-4
                      GLOBALP:  NIL
BODY:  COMBINATION
      REFS:  (FNVAR-2 VAR-1)
      ARGS:  VARIABLE
            REFS:  (FNVAR-2)
            VAR:   FNVAR-2
            GLOBALP:  NIL
            VARIABLE
              REFS:  (VAR-1)
              VAR:   VAR-1
              GLOBALP:  NIL
            CONSTANT
              REFS:  ( )
              VALUE:  1

```

The REFS component is a list of all local variables referenced at or below the node. Notice that, in general, the REFS component of a node is the union (considering them as sets) of the REFS components of its subnodes. In this way the information sifts up from the VARIABLE nodes. At a LAMBDA, LABELS, or CATCH, the variables bound at that node are filtered out of the REFS sifting up. The REFS for the outer function must always be (), a useful error check. In this example, we see that VAR-1 (N) is not referenced by the function FNVAR-2 (F). This indicates that a closure for this function need not contain the value for VAR-1 in its environment. (We will not actually use the information for this purpose, since later analysis will determine that the function need not have a closure constructed for it.) Another component ASETVARS is computed for each node, which contains the set of variables appearing in an ASET' at or below the node. We have omitted this information from the diagram since the value is the empty set in all cases. Certain properties are placed on the property list of each variable as well, which are not shown here.

The next pass locates trivial subforms:

```

LAMBDA
  TRIVP: NIL
  VARS: (VAR-1)
  BODY:
    LABELS
      TRIVP:      NIL
      FNVAR:     (FNVAR-2)
      FNDEFS:
        LAMBDA
          TRIVP:  NIL
          VARS:   (VAR-3 VAR-4)
          BODY:   IF
            TRIVP:  NIL
            PRED:   COMBINATION
              TRIVP:  T
              ARGS:  VARIABLE
                TRIVP:  T
                VAR:    =
                GLOBALP: T
                VARIABLE

```

```

                                TRIVP: T
                                VAR:  VAR-3
                                GLOBALP: NIL
                                CONSTANT
                                TRIVP: T
                                VALUE: 0
CON:  VARIABLE
      TRIVP: T
      VAR:  VAR-4
      GLOBALP: NIL
ALT:  COMBINATION
      TRIVP: NIL
      ARGS: VARIABLE
            TRIVP: T
            VAR:  FNVAR-2
            GLOBALP: NIL
            COMBINATION
            TRIVP: T
            ARGS: VARIABLE
                  TRIVP: T
                  VAR:  -
                  GLOBALP: T
                  VARIABLE
                  TRIVP: T
                  VAR:  VAR-3
                  GLOBALP: NIL
                  CONSTANT
                  TRIVP: T
                  VALUE: 1
            COMBINATION
            TRIVP: T
            ARGS: VARIABLE
                  TRIVP: T
                  VAR:  *
                  GLOBALP: T
                  VARIABLE
                  TRIVP: T
                  VAR:  VAR-3
                  GLOBALP: NIL
                  VARIABLE
                  TRIVP: T
                  VAR:  VAR-4
                  GLOBALP: NIL
BODY: COMBINATION
      TRIVP: NIL
      ARGS: VARIABLE
            TRIVP: T
            VAR:  FNVAR-2
            GLOBALP: NIL
      VARIABLE
      TRIVP: T
      VAR:  VAR-1
      GLOBALP: NIL

```

```

CONSTANT
  TRIVP: T
  VALUE: 1

```

Constants and variables are always trivial, and trivial combinations (involving only MacLISP primitives) are located. As before, in this pass information sifts up from below. One possibility not yet explored in RABBIT is to isolate entire SCHEME functions (for example FNVAR-2), determine that it is, as a whole, trivial, compile it as a simple MacLISP SUBR, and reference it as a primitive. This would in turn render trivial the combination (F N 1) in the body of the LABELS, for example.

The analysis of side-effects merely determines that no side-effects are present, and is uninteresting for our example. The optimization pass finds no transformations worth making. We will skip over these steps to the conversion to continuation-passing style. As a simple S-expression, this may be rendered as:

```

(LAMBDA (CONT-5 VAR-1)
  (LABELS ((FNVAR-2
            (LAMBDA (CONT-6 VAR-3 VAR-4)
              (IF (= VAR-3 0)
                  (CONT-6 VAR-4)
                  (FNVAR-2 CONT-6
                           (- VAR-3 1)
                           (* VAR-3 VAR-4))))))
    (FNVAR-2 CONT-5 VAR-1 1)))

```

In rendering this as a tree of data structures, we use structures of type CLAMBDA instead of LAMBDA, etc., in order to prevent confusion. Trivial forms are represented by structures of type TRIVIAL with pointers to the data structures from before. We will not notate such data structures in the following diagrams, but will simply write an S-expression as a reminder of what the trivial form was. The types RETURN and CONTINUATION are like CCOMBINATION and CLAMBDA, but are distinguished as discussed above for convenience and for purposes of consistency

checking.

```

CLAMBDA
  VARS: (CONT-5 VAR-1)
  BODY: CLABELS
        FNVAR: (FNVAR-2)
        FNDEFS: CLAMBDA
              VARS: (CONT-6 VAR-3 VAR-4)
              BODY: CIF
                PRED: TRIVIAL
                  (= VAR-3 0)
                CON: RETURN
                  CONT: CVARIABLE
                    VAR: CONT-6
                  VAL: TRIVIAL
                    VAR-4
                ALT: CCOMBINATION
                  ARGS: TRIVIAL
                    FNVAR-2
                    CVARIABLE
                    VAR: CONT-6
                    TRIVIAL
                    (- VAR-3 1)
                    TRIVIAL
                    (* VAR-3 VAR-4)
        BODY: CCOMBINATION
          ARGS: TRIVIAL
            FNVAR-2
            CVARIABLE
            VAR: CONT-5
            TRIVIAL
            VAR-1
            TRIVIAL
            1

```

The first post-conversion analysis pass computes ENV and REFS components as before, this time including the variables introduced to represent continuations. The ENV in this case is not an a-list, but simply a list of variables, since no renaming is taking place. The ENV information sifts down from above during the tree walk, and on the way back the REFS information sifts up. For a TRIVIAL node, the REFS information is taken from the pre-conversion node referenced by the TRIVIAL node; this REFS information is shown here as a reminder. As before, the REFS information for a node is always a subset of the

## ENV information.

## CLAMBDA

ENV: ()

REFS: ()

VARS: (CONT-5 VAR-1)

BODY: CLABELS

ENV: (CONT-5 VAR-1)

REFS: (CONT-5 VAR-1)

FNVARs: (FNVAR-2)

FNDEFS: CLAMBDA

ENV: (FNVAR-2 CONT-5 VAR-1)

REFS: (FNVAR-2)

VARS: (CONT-6 VAR-3 VAR-4)

BODY: CIF

ENV: \*\*\*

REFS: (FNVAR-2 CONT-6 VAR-3 VAR-4)

PRED: TRIVIAL

REFS: (VAR-3)

(= VAR-3 0)

CON: RETURN

ENV: \*\*\*

REFS: (CONT-6 VAR-4)

CONT: CVARIABLE

ENV: \*\*\*

REFS: (CONT-6)

VAR: CONT-6

VAL: TRIVIAL

REFS: (VAR-4)

VAR-4

ALT: CCOMBINATION

ENV: \*\*\*

REFS: (FNVAR-2 CONT-6 VAR-3 VAR-4)

ARGS: TRIVIAL

REFS: (FNVAR-2)

FNVAR-2

CVARIABLE

ENV: \*\*\*

REFS: (CONT-6)

VAR: CONT-6

TRIVIAL

REFS: (VAR-3)

(- VAR-3 1)

TRIVIAL

REFS: (VAR-3 VAR-4)

(\* VAR-3 VAR-4)

BODY: CCOMBINATION

ENV: (FNVAR-2 CONT-5 VAR-1)

REFS: (FNVAR-2 CONT-5 VAR-1)

ARGS: TRIVIAL

REFS: (FNVAR-2)

FNVAR-2



```

CVARIABLE
  ENV:  (FNVAR-2 CONT-5 VAR-1)
  REFS: (CONT-5)
  VAR:  CONT-5
TRIVIAL
  REFS: (VAR-1)
  VAR-1
TRIVIAL
  REFS: ( )
  1

```

The reader is asked to imagine that where \*\*\* occurs the expression

(CONT-6 VAR-3 VAR-4 FNVAR-2 CONT-5 VAR-1)

had been written instead. An additional operation performed on this pass is to flag all variables referenced in other than function position. These include VAR-1, VAR-3, etc.; but FNVAR-2 is not among them. This will be of importance below.

The next pass determines all variables referenced by closures at or below each node, and also decides which functions will actually be closed. It is determined that FNVAR-2 need not be closed, because it is referred to only in function position (as determined by the previous pass), and is not referred to by any other closures. As a result, no closures are created at all in this function, and so all the computed sets of variables are empty. This pass also assigns the name F-7 to the outer function, for use later as a tag.

The third pass computes the "depth" of each function, which determines through what registers or other locations arguments will be passed for each function. In this case the outer CLAMBDA is assigned depth 0, and the one labelled FNVAR-2 is assigned depth 2, because it is not closed, and is contained in a depth 0 function of 2 arguments. In this way registers are allocated in a purely stack-like manner; all closed functions are of depth 0, and all unclosed ones are at a depth determined by that of the containing function and its number

of arguments.

One way to think about this trick is as follows. A closure consists of a pointer to a piece of code and a set of values determined at the time of closure. When the closure is invoked, we execute the code, making available to it (a) the set of values (its environment), and (b) some additional arguments. Slicing these components a different way, we may think of calling the bare code, supplying all the values as arguments; we pass the arguments in some registers, and the environment values in some other registers. Put yet another way, if we can determine that every caller of the closed function can reconstruct the necessary environment at the time of the call (because it will have available the necessary values anyway), then we can avoid constructing the closure at the point where the function should be closed, and instead arrange for each caller to pass the environment through specified registers. As mentioned earlier, the compiler has a completely free hand in determining the format of an environment!

As it happens, the function labelled FNVAR-2 does not reference CONT-5 or VAR-1, and so this argument is of no importance here. It is determined that the following register assignments will apply:

CONT-5	**CONT**
VAR-1	**ONE**
FNVAR-2	<none>
CONT-6	**TWO**
VAR-3	**THREE**
VAR-4	**FOUR**

{Note Continuation Variable Hack} We will see below that some unnecessary shuffling of values results; a more complicated register assignment technique would be useful here. (One was outlined in [Declarative], but it has not been implemented. See also [Wulf] and [Johnsson].)

The fourth post-conversion analysis pass determines the format of

environments for closed functions. Since there are none in this example, this analysis is of little interest here.

Finally, we are ready to generate code. Consider the S-expression form:

```
(LAMBDA (CONT-5 VAR-1)
  (LABELS ((FNVAR-2
            (LAMBDA (CONT-6 VAR-3 VAR-4)
              (IF (= VAR-3 0)
                  (CONT-6 VAR-4)
                  (FNVAR-2 CONT-6
                           (- VAR-3 1)
                           (* VAR-3 VAR-4))))))
    (FNVAR-2 CONT-5 VAR-1 1)))
```

The first function encountered is the outer one (named F-7). In analyzing its body we note the LABELS, and place all the labelled functions (that is, FNVAR-2) on the queue of functions yet to be processed. We then analyze the body of the LABELS. This is a combination, and so we analyze each argument, producing code for each. Each argument must be TRIVIAL, a (C)VARIABLE, or a (C)LAMBDA-expression. (We shall refer to this set of possibilities as "meta-trivial", which means what "trivial" did in [Imperative].) The variable FNVAR-2 refers to a known function which is not closed, and so we need not set up \*\*FUN\*\*. The others may be referred to as \*\*CONT\*\*, \*\*ONE\*\*, and the constant 1, respectively. These are to be passed to FNVAR-2 through the registers \*\*TWO\*\*, \*\*THREE\*\*, and \*\*FOUR\*\* (as determined by the register allocation pass). Thus the code for F-7 looks like this:

```
F-7  ((LAMBDA (Q-40 Q-41 Q-42)
      (SETQ **FOUR** Q-42)
      (SETQ **THREE** Q-41)
      (SETQ **TWO** Q-40))
      **CONT** **ONE** '1)
      (GO FNVAR-2)
```

The first form sets up the arguments, using a standard "simultaneous assignment"

construction. The second branches to the code for FNVAR-2. Because a known function is being called, it is not necessary to set up **\*\*NARGS\*\***. Because FNVAR-2 requires no closure, it is not necessary to set up **\*\*ENV\*\***.

The next function on the queue to process is FNVAR-2. Its body is an IF (actually a CIF); this is compiled into a COND containing the code for the predicate, consequent, and alternative:

```
(COND (<predicate> <consequent>)
      (T <alternative>))
```

The predicate is guaranteed to be meta-trivial. It is, in this example, a trivial combination; this is compiled by changing all the variable references appropriately, producing (= **\*\*THREE\*\*** '0).

The consequent involves calling an unknown continuation which is in **\*\*TWO\*\***. The returned value is in **\*\*FOUR\*\***. The code produced is:

```
(SETQ **FUN** **TWO**)
(SETQ **ONE** **FOUR**)
(RETURN NIL)
```

The (RETURN NIL) exits the module, passing control to the dispatcher in the SCHEME interpreter, which will arrange to invoke the continuation.

The code for the alternative is similar to that for the body of F-7, because we are calling the known function FNVAR-2. The generated code is:

```
((LAMBDA (Q-43 Q-44)
  (SETQ **FOUR** Q-44)
  (SETQ **THREE** Q-43))
 (- **THREE** '1)
 (* **THREE** **FOUR**))
(GO FNVAR-2)
```

The argument set-up ought to involve copying **\*\*TWO\*\*** into **\*\*TWO\*\***, but a peephole optimization eliminates that SETQ.

Putting all this together, the code for FNVAR-2 is:

```
FNVAR-2 (COND ((= **THREE** '0)
              (SETQ **FUN** **TWO**)
              (SETQ **ONE** **FOUR**)
              (RETURN NIL))
          (T ((LAMBDA (Q-43 Q-44)
              (SETQ **FOUR** Q-44)
              (SETQ **THREE** Q-43))
            (- **THREE** '1)
            (* **THREE** **FOUR**))
            (GO FNVAR-2)))
```

(We have glossed over the peephole optimizations which eliminate occurrences of PROG in such places as COND clauses.)

There are no more functions to be processed, and so we now create the final module. The final output, with comments inserted by RABBIT for debugging purposes, and declarations supplied by RABBIT for the benefit of the MacLISP compiler, looks like this:

```
(PROGN 'COMPILE
  (COMMENT MODULE FOR FUNCTION IFACT)
  (DEFUN ?-37 ()
    (PROG ()
      (DECLARE (SPECIAL ?-37))
      (GO (PROG2 NIL (CAR **ENV**) (SETQ **ENV** (CDR **ENV**))))
      F-7 (COMMENT (DEPTH = 0) (FNP = NIL) (VARS = (CONT-5 N)))
          ((LAMBDA (Q-40 Q-41 Q-42)
            (SETQ **FOUR** Q-42)
            (SETQ **THREE** Q-41)
            (SETQ **TWO** Q-40))
          **CONT** **ONE** '1)
      (COMMENT (DEPTH = 2) (FNP = NOCLOSE) (VARS = (CONT-6 M A)))
      (GO FNVAR-2)
      FNVAR-2
      (COMMENT (DEPTH = 2) (FNP = NOCLOSE) (VARS = (CONT-6 M A)))
      (COND ((= **THREE** '0)
            (SETQ **FUN** **TWO**)
            (SETQ **ONE** **FOUR**)
            (RETURN NIL))
          (T ((LAMBDA (Q-43 Q-44)
              (SETQ **FOUR** Q-44)
              (SETQ **THREE** Q-43))
            (- **THREE** '1)
            (* **THREE** **FOUR**))
            (GO FNVAR-2)))
```

```

      (COMMENT (DEPTH = 2) (FNP = NOCLOSE) (VARS = (CONT-6 M A)))
      (GO FNVAR-2))))))
(SETQ ?-37 (GET '?-37 'SUBR))
(SETQ IFACT (LIST 'CBETA ?-37 'F-7))
(DEFPROP ?-37 IFACT USER-FUNCTION))

```

In the interpolated comments, FNP refers to whether the function being entered or being called is closed or not (the possibilities are NIL, NOCLOSE, and EZCLOSE). The VARS are the passed variables, expressed as the names from the original source code, except for those introduced by the CPS conversion. The form (SETQ IFACT ...) constructs the closure for the globally defined function IFACT. The DEFPROP form provides debugging information.

The points of interest in this example are the isolation of trivial subforms, and the analysis of the function FNVAR-2 which allows it to be called with GO. Examination of the output code will show that FNVAR-2 is coded as an iterative loop. While the register allocation leaves something to be desired, the inner loop does surprisingly little shuffling. (This should be compared with the code suggested in [Declarative] for this function.)

For those who prefer "real" machine language, we give a plausible transcription of the MacLISP code into our hypothetical machine language:

```

IFACT:  PUSH CONT           ;CONT contains the return address
        PUSH ONE
        PUSH 1
        POP FOUR
        POP THREE
        POP TWO
        GOTO FNVAR2

```

```

FNVAR2: JUMP-IF-ZERO THREE, FNV2A
        MOVE ONE, FOUR
        RETURN (TWO)           ;return to address in TWO
FNV2A:  MOVE TEMP, THREE      ;TEMP is used to evaluate
        ADD TEMP, 1           ; trivial forms
        PUSH TEMP
        MOVE TEMP, THREE
        MUL TEMP, FOUR
        PUSH TEMP
        POP FOUR
        POP THREE
        GOTO FNVAR2

```

While this is not the world's most impressively tight code, it again shows the essential iterative structure of the inner loop. The primary problem is the absence of analysis of which registers are used when. Leaving aside the question of allocating registers, one could at least determine when assigning values to registers for argument set-up can occur sequentially rather than simultaneously.

There are a few other obvious optimizations which have not been performed, for example the elimination of (GO FNVAR-2) just before the tag FNVAR-2. While this would not have been difficult, we knew that the MacLISP compiler would take care of this for us; since it is not a very interesting issue, we let it slide.

## 10. Performance Measurements

RABBIT has provision for metering runtime usage, and for controlling whether certain options in the optimizer are used. The standard test case has been RABBIT compiling itself (!); by running both interpreted and compiled version of this task, some comparisons have been made. Two different compiled versions have also been tested, where the code was produced with or without using the optimizer.

The overall speed gain of unoptimized compiled code over interpreted code has been measured to be a factor of 25. The speed gain ratio excluding time for garbage collection was 17, and the garbage collection time ratio was 34. (The SCHEME interpreter does a lot of consing. The straight runtime ratio of 17 is roughly typical for standard LISP compilers on non-numeric code.)

The overall speed ratio of optimized compiled code to unoptimized compiled code has been measured to be 1.2. The speed ratio excluding garbage collection was 1.37, and the garbage collection time ratio was 1.07. We conclude that the amount of consing was reduced very little, despite optimizations which may eliminate closures, because the phase-2 analysis of closures eliminated most consing from that source anyway. Eliminations of register shuffling because of substitutions of one variable for another were probably more significant.

Combining these figures yields an overall speed ratio for optimized compiled code over interpreted code of about 30.

Turning now to the analysis of compilation time, as opposed to running time, we have found that using the optimizer approximately doubles the cost of compilation. It might be possible to reduce this with a more clever optimizer; presently RABBIT wastes much time re-doing certain analysis unnecessarily. The extra time needed by the optimizer excluding garbage collection is only half



again the overall compilation time, but the garbage collection time triples, because the optimizer copies and re-copies parts of the program.

There is also one error check which is very expensive; it checks every argument of a combination against every other argument to check for possible side-effect conflicts (this is the "liberal" analysis in EFFS-ANALYZE, and the testing done by CHECK-COMBINATION-PEFFS). Use of this error check increased compilation time by thirty percent.

## 11. Comparison with Other Work

The only other work we know of similar to ours is that in [Wand and Friedman]. They use a technique from category theory known as factorization to isolate trivial expressions. As far as they go, their work is similar to ours; they have written a compiler for LISP code, producing output code which uses continuations. However, they indicate that they cannot interface compiled and interpreted code correctly. Moreover, while they use continuations, they do not make general use of closures, and in fact there is no clue that closures are permitted in their source language, or that functions are permissible as data objects. (In fact, there is evidence to the contrary in several examples they give involving an expression

```
(MAPCAR (QUOTE (LAMBDA ...)) ...)
```

These seem to indicate that they have not made the crucial distinction between treating a function as a data object and treating a representation of a function as data.) Wand and Friedman do realize the importance of tail-recursion, but fail to mention the necessity for lexical scoping (perhaps taking it for granted). We feel that the contributions of category theory may provide interesting new ways to analyze programs, but also feel that Wand and Friedman have not, in the work cited, explored it thoroughly, since they have not even explored the issue of closures as such.

Somewhat more distantly related is the work of Carter and others at the IBM T.J. Watson Research Lab. [Carter] This work is similar in spirit, in that it uses "macro definitions" of complex operators, which are integrated into the program being compiled, followed by source-to-source program transformations which optimize the resulting code. However, they have primarily worked with

definitions of complex data manipulations, such as string concatenation, whereas this report has dealt exclusively with environment and control operations. (Also, as a matter of taste, we find SCHEME a simpler and more tractable language to deal with than the low-level dialect of PL/I used in [Carter], partly because of its closeness to lambda-calculus and partly because SCHEME inherits from LISP the natural ability to deal with representations of its own programs.)

## 12. Conclusions and Future Work

Lexical scoping, tail-recursion, the conceptual treatment of functions (as opposed to representations thereof) as data objects, and the ability to notate "anonymous" functions make SCHEME an excellent language in which to express program transformations and optimizations. Imperative constructs are easily modelled by applicative definitions. Anonymous functions make it easy to avoid needless duplication of code and conflict of variable names. A language with these properties is useful not only at the preliminary optimization level, but for expressing the results of decisions about order of evaluation and storage of temporary quantities. These properties make SCHEME as good a candidate as any for an UNCOL. The proper treatment of functions and function calls leads to generation of excellent imperative low-level code.

We have emphasized the ability to treat functions as data objects. We should point out that one might want to have a very simple run-time environment which did not support complex environment structures, or even stacks. Such an end environment does not preclude the use of the techniques described here. Many optimizations result in the elimination of LAMBDA-expressions; post CPS-conversion analysis eliminates the need to close many of the remaining LAMBDA-expressions. One could use the macros and internal representations of RABBIT to describe intermediate code transformations, and require that the final code not actually create any closures. As a concrete example, imagine writing an operating system in SCHEME, with machine words as the data domain (and functions excluded from the run-time data domain). We could still meaningfully write, for example:

```
(IF (OR (STOPPED (PROCESS I))
        (AWAITING-INPUT (PROCESS I)))
    (SCHEDULE-LOOP (+ I 1))
    (SCHEDULE-PROCESS I))
```

While the intermediate expansion of this code would conceptually involve the use of functions as data objects, optimizations would reduce the final code to a form which did not require closures at run time.

An experiment we would like to try would be to use CGOL [Pratt], a program which parses ALGOL-like syntax and produces LISP code, as a front end for RABBIT. The result would be a compiler for an ALGOL-like language which would produce code by the processes of parsing (by CGOL); macro-expansion, optimization, and output of MacLISP code (by RABBIT); and generation of PDP-10 machine language (by the MacLISP compiler).

Among the interesting issues we have not dealt with or have not yet implemented in RABBIT are: compilation of data manipulation primitives, interaction of such primitives, procedure integration of the most general form, and complex register allocation. A particularly interesting issue is that of data type analysis. Such analysis would solve certain problems which cannot easily be solved now by RABBIT. For example, consider the piece of code:

```
(IF (OR A B) X Y)
```

The macro-expansion and optimization phases will reduce this to:

```
(IF A (IF A X Y) (IF B X Y))
```

The difficulty is that RABBIT has no way of knowing that A is known to be non-null in the first inner IF by virtue of the testing of A in the outer IF. If it could realize this, then the code would reduce to the more reasonable:

(IF A X (IF B X Y))

Compare this with the case of (IF (AND ...) ...) presented earlier.

One particularly nagging difficulty concerns an interaction between CATCH and optimization by substituting expressions for variables. The problem is that if an expression with a side-effect is substituted into a place which is evaluated after the return of a call to an unknown function (where it had been written at a place normally evaluated before the call), and if a CATCH is performed within that unknown function, and the escape function is subsequently called more than once, then the expression with a side-effect will be evaluated twice instead of once. There is no possible way to decide whether this can happen, other than to be fearful of all unknown function calls. In practice this defeats most optimization. We have ignored this difficulty in RABBIT. It probably indicates that escape functions are even more intractable than we had earlier believed. It would not be so bad if we could insist that an escape function be called no more than once (or rather, that a CATCH be returned from no more than once, implying that if the escape function is used it must be dynamically within the body of the CATCH). If this restriction is enforced, or if CATCH is forbidden, then in fact no continuation can be invoked more than once, which, with other suitable restrictions, accounts for the ability of most languages to use stacks instead of trees for their control stacks.

Notes

## {Note ASET' Is Imperative}

It is true that ASET' is an actual imperative which produces a side effect, and is not expressed applicatively. ASET' is used only for two purposes in practice: to initialize global variables (often relating to MacLISP primitives), and to implement objects with state (cells, in the PLASMA sense [Smith and Hewitt] [Hewitt and Smith]). If we were to redesign SCHEME from scratch, I imagine that we would introduce cells as our primitive side-effect rather than ASET'. The decision to use ASET' was motivated primarily by the desire to interface easily to the MacLISP environment (and, as a corollary, to be able to implement SCHEME in three days instead of three years!).

We note that in approximately one hundred pages of SCHEME code written by three people, the non-quoted ASET has never been used, and ASET' has been used only a dozen times or so, always for one of the two purposes mentioned above. In most situations where one would like to write an assignment of some kind, macros which expand into applicative constructions suffice.

**{Note Code Pointers}**

Conceptually a closure is made up of a pointer to some code (a "script" [Smith and Hewitt]) and an environment. In a RABBIT-formatted CBETA, the pointer to the code is encoded into two levels: a pointer to a particular piece of MacLISP code, plus a tag within that PROG. This implementation was forced upon us by MacLISP. If we could easily create pointers into the middle of a PROG, we could avoid this two-level encoding.

On the other hand, this is not just an engineering kludge, but can be provided with a reasonable semantic explanation: rather than compiling a lot of little functions, we compile a single big function which is a giant CASE statement. Wherever we wish to make a closure of a little function, we actually close a different little function which calls the big function with an extra argument to dispatch on.

**{Note Continuation Variable Hack}**

Since the dissertation was written, a simple modification to the routine which converts to continuation-passing style has eliminated some of the register shuffling. The effect of the change was to perform substitutions of one continuation variable for another, in situations such as:

```
((CLAMBDA (CONT-3 ...) ...)
  CONT-2 ...)
```

where CONT-2 would be substituted for CONT-3 in the body of the CLAMBDA-expression. Once this is done, CONT-3 is unreferenced, and so is not really passed at all by virtue of the phase-2 analysis. The result is that continuations are not copied back and forth from register to register. In the



iterative factorial example in the text, the actual register assignment would be:

CONT-5	**CONT**
VAR-1	**ONE**
FNVAR-2	<none>
VAR-3	**TWO**
VAR-4	**THREE**

This optimization is discussed more thoroughly in the Appendix near the routine CONVERT-COMBINATION.

{Note Dijkstra's Opinion}

In [Dijkstra] a remark is made to the effect that defining the while-do construct in terms of function calls seems unusually clumsy. In [Steele] we reply that this is due partly to Dijkstra's choice of ALGOL for expressing the definition. Here we would add that, while such a definition is completely workable and is useful for compilation purposes, we need never tell the user that we defined while-do in this manner! Only the writer of the macros needs to know the complexity involved; the user need not, and should not, care as long as the construction works when he uses it.

**{Note Evaluation for Control}**

It is usual in a compiler to distinguish at least three "evaluation contexts": value, control, and effect. (See [Wulf], for example.) Evaluation for control occurs in the predicate of an IF, where the point is not so much to produce a data object as simply to decide whether it is true or false. The results of AND, OR, and NOT operations in predicates are "encoded in the program counter". When compiling an AND, OR, or NOT, a flag is passed down indicating whether it is for value or for control; in the latter case, two tags are also passed down, indicating the branch targets for success or failure. (This is called "anchor pointing" in [Allen and Cocke].)

In RABBIT this notion falls out automatically without any special handling, thanks to the definition of AND and OR as macros expanding into IF statements. If we were also to define NOT as a macro

```
(NOT x) => (IF x 'NIL 'T)
```

then nearly all such special "evaluation for control" cases would be handled by virtue of the nested-IF transformation in the optimizer.

One transformation which ought to be in the optimizer is

```
(IF ((LAMBDA (X Y ...) <body>) A B ...) <con> <alt>)
=> ((LAMBDA (X Y ...) (IF <body> <con> <alt>)) A B ...)
```

which could be important if the <body> is itself an IF. (This transformation would occur at a point (in the optimizer) where no conflicts between X, Y, ... and variables used in <con> and <alt> could occur.)

{Note Evaluation for Effect}

This is the point where the notion of evaluation for effect is handled (see {Note Evaluation for Control}). It is detected as the special case of evaluation for value where no one refers to the value! This may be construed as the distinction between "statement" and "expression" made in Algol-like languages.

{Note Full-Funarg Example}

As an example of the difference between lexical and dynamic scoping, consider the classic case of the "funarg problem". We have defined a function MAPCAR which, given a function and a list, produces a new list of the results of the function applied to each element of the given list:

```
(DEFINE MAPCAR
  (LAMBDA (FN L)
    (IF (NULL L) NIL
        (CONS (FN (CAR L)) (MAPCAR FN (CDR L))))))
```

Now suppose in another program we have a list X and a number L, and want to add L to every element of X:

```
(MAPCAR (LAMBDA (Z) (+ Z L)) X)
```

This works correctly in a lexically scoped language such as SCHEME, because the L in the function (LAMBDA (Z) (+ Z L)) refers to the value of L at the point the LAMBDA-expression is evaluated. In a dynamically scoped language, such as standard LISP, the L refers to the most recent run-time binding of L, which is the binding in the definition of MAPCAR (which occurs between the time the LAMBDA-expression is passed to MAPCAR and the time the LAMBDA-expression is

invoked).

{Note Generalized LABELS}

Since the dissertation was written, and indeed after [Revised Report] came out, the format of LABELS in SCHEME was generalized to permit labelled functions to be defined using any of the same three formats permitted by DEFINE in [Revised Report]. RABBIT has been updated to reflect this change, and the code for it appears in the Appendix.

{Note Heap-Allocated Contours}

RABBIT maintains heap-allocated environments as a simple chained list of variable values. However, all the variables which are added on at once as a single set may be regarded as a new "contour" in the Algol sense. Such contours could be heap-allocated arrays (vectors), and so an environment would be a chained list of such little arrays. The typical Algol implementation technique using a "display" (a margin array whose elements point at successive elements (contours) of the environment chain) is clearly applicable here. One advantage of the list-of-all-values representation actually used in RABBIT is that null contours automatically add no content to the environment structure, which makes it easier to recognize later, in the code generator, that no environment adjustments are necessary in changing between two environments which differ only by null contours (see the code for ADJUST-KNOWNFN-CENV in the Appendix).

**{Note Loop Unrolling}**

In the case of a LABELS used to implement a loop, the substitution of a labelled function for the variable which names it would constitute an instance of loop unrolling [Allen and Cocke], particularly if the substitution permitted subsequent optimizations such as eliminating dead code. Here, as elsewhere, a specific optimization technique falls out as a consequence of the more general technique of beta-conversion.

**{Note Multiple-Argument Continuations}**

One could easily define a SCHEME-like language in which continuations could take more than one argument (that is, functions could return several values); see the discussion in [Declarative]. We have elected not to provide for this in SCHEME and RABBIT.

**{Note Non-deterministic CPS Conversion}**

As with optimization, so the conversion to continuation-passing style involves decisions which ideally could be made non-deterministically. The decisions made at this level will affect later decisions involving register allocation, etc., which cannot easily be foreseen at this stage.

**{Note Non-deterministic Optimization}**

To simplify the implementation, RABBIT uses only a deterministic (and very conservative) optimizer. Ideally, an optimizer would be non-deterministic in structure; it could try an optimization, see how the result interacted with other optimizations, and back out if the end result is not as good as desired. We have experimented briefly with the use of the AMORD language [Doyle] to build a non-deterministic compiler, but have no significant results yet.

We can see more clearly the fundamental unity of macros and other optimizations in the light of this hypothetical non-deterministic implementation. Rather than trying to guess ahead of time whether a macro expansion or optimization is desirable, it goes ahead and tries, and then measures the utility of the result. The only difference between a macro and other optimizations is that a macro call is an all-or-nothing situation: if it cannot be expanded for some reason, it is of infinite disutility, while if it can its disutility is finite. This leads to the idea of non-deterministic macro expansions, which we have not pursued.

**{Note Non-quoted ASET}**

The SCHEME interpreter permits one to compute the name of the variable, but for technical and philosophical reasons RABBIT forbids this. We shall treat "ASET'" as a single syntactic object (think "ASETQ").

Hewitt (private communication) and others have objected that the ASET primitive is "dangerous" in that one cannot predict what variable may be clobbered, and in that it makes one dependent on the representation of variables (since one can "compute up" an arbitrary variable to be set). The first is a valid objection on the basis of programming style or programming philosophy.

(Indeed, on this basis alone it was later decided to remove ASET from the SCHEME language, leaving only ASET' in [Revised Report].) The second is only slightly true; the compiler can treat ASET with a non-quoted first argument as a sort of macro. Let V1, V2, ..., VN be the names of the bound variables accessible to the occurrence of ASET in question. These names are all distinct, for if two are the same, one variable "shadows" another, and so we may omit the one shadowed (and so inaccessible). Then we may write the transformation:

```
(ASET a b) => ((LAMBDA (Q1 Q2)
                (COND ((EQ Q1 'V1) (ASET' V1 Q2))
                      ((EQ Q1 'V2) (ASET' V2 Q2))
                      ...
                      ((EQ Q1 'VN) (ASET' VN Q2))
                      (T (GLOBAL-SET P Q1 Q2))))
              a
              b)
```

This transformation is to be made after the alpha-conversion process, which renames all variables; Q1 and Q2 are two more generated variables guaranteed not to conflict with V1, ..., VN. This expansion makes quite explicit the fact that we are comparing against a list of symbols to decide which variable to modify. The actual run-time representation of variables is not exploited, the one exception being the GLOBAL-SET operator, which raises questions about the meaning of the global environment and the user interface which we are not prepared to answer.

(See also {Note ASET' Is Imperative}.)

{Note Old CPS Algorithm}

We reproduce here Appendix A of [Declarative]:

Here we present a set of functions, written in SCHEME, which convert a SCHEME expression from functional style to pure continuation-passing style.

{Note PLASMA CPS}

```
(ASET' GENTEMPNUM 0)
```

```
(DEFINE GENTEMP
  (LAMBDA (X)
    (IMPLODE (CONS X (EXPLODEN (ASET' GENTEMPNUM (+ GENTEMPNUM 1)))))))
```

GENTEMP creates a new unique symbol consisting of a given prefix and a unique number.

```
(DEFINE CPS (LAMBDA (SEXPR) (SPRINTER (CPC SEXPR NIL '#CONT#))))
```

CPS (Continuation-Passing Style) is the main function; its argument is the expression to be converted. It calls CPC (C-P Conversion) to do the real work, and then calls SPRINTER to pretty-print the result, for convenience. The symbol #CONT# is used to represent the implied continuation which is to receive the value of the expression.



```
(DEFINE CPC
  (LAMBDA (SEXPR ENV CONT)
    (COND ((ATOM SEXPR) (CPC-ATOM SEXPR ENV CONT))
          ((EQ (CAR SEXPR) 'QUOTE)
           (IF CONT "(,CONT ,SEXPR) SEXPR))
          ((EQ (CAR SEXPR) 'LAMBDA)
           (CPC-LAMBDA SEXPR ENV CONT))
          ((EQ (CAR SEXPR) 'IF)
           (CPC-IF SEXPR ENV CONT))
          ((EQ (CAR SEXPR) 'CATCH)
           (CPC-CATCH SEXPR ENV CONT))
          ((EQ (CAR SEXPR) 'LABELS)
           (CPC-LABELS SEXPR ENV CONT))
          ((AND (ATOM (CAR SEXPR))
                (GET (CAR SEXPR) 'AMACRO))
           (CPC (FUNCALL (GET (CAR SEXPR) 'AMACRO) SEXPR) ENV CONT))
          (T (CPC-FORM SEXPR ENV CONT))))))
```

CPC merely dispatches to one of a number of subsidiary routines based on the form of the expression SEXPR. ENV represents the environment in which SEXPR will be evaluated; it is a list of the variable names. When CPS initially calls CPC, ENV is NIL. CONT is the continuation which will receive the value of SEXPR. The double-quote (") is like a single-quote, except that within the quoted expression any subexpressions preceded by comma (,) are evaluated and substituted in (also, any subexpressions preceded by atsign (@) are substituted in a list segments). One special case handled directly by CPC is a quoted expression; CPC also expands any SCHEME macros encountered.

```
(DEFINE CPC-ATOM
  (LAMBDA (SEXPR ENV CONT)
    ((LAMBDA (AT) (IF CONT "(,CONT ,AT) AT))
     (COND ((NUMBERP SEXPR) SEXPR)
           ((MEMQ SEXPR ENV) SEXPR)
           ((GET SEXPR 'CPS-NAME))
           (T (IMPLODE (CONS '% (EXPLODEN SEXPR))))))))
```

For convenience, CPC-ATOM will change the name of a global atom. Numbers and atoms in the environment are not changed; otherwise, a specified name on the property list of the given atom is used (properties defined below convert "+"

into "++", etc.); otherwise, the name is prefixed with "%". Once the name has been converted, it is converted to a form which invokes the continuation on the atom. (If a null continuation is supplied, the atom itself is returned.)

```
(DEFINE CPC-LAMBDA
  (LAMBDA (SEXPR ENV CONT)
    ((LAMBDA (CN)
      ((LAMBDA (LX) (IF CONT "(.CONT ,LX) LX))
        "(LAMBDA (@(CADR SEXPR) ,CN)
          .(CPC (CADDR SEXPR)
            (APPEND (CADR SEXPR) (CONS CN ENV))
            CN))))
      (GENTEMP 'C))))
```

A LAMBDA expression must have an additional parameter, the continuation supplied to its body, added to its parameter list. CN holds the name of this generated parameter. A new LAMBDA expression is created, with CN added, and with its body converted in an environment containing the new variables. Then the same test for a null CONT is made as in CPC-ATOM.

```
(DEFINE CPC-IF
  (LAMBDA (SEXPR ENV CONT)
    ((LAMBDA (KN)
      "((LAMBDA (,KN)
        .(CPC (CADR SEXPR)
          ENV
          ((LAMBDA (PN)
            "(LAMBDA (,PN)
              (IF ,PN
                .(CPC (CADDR SEXPR)
                  ENV
                  KN)
                .(CPC (CADDR SEXPR)
                  ENV
                  KN))))
          (GENTEMP 'P))))
      .CONT))
    (GENTEMP 'K))))
```

First, the continuation for an IF must be given a name KN (rather, the name held in KN; but for convenience, we will continue to use this ambiguity, for the form

of the name is indeed  $Kn$  for some number  $n$ ), for it will be referred to in two places and we wish to avoid duplicating the code. Then, the predicate is converted to continuation-passing style, using a continuation which will receive the result and call it  $PN$ . This continuation will then use an  $IF$  to decide which converted consequent to invoke. Each consequent is converted using continuation  $KN$ .

```
(DEFINE CPC-CATCH
  (LAMBDA (SEXPR ENV CONT)
    ((LAMBDA (EN)
      "((LAMBDA (.EN)
        ((LAMBDA (.(CADR SEXPR))
          ,(CPC (CADDR SEXPR)
              (CONS (CADR SEXPR) ENV)
              EN))
          (LAMBDA (V C) (.EN V))))
        .CONT))
      (GENTEMP 'E))))
```

This routine handles  $CATCH$  as defined in [Sussman 75], and in converting it to continuation-passing style eliminates all occurrences of  $CATCH$ . The idea is to give the continuation a name  $EN$ , and to bind the  $CATCH$  variable to a continuation  $(LAMBDA (V C) \dots)$  which ignores its continuation and instead exits the catch by calling  $EN$  with its argument  $V$ . The body of the  $CATCH$  is converted using continuation  $EN$ .

```
(DEFINE CPC-LABELS
  (LAMBDA (SEXPR ENV CONT)
    (DO ((X (CADR SEXPR) (CDR X))
        (Y ENV (CONS (CAAR X) Y)))
      ((NULL X)
        (DO ((W (CADR SEXPR) (CDR W))
            (Z NIL (CONS (LIST (CAAR W)
                          (CPC (CADAR W) Y NIL))
                        Z)))
          ((NULL W)
            "(LABELS ,(REVERSE Z)
              ,(CPC (CADDR SEXPR) Y CONT))))))
```

Here we have used DO loops as defined in MacLISP (DO is implemented as a macro in SCHEME). There are two passes, one performed by each DO. The first pass merely collects in Y the names of all the labelled LAMBDA expressions. The second pass converts all the LAMBDA expressions using a null continuation and an environment augmented by all the collected names in Y, collecting them in Z. At the end, a new LABELS is constructed using the results in Z and a converted LABELS body.

```
(DEFINE CPC-FORM
  (LAMBDA (SEXPR ENV CONT)
    (LABELS ((LOOP1
              (LAMBDA (X Y Z)
                (IF (NULL X)
                    (DO ((F (REVERSE (CONS CONT Y))
                        (IF (NULL (CAR Z)) F
                            (CPC (CAR Z)
                                ENV
                                "(LAMBDA (.(CAR Y)) .F))))
                    (Y Y (CDR Y))
                    (Z Z (CDR Z)))
                ((NULL Z) F))
              (COND ((OR (NULL (CAR X))
                        (ATOM (CAR X)))
                    (LOOP1 (CDR X)
                          (CONS (CPC (CAR X) ENV NIL) Y)
                          (CONS NIL Z)))
                ((EQ (CAAR X) 'QUOTE)
                    (LOOP1 (CDR X)
                          (CONS (CAR X) Y)
                          (CONS NIL Z)))
                ((EQ (CAAR X) 'LAMBDA)
                    (LOOP1 (CDR X)
                          (CONS (CPC (CAR X) ENV NIL) Y)
                          (CONS NIL Z)))
                (T (LOOP1 (CDR X)
                        (CONS (GENTEMP 'T) Y)
                        (CONS (CAR X) Z))))))))
    (LOOP1 SEXPR NIL NIL))))
```

This, the most complicated routine, converts forms (function calls). This also operates in two passes. The first pass, using LOOP1, uses X to step down the expression, collecting data in Y and Z. At each step, if the next element of X can be evaluated trivially, then it is converted with a null continuation and

added to Y, and NIL is added to Z. Otherwise, a temporary name TN for the result of the subexpression is created and put in Y, and the subexpression itself is put in Z. On the second pass (the DO loop), the final continuation-passing form is constructed in F from the inside out. At each step, if the element of Z is non-null, a new continuation must be created. (There is actually a bug in CPC-FORM, which has to do with variables affected by side-effects. This is easily fixed by changing LOOP1 so that it generates temporaries for variables even though variables evaluate trivially. This would only obscure the examples presented below, however, and so this was omitted.)

```
(LABELS ((BAR
  (LAMBDA (DUMMY X Y)
    (IF (NULL X) '|CPS ready to go!|
      (BAR (PUTPROP (CAR X) (CAR Y) 'CPS-NAME)
          (CDR X)
          (CDR Y))))))
 (BAR NIL
  '(+ - * // ^ T NIL)
  '(++ -- ** //// ^^ 'T 'NIL)))
```

This loop sets up some properties so that "+" will translate into "++" instead of "%+", etc.

Now let us examine some examples of the action of CPS. First, let us try our old friend FACT, the iterative factorial program.

```
(DEFINE FACT
  (LAMBDA (N)
    (LABELS ((FACT1 (LAMBDA (M A)
      (IF (= M 0) A
          (FACT1 (- M 1) (* M A))))))
      (FACT1 N 1))))
```

Applying CPS to the LAMBDA expression for FACT yields:

```

(#CONT#
  (LAMBDA (N C7)
    (LABELS ((FACT1
              (LAMBDA (M A C10)
                ((LAMBDA (K11)
                  (%= M 0
                    (LAMBDA (P12)
                      (IF P12 (K11 A)
                        (-- M 1
                          (LAMBDA (T13)
                            (** M A
                              (LAMBDA (T14)
                                (FACT1 T13 T14 K11))))))))))
              C10))))
  (FACT1 N 1 C7)))

```

As an example of CATCH elimination, here is a routine which is a paraphrase of the SQRT routine from [Sussman 75]:

```

(DEFINE SQRT
  (LAMBDA (X EPS)
    ((LAMBDA (ANS LOOPTAG)
      (CATCH RETURN TAG
        (BLOCK (ASET' LOOPTAG (CATCH M M))
          (IF ---
            (RETURN TAG ANS)
            NIL)
          (ASET' ANS ===)
          (LOOPTAG LOOPTAG))))
      1.0
      NIL)))

```

Here we have used "----" and "===" as ellipses for complicated (and relatively uninteresting) arithmetic expressions. Applying CPS to the LAMBDA expression for SQRT yields:

```

(#CONT#
(LAMBDA (X EPS C33)
  ((LAMBDA (ANS LOOPTAG C34)
    ((LAMBDA (E35)
      ((LAMBDA (RETURNTAG)
        ((LAMBDA (E52)
          ((LAMBDA (M) (E52 M))
            (LAMBDA (V C) (E52 V))))
          (LAMBDA (T51)
            (%ASET' LOOPTAG T51
              (LAMBDA (T37)
                ((LAMBDA (A B C36) (B C36))
                  T37
                  (LAMBDA (C40)
                    ((LAMBDA (K47)
                      ((LAMBDA (P50)
                        (IF P50
                          (RETURNTAG ANS K47)
                          (K47 'NIL)))
                      %---))
                    (LAMBDA (T42)
                      ((LAMBDA (A B C41) (B C41))
                        T42
                        (LAMBDA (C43)
                          (%ASET' ANS %===
                            (LAMBDA (T45)
                              ((LAMBDA (A B C44)
                                (B C44))
                                T45
                                (LAMBDA (C46)
                                  (LOOPTAG
                                    LOOPTAG
                                    C46))
                                  C43))))
                            C40))))
                      E35))))))
            (LAMBDA (V C) (E35 V))))
          C34))
    1.0
    'NIL
    C33)))

```

Note that the CATCHes have both been eliminated. It is left as an exercise for the reader to verify that the continuation-passing version correctly reflects the semantics of the original.

**{Note Operations on Functions}**

It would certainly be possible to define other operations on functions, such as determining the number of arguments required, or the types of the arguments and returned value, etc. (Indeed, after the dissertation was written, it was decided to include such an operator PROCP in [Revised Report].) The point is that functions need not conform to a specific representation such as S-expressions. At a low level, it may be useful to think of invocation as a generic operator which dispatches on the particular representation and invokes the function in an appropriate manner. Similarly, a debugging package might need to be able to distinguish the various representations. At the user level, however, it is perhaps best to hide this issue, and answer a type inquiry with merely "function".

**{Note Refinement of RABBIT}**

Since the original dissertation was written I have continued to refine and improve RABBIT. This effort has included a complete rewriting of the optimizer to make it more efficient and at the same time more lucid. It also included accommodation of changes to SCHEME as documented in [Revised Report]. This work has spanned perhaps eight months' time, because the availability of computer time restricted me to testing RABBIT only once or twice a night. Thus, the actual time expended for the improvements was much less than ten hours a week.



{Note Side-Effect Classifications}

The division of side-effects into classes in RABBIT was not really necessary to the primary goals of RABBIT, but was undertaken as an interesting experiment for our own edification. One could easily imagine a more complex taxonomy. A case of particular interest not handled by RABBIT is dividing the ASET side-effect into ASET of each particular variable; thus an ASET on FOO would not affect a reference to the variable BAR. This could have been done in an ad hoc manner, but we are interested in a more general method dealing only with sets of effects and affectabilities.

{Note Subroutinization}

We have not said anything about how to locate candidate expressions for subroutinization. For examples of appropriate strategies, see [Geschke] and [Aho, Johnson, and Ullman]. Our point here is that SCHEME, thanks to the property of lexical scoping and the ability to write "anonymous" functions as LAMBDA-expressions, provides an ideal way to represent the result of such transformations.

**{Note Tail-Recursive OR}**

Since the dissertation was written, the SCHEME language was redefined in [Revised Report] to prescribe a "tail-recursive" interpretation for the last form in an AND or OR. This requirement necessitated a redefinition of OR which is in fact dual to the definition of AND.

References

- [Aho, Johnson, and Ullman]  
Aho, A.V., Johnson, S.C., and Ullman, J.D. "Code Generation for Expressions with Common Subexpressions." *J. ACM* 24, 1 (January 1977), 146-160.
- [Allen and Cocke]  
Allen, Frances E., and Cocke, John. "A Catalogue of Optimizing Transformations." In Rustin, Randall (ed.), Design and Optimization of Compilers. Proc. Courant Comp. Sci. Symp. 5. Prentice-Hall (Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1972).
- [Bobrow and Wegbreit]  
Bobrow, Daniel G. and Wegbreit, Ben. "A Model and Stack Implementation of Multiple Environments." *CACM* 16, 10 (October 1973) pp. 591-603.
- [Carter]  
Carter, J. Lawrence. "A Case Study of a New Code Generation Technique for Compilers." *Comm. ACM* 20, 12 (December 1977), 914-920.
- [Church]  
Church, Alonzo. The Calculi of Lambda Conversion. *Annals of Mathematics Studies* Number 6. Princeton University Press (Princeton, 1941). Reprinted by Klaus Reprint Corp. (New York, 1965).
- [Coleman]  
Coleman, Samuel S. JANUS: A Universal Intermediate Language. Ph.D. thesis. University of Colorado (1974).
- [DEC]  
Digital Equipment Corporation. DecSystem 10 Assembly Language Handbook (third edition). (Maynard, Mass., 1973).
- [Declarative]  
Steele, Guy Lewis Jr. LAMBDA: The Ultimate Declarative. AI Memo 379. MIT AI Lab (Cambridge, November 1976).
- [Dijkstra]  
Dijkstra, Edsger W. A Discipline of Programming. Prentice-Hall (Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1976).
- [Doyle]  
Doyle, Jon, de Kleer, Johan, Sussman, Gerald Jay, and Steele, Guy L. Jr. "AMORD: A Dependency-Based Problem-Solving Language." Submitted to the 1977 SIGART/SIGPLAN Artificial Intelligence and Programming Languages Conference.
- [Geschke]  
Geschke, Charles M. Global Program Optimizations. Ph.D. thesis. Carnegie-Mellon University (Pittsburgh, October 1972).

- [Gries]  
Gries, David. Compiler Construction for Digital Computers. John Wiley & Sons (New York, 1971), 252-257.
- [Hewitt]  
Hewitt, Carl. "Viewing Control Structures as Patterns of Passing Messages." *AI Journal* 8, 3 (June 1977), 323-364.
- [Hewitt and Smith]  
Hewitt, Carl, and Smith, Brian. "Towards a Programming Apprentice." *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering* SE-1, 1 (March 1975), 26-45.
- [Imperative]  
Steele, Guy Lewis Jr., and Sussman, Gerald Jay. LAMBDA: The Ultimate Imperative. AI Memo 353. MIT AI Lab (Cambridge, March 1976).
- [Johnsson]  
Johnsson, Richard Karl. An Approach to Global Register Allocation. Ph.D. Thesis. Carnegie-Mellon University (Pittsburgh, December 1975).
- [Landin]  
Landin, Peter J. "A Correspondence between ALGOL 60 and Church's Lambda-Notation." *CACM* 8, 2-3 (February and March 1965).
- [LISP1.5M]  
McCarthy, John, et al. LISP 1.5 Programmer's Manual. The MIT Press (Cambridge, 1962).
- [McKeeman]  
McKeeman, W.M. "Peephole optimization." *CACM* 8, 7 (July 1965), 443-444.
- [Moon]  
Moon, David A. MACLISP Reference Manual, Revision 0. Project MAC, MIT (Cambridge, April 1974).
- [Moses]  
Moses, Joel. The Function of FUNCTION in LISP. AI Memo 199, MIT AI Lab (Cambridge, June 1970).
- [Pratt]  
Pratt, Vaughan R. CGOL: an Alternative External Representation for LISP Users. Working Paper 121. MIT AI Lab (Cambridge, March 1976).
- [Revised Report]  
Steele, Guy Lewis Jr., and Sussman, Gerald Jay. The Revised Report on SCHEME. MIT AI Memo 452 (Cambridge, January 1978).
- [Reynolds]  
Reynolds, John C. "Definitional Interpreters for Higher Order Programming Languages." *ACM Conference Proceedings* 1972.

## [Sammet]

Sammet, Jean E. Programming Languages: History and Fundamentals. Prentice-Hall (Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1969), 708-709.

## [SCHEME]

Sussman, Gerald Jay, and Steele, Guy Lewis Jr. SCHEME: An Interpreter for Extended Lambda Calculus. AI Memo 349. MIT AI Lab (Cambridge, December 1975).

## [Smith and Hewitt]

Smith, Brian C. and Hewitt, Carl. A PLASMA Primer (draft). MIT AI Lab (Cambridge, October 1975).

## [Standish]

Standish, T.A., et al. The Irvine Program Transformation Catalogue. University of California (Irvine, January 1976).

## [Steele]

Steele, Guy Lewis Jr. "Debunking the 'Expensive Procedure Call' Myth." Proc. ACM National Conference (Seattle, October 1977), 153-162. Revised as MIT AI Memo 443 (Cambridge, October 1977).

## [Stoy]

Stoy, Joseph E. Denotational Semantics: The Scott-Strachey Approach to Programming Language Theory. MIT Press (Cambridge, 1977).

## [Teitelman]

Teitelman, Warren. InterLISP Reference Manual. Revised edition. Xerox Palo Alto Research Center (Palo Alto, 1975).

## [Wand and Friedman]

Wand, Mitchell, and Friedman, Daniel P. Compiling Lambda Expressions Using Continuations. Technical Report 55. Indiana University (Bloomington, October 1976).

## [Wulf]

Wulf, William A., et al. The Design of an Optimizing Compiler. American Elsevier (New York, 1975).



## Appendix

We present here the complete working source code for RABBIT, written in SCHEME. (The listing of the code was produced by the "@" listing generator, written by Richard M. Stallman, Guy L. Steele Jr., and other contributors.)

The code is presented on successive odd-numbered pages. Commentary on the code is on the facing even-numbered page. An index appears at the end of the listing, indicating where each function is defined.

It should be emphasized that RABBIT was not written with efficiency as a particular goal. Rather, the uppermost goals were clarity, ease of debugging, and adaptability to changing algorithms during the development process. Much information is generated, never used by the compilation process, and then thrown away, simply so that if some malfunction should occur it would be easier to conduct a post-mortem analysis. Information that is used for compilation is often retained longer than necessary. The overall approach is to create a big data structure and then, step by step, fill in slots, never throwing anything away, even though it may no longer be needed.

The algorithms could be increased in speed, particularly the optimizer, which often recomputes information needlessly. Determining whether or not the recomputation was necessary would have cluttered up the algorithms, however, making them harder to read and to modify, and so this was omitted. Similarly, certain improvements could dramatically decrease the space used. The larger functions in RABBIT can just barely be compiled with a memory size of 256K words on a PDP-10. However, it was deemed worthwhile to keep the extra information available for as long a time as possible.

The implementation of RABBIT has taken perhaps three man-months. This includes throwing away the original optimizer and rewriting it completely, and accomodating certain changes to the SCHEME language as they occurred. RABBIT was operational, without the optimizer, after about one man-month's work. The dissertation was written after the first version of the optimizer was demonstrated to work. The remaining time was spent analyzing the faults of the first optimizer, writing the second version, accomodating language changes, making performance measurements, and testing RABBIT on programs other than RABBIT itself.

The main modules of RABBIT are organized something like this:

COMFILE, TRANSDUCE, PROCESS-FORM	(Bookkeeping and file handling)
COMPILE	(Compile a function definition)
ALPHATIZE	(Convert input, rename variables)
MACRO-EXPAND	(Expand macro forms)
META-EVALUATE	(Source-to-source optimizations)
PASS1-ANALYZE	(Preliminary code analysis)
ENV-ANALYZE	(Environment analysis)
TRIV-ANALYZE	(Triviality analysis)
EFFS-ANALYZE	(Side effects analysis)
META-IF-FUDGE	(Transform nested IF expressions)
META-COMBINATION-TRIVFN	(Constants folding)
META-COMBINATION-LAMBDA	(Beta-conversion)
SUBST-CANDIDATE	(Substitution feasibility)
META-SUBSTITUTE	(Substitution, subsumption)
CONVERT	(Convert to continuation-passing style)
CENV-ANALYZE	(Environment analysis)
BIND-ANALYZE	(Bindings analysis)
DEPTH-ANALYZE	(Register allocation)
CLOSE-ANALYZE	(Environment structure design)
COMPILATE-ONE-FUNCTION	(Generate code, producing one module)
COMPILATE	(Generate code for one subroutine)
COMP-BODY	(Compile procedure body)
ANALYZE	(Generate value-producing code)
TRIV-ANALYZE	(Generate "trivial" code)



AI:QUUX;RABBIT 568 GLS 12:14:50 Monday, May 15, 1978 FQ+10.2H.39M.4S.  
Created 23:29:15 Sunday, May 14, 1978 FQ+13H.53M.29S.

RRRRRRRR		AA		BBBBBBBB		BBBBBBBB		IIIIII		TTTTTTTT
RRRRRRRR		AA		BBBBBBBB		BBBBBBBB		IIIIII		TTTTTTTT
RRRRRRRR		AA		BBBBBBBB		BBBBBBBB		IIIIII		TTTTTTTT
RR	RR	AA	AA	BB	BB	BB	BB	II		TT
RR	RR	AA	AA	BB	BB	BB	BB	II		TT
RR	RR	AA	AA	BB	BB	BB	BB	II		TT
RR	RR	AA	AA	BB	BB	BB	BB	II		TT
RR	RR	AA	AA	BB	BB	BB	BB	II		TT
RRRRRRRR		AA	AA	BBBBBBBB		BBBBBBBB		II		TT
RRRRRRRR		AA	AA	BBBBBBBB		BBBBBBBB		II		TT
RRRRRRRR		AA	AA	BBBBBBBB		BBBBBBBB		II		TT
RR	RR	AAAAAAAA		BB	BB	BB	BB	II		TT
RR	RR	AAAAAAAA		BB	BB	BB	BB	II		TT
RR	RR	AAAAAAAA		BB	BB	BB	BB	II		TT
RR	RR	AA	AA	BB	BB	BB	BB	II		TT
RR	RR	AA	AA	BB	BB	BB	BB	II		TT
RR	RR	AA	AA	BB	BB	BB	BB	II		TT
RR	RR	AA	AA	BBBBBBBB		BBBBBBBB		IIIIII		TT
RR	RR	AA	AA	BBBBBBBB		BBBBBBBB		IIIIII		TT
RR	RR	AA	AA	BBBBBBBB		BBBBBBBB		IIIIII		TT

AI:QUUX;RABBIT 568 GLS 12:14:50 Monday, May 15, 1978 FQ+10.2H.39M.4S.  
Created 23:29:15 Sunday, May 14, 1978 FQ+13H.53M.29S.

55555555		666666		888888	
55555555		666666		888888	
55555555		666666		888888	
55		66	66	88	88
55		66	66	88	88
55		66	66	88	88
55		66	66	88	88
55		66	66	88	88
55		66	66	88	88
55555555		66666666		888888	
55555555		66666666		888888	
55555555		66666666		888888	
	55	66	66	88	88
	55	66	66	88	88
	55	66	66	88	88
55	55	66	66	88	88
55	55	66	66	88	88
55	55	66	66	88	88
555555		666666		888888	
555555		666666		888888	
555555		666666		888888	

Switch Settings: L[LISP] % A N 69V 110W X  
Fonts: F[ FONTS;22FG KST,.]

The DECLARE forms are for the benefit of the MacLISP compiler, which will process the result of compiling this file (i.e. RABBIT compiling itself). The first few forms are concerned with switch settings, allocation of memory within the MacLISP compiler, and loading of auxiliary functions which must be available at compile time.

The large block of SPECIAL declarations contains the name of every SCHEME function in the file. This is necessary because the run-time representation of a global variable is as a MacLISP SPECIAL variable. The compiled function objects will reside in MacLISP value cells, and SCHEME functions refer to each other through these cells.

The second set of SPECIAL declarations (variables whose names begin and end with a "\*") specify variables used globally by RABBIT. These fall into three categories: variables containing properties of the SCHEME interpreter which are parameters for the compiler (e.g. \*\*ARGUMENT-REGISTERS\*\*); switches, primarily for debugging purposes, used to control certain compiler operations (e.g. \*FUDGE\*); and own variables for certain functions, used to generate objects or gather statistics (e.g. \*GENTEMPNUM\* and \*DEPROGNIFY-COUNT\*).

The PROCLAIM forms are to RABBIT as DECLARE forms are to the MacLISP compiler. These provide declarations to the incarnation of RABBIT which is compiling the file. The subforms of a PROCLAIM form are executed by RABBIT when it encounters the form in a file being compiled. (We will see later how this is done.)

```

002
003 (DECLARE (FASLOAD (QUUX) SCHMAC))
004 (DECLARE (MACROS T) (NEWIO T))
005 (DECLARE (ALLOC '(LIST (300000 450000 .2) FIXNUM 50000 SYMBOL 24000)))
006 (DECLARE (DEFUN DISPLACE (X Y) Y))
007
008 (DECLARE (SPECIAL EMPTY TRIVFN GENTEMP GENFLUSH GEN-GLOBAL-NAME PRINT-WARNING ADDPROP DELPROP SETPROP
009 ADJOIN UNION INTERSECT REMOVE SETDIFF PAIRLIS COMPILE PASS1-ANALYZE TEST-COMPILE
010 NODIFY ALPHATIZE ALPHA-ATOM ALPHA-LAMBDA ALPHA-IF ALPHA-ASET ALPHA-CATCH
011 ALPHA-LABELS ALPHA-LABELS-DEFN ALPHA-BLOCK MACRO-EXPAND ALPHA-COMBINATION
012 ENV-ANALYZE TRIV-ANALYZE TRIV-ANALYZE-FN-P EFFS-ANALYZE EFFS-UNION EFFS-ANALYZE-IF
013 EFFS-ANALYZE-COMBINATION CHECK-COMBINATION-PEFFS ERASE-NODES META-EVALUATE
014 META-IF-FUDGE META-COMBINATION-TRIVFN META-COMBINATION-LAMBDA SUBST-CANDIDATE
015 REANALYZE1 EFFS-INTERSECT EFFECTLESS EFFECTLESS-EXCEPT-CONS PASSABLE
016 META-SUBSTITUTE COPY-CODE COPY-NODES CNOIFY CONVERT MAKE-RETURN CONVERT-LAMBDA-FM
017 CONVERT-IF CONVERT-ASET CONVERT-CATCH CONVERT-LABELS CONVERT-COMBINATION
018 CENV-ANALYZE CENV-TRIV-ANALYZE CENV-COMBINATION-ANALYZE BIND-ANALYZE REFD-VARS
019 BIND-ANALYZE-CLAMBDA BIND-ANALYZE-CONTINUATION BIND-ANALYZE-CIF BIND-ANALYZE-CASET
020 BIND-ANALYZE-CLABELS BIND-ANALYZE-RETURN BIND-ANALYZE-COMBINATION
021 BIND-COMBINATION-ANALYZE DEPTH-ANALYZE FILTER-CLOSEREFS CLOSE-ANALYZE COMPILATE
022 DEPROGNIFY1 TEMPLOC ENVCARCDR REGSLIST SET-UP-ASET VARS COMP-BODY PRODUCE-IF
023 PRODUCE-ASET PRODUCE-LABELS PRODUCE-LAMBDA-COMBINATION PRODUCE-TRIVFN-COMBINATION
024 PRODUCE-TRIVFN-COMBINATION-CONTINUATION PRODUCE-TRIVFN-COMBINATION-CVARIABLE
025 PRODUCE-COMBINATION PRODUCE-COMBINATION-VARIABLE ADJUST-KNOWNFN-CENV
026 PRODUCE-CONTINUATION-RETURN PRODUCE-RETURN PRODUCE-RETURN-1 LAMBDATE PSETQIFY
027 PSETQIFY-METHOD-2 PSETQIFY-METHOD-3 PSETQ-ARGS PSETQ-ARGS-ENV PSETQ-TEMPS
028 MAPANALYZE ANALYZE ANALYZE-CLAMBDA ANALYZE-CONTINUATION ANALYZE-CIF ANALYZE-CLABELS
029 ANALYZE-COMBINATION ANALYZE-RETURN LOOKUPICATE CONS-CLOSEREFS OUTPUT-ASET
030 CONDICATE DECARCDRATE TRIVIALIZE TRIV-LAMBDATE COMPILATE-ONE-FUNCTION
031 COMPILATE-LOOP USED-TEMPLOCS REMARK-ON MAP-USER-NAMES COMFILE TRANSDUCE
032 PROCESS-FORM PROCESS-DEFINE-FORM PROCESS-DEFINITION CLEANUP SEXPRFY CSEXPRFY
033 CHECK-NUMBER-OF-ARGS DUMPIT STATS RESET-STATS INIT-RABBIT))
034
035 (DECLARE (SPECIAL *EMPTY* *GENTEMPNUM* *GENTEMPLIST* *GLOBAL-GEN-PREFIX* *ERROR-COUNT* *ERROR-LIST*
036 *TEST* *TESTING* *OPTIMIZE* *REANALYZE* *SUBSTITUTE* *FUDGE* *NEW-FUDGE*
037 *SINGLE-SUBST* *LAMBDA-SUBST* *FLUSH-ARGS* *STAT-VARS* *DEAD-COUNT* *FUDGE-COUNT*
038 *FOLD-COUNT* *FLUSH-COUNT* *CONVERT-COUNT* *SUBST-COUNT* *DEPROGNIFY-COUNT*
039 *LAMBDA-BODY-SUBST* *LAMBDA-BODY-SUBST-TRY-COUNT* *LAMBDA-BODY-SUBST-SUCCESS-COUNT*
040 *CHECK-PEFFS* **CONT+ARG-REGS** **ENV+CONT+ARG-REGS** **ARGUMENT-REGISTERS**
041 **NUMBER-OF-ARG-REGS** *BUFFER-RANDOM-FORMS* *DISPLACE-SW*))
042
043 (PROCLAIM (*EXPR PRINT-SHORT)
044 (SET' *BUFFER-RANDOM-FORMS* NIL)
045 (ALLOC '(LIST (240000 340000 1000) FIXNUM (30000 40000 1000)
046 SYMBOL (14000 24000 NIL) HUNK4 (20000 53000 NIL)
047 HUNK8 (20000 50000 NIL) HUNK16 (20000 60000 NIL))))
048
049 (SET' *STAT-VARS* '(*DEAD-COUNT* *FUDGE-COUNT* *FOLD-COUNT* *FLUSH-COUNT* *CONVERT-COUNT*
050 *SUBST-COUNT* *DEPROGNIFY-COUNT* *LAMBDA-BODY-SUBST-TRY-COUNT*
051 *LAMBDA-BODY-SUBST-SUCCESS-COUNT*))
052
053 (ALLOC '(LIST (240000 340000 1000) FIXNUM (30000 40000 1000)
054 SYMBOL (14000 24000 NIL) HUNK4 (20000 50000 NIL)
055 HUNK8 (20000 50000 NIL) HUNK16 (20000 70000 NIL)))
056
057 (APPLY 'GCTWA '(T)) ;GC USELESS ATOMS (CAN'T SAY (EVAL' (GCTWA T)) BECAUSE OF NCOMPLR)
058 (REPLACE) ;UNDO ANY DISPLACED MACROS
059 (SET' *DISPLACE-SW* NIL) ;DON'T LET MACROS SELF-DISPLACE
060 (GRINDEF) ;LOAD THE GRINDER (PRETTY-PRINTER)
061
062 (DECLARE (/@DEFINE DEFINE |SCHEME FUNCTION|)) ;DECLARATIONS FOR LISTING PROGRAM
063 (DECLARE (/@DEFINE DEFMAC |MACLISP MACRO|))
064 (DECLARE (/@DEFINE SCHMAC |PDP-10 SCHEME MACRO|))
065 (DECLARE (/@DEFINE MACRO |SCHEME MACRO|))

```

The variable `*EMPTY*` is initialized to a unique object (a list cell whose car is `*EMPTY*` -- this is so that no other object can be EQ to it, but it can be easily recognized when printed) which is used to initialize components of structures. (We will see later how such structures are defined.) We do not use, say, `NIL` to represent an empty component because `NIL` might be a meaningful value for that component. The predicate `EMPTY` is true of the unique object.

`TRIVFN` is a predicate which is true of "trivial" functions. A function is trivial if it is a MacLISP primitive (an `EXPR`, `SUBR`, or `LSUBR`), or has been declared to be primitive via a `*EXPR` or `*LEXPR` proclamation.

`(INCREMENT FOO)` expands into the code `(ASET' FOO (+ FOO 1))`.

`CATENATE` is a utility macro which may be thought of as a function. Given any number of S-expressions it produces an atomic symbol whose print name is the concatenation of the print names of the S-expressions. Usually the S-expressions will be atomic symbols or numbers.

`(CATENATE 'FOO '- 43) => FOO-43`

`GENTEMP` is used to generate a new unique symbol, given a specified prefix. The global variable `*GENTEMPNUM*` starts at zero and increases monotonically. Each call to `GENTEMP` catenates the prefix, a hyphen, and a new value of `*GENTEMPNUM*`. Because the numeric suffixes of the generated symbols increase with time, one can determine in which order symbols were generated. We also will use different prefixes for different purposes, so that one can tell which part of the compiler generated a given symbol. This information can be invaluable for debugging purposes; from the names of the symbols appearing in a data structure, one can determine how that structure was created and in what order. (The generated symbols are themselves used primarily as simple markers, or as simple structures (property lists). The use of the print names amounts to tagging each marker or structure with a type and a creation timestamp. A LISP-like language encourages the inclusion of such information.)

`(GENTEMP 'NODE) => NODE-2534`

A list of all generated symbols is maintained in `*GENTEMPLIST*`. `GENFLUSH` can be called to excise all generated symbols from the MacLISP obarray; this is periodically necessary when compiling a large file so that unneeded symbols may be garbage-collected. The symbols are initially interned on the obarray in the first place for ease of debugging (one can refer to them by name from a debugging breakpoint). `GEN-GLOBAL-NAME` is used to generate a symbol to be used as a runtime name by the compiled code. The prefix for such names is initially "?" for testing purposes, but is initialized by the file transducer as a function of the name of the file being compiled. This allows separately compiled files to be loaded together without fear of naming conflicts.

```

001
002 (COND ((NOT (BOUNDP '*EMPTY*))
003         (SET' *EMPTY* (LIST '*EMPTY*))))
004
005 (DEFINE EMPTY
006     (LAMBDA (X) (EQ X *EMPTY*)))
007
008
009 (DEFINE TRIVFN
010     (LAMBDA (SYM)
011         (GETL SYM '(EXPR SUBR LSUBR *EXPR *LEXPR))))
012
013
014 (DEFMAC INCREMENT (X) "(ASET' ,X (+ ,X 1))")
015
016 (DEFMAC CATENATE ARGS
017     "(IMPLode (APPEND .@(MAPCAR '(LAMBDA (X)
018                                 (COND ((OR (ATOM X) (NOT (EQ (CAR X) 'QUOTE)))
019                                         "(EXPLODEN ,X))
020                                         (T "(QUOTE ,(EXPLODEN (CADR X))))))
021                                 ARGS))))"
022
023
024 (COND ((NOT (BOUNDP '*GENTEMPNUM*))
025         (SET' *GENTEMPNUM* 0)))
026
027 (COND ((NOT (BOUNDP '*GENTEMLIST*))
028         (SET' *GENTEMLIST* NIL)))
029
030 (DEFINE GENTEMP
031     (LAMBDA (X)
032         (BLOCK (INCREMENT *GENTEMPNUM*)
033             (LET ((SYM (CATENATE X '|-'| *GENTEMPNUM*)))
034                 (ASET' *GENTEMLIST* (CONS SYM *GENTEMLIST*)) SYM))))
035
036 (DEFINE GENFLUSH
037     (LAMBDA ()
038         (BLOCK (AMAPC REMOB *GENTEMLIST*)
039             (ASET' *GENTEMLIST* NIL))))
040
041 (DEFINE GEN-GLOBAL-NAME
042     (LAMBDA () (GENTEMP *GLOBAL-GEN-PREFIX*)))
043
044 (SET' *GLOBAL-GEN-PREFIX* '|?|)

```

WARN is a macro used to print a notice concerning an incorrect program being compiled. It generates a call to PRINT-WARNING, which maintains a count and a list of the error messages, and prints the message, along with any associated useful quantities.

```
(WARN |FOO is greater than BAR| FOO BAR)
```

would print (assuming the values of FOO and BAR were 43 and 15)

```
;Warning: FOO is greater than BAR
; 43
; 15
```

WARN is used only to report errors in the program being compiled. The MacLISP ERROR function is used to signal internal inconsistencies in the compiler.

ASK is a macro which prints a message and then waits for a reply. Typically NIL means "no", and anything else means "yes".

SX and CSX are debugging aids which print intermediate data structures internal to the compiler in a readable form. They make use of SPRINTER (part of the MacLISP GRIND pretty-printing package) and of SEXPRFY and CSEXPRFY, which are defined below.

The EQCASE macro provides a simple dispatching control structure. The first form evaluates to an item, and the clause whose keyword matches the item is executed. If no clause matches, an error occurs. For example:

```
(EQCASE TRAFFIC-LIGHT
  (RED (PRINT 'STOP))
  (GREEN (PRINT 'GO))
  (YELLOW (PRINT 'ACCELERATE) (CRASH)))
```

expands into the code:

```
(COND ((EQ TRAFFIC-LIGHT 'RED) (PRINT 'STOP))
  ((EQ TRAFFIC-LIGHT 'GREEN) (PRINT 'GO))
  ((EQ TRAFFIC-LIGHT 'YELLOW) (PRINT 'ACCELERATE) (CRASH))
  (T (ERROR '|Losing EQCASE| TRAFFIC-LIGHT 'FAIL-ACT)))
```

```

001
002 (DEFMAC WARN (MSG . STUFF)
003     "(PRINT-WARNING ',MSG (LIST @STUFF)))
004
005 (DEFINE PRINT-WARNING
006     (LAMBDA (MSG STUFF)
007         (BLOCK (INCREMENT *ERROR-COUNT*)
008             (ASET' *ERROR-LIST* (CONS (CONS MSG STUFF) *ERROR-LIST*))
009             (TYO 7 (SYMEVAL 'TYO)) ;BELL
010             (TERPRI (SYMEVAL 'TYO))
011             (PRINC '|Warning: | (SYMEVAL 'TYO))
012             (TYO 7 (SYMEVAL 'TYO)) ;BELL
013             (PRINC MSG (SYMEVAL 'TYO))
014             (AMAPC PRINT-SHORT STUFF))))
015
016 (DEFUN PRINT-SHORT (X)
017     ((LAMBDA (PRINLEVEL PRINLENGTH TERPRI)
018         (TERPRI (SYMEVAL 'TYO))
019         (PRINC '| | (SYMEVAL 'TYO))
020         (PRINI X (SYMEVAL 'TYO)))
021     3 8 T))
022
023
024 (SCHMAC ASK (MSG)
025     "(BLOCK (TERPRI) (PRINC ',MSG) (TYO 40) (READ)))
026
027
028 (DEFMAC SX (X) "(SPRINTER (SEXPRFY ,X NIL))) ;DEBUGGING AID
029 (DEFMAC CSX (X) "(SPRINTER (CSEXPRFY ,X))) ;DEBUGGING AID
030
031
032 (DEFMAC EQCASE (OBJ . CASES)
033     "(COND @(MAPCAR '(LAMBDA (CASE)
034         (OR (ATOM (CAR CASE))
035             (ERROR '|Losing EQCASE clause)))
036         '((EQ ,OBJ ',(CAR CASE)) @(COR CASE)))
037     CASES)
038     (T (ERROR '|Losing EQCASE| ,OBJ 'FAIL-ACT)))

```

The next group of macros implement typed data structures with named components. ACCESSFN, CLOBBER, and HUNKFN allow definition of very general structure access functions. Their precise operation is not directly relevant to this exposition; suffice it to say that they are subsidiary to the DEFTYPE macro on the next page.

DEFTYPE defines structure "data types" with named components. These structures are implemented as MacLISP hunks. (A hunk is essentially a kind of list cell with more than two pointer components; it may be thought of as a short, fixed-length vector. Hunks are accessed with the function (CXR n hunk), which returns the nth component of the hunk. (RPLACX n hunk newval) analogously alters the nth component. CXR and RPLACX are thus similar to CAR/CDR and RPLACA/RPLACD.)

Slot 0 of each hunk is reserved for a "property list"; this feature is not used in RABBIT. Slot 1 always contains an atomic symbol which is the name of the type. Thus every structure explicitly bears its type. The form (HUNKFN TYPE 1) creates a function (actually a macro) called TYPE which when applied to a hunk will fetch slot 1. Slots 2 upward of a hunk are used to contain named components. A structure does not contain the component names. (However, the symbol which is the name of the type does have a list of the component names on its property list. This is useful for debugging purposes. There is, for example, a package which pretty-prints structured data types, showing the components explicitly as name-value pairs, which uses this information.)



```

001
002 (DECLARE (/@DEFINE ACCESSFN |ACCESS MACRO|))
003
004 (DEFMAC ACCESSFN (NAME UVARs FETCH . PUT)
005   ((LAMBDA (VARs CNAME)
006     (DO ((A VARs (CDR A))
007         (B '*Z* "(CDR ,B))
008         (C NIL (CONS "(CAR ,B) C)))
009       ((NULL A)
010        "(PROGN 'COMPILE
011          (DEFMAC ,NAME *Z*
012            ((LAMBDA ,(NREVERSE (CDR (REVERSE VARs)))
013              ,FETCH
014              @ (REVERSE (CDR C))))
015            (DEFMAC ,CNAME *Z*
016              ((LAMBDA ,VARs
017                ,(COND (PUT (CAR PUT))
018                      (T ""(CLOBBER ,,FETCH
019                        ,THE-NEW-VALUE))))
020              @ (REVERSE C))))))
021     (COND (PUT UVARs)
022           (T (APPEND UVARs '(THE-NEW-VALUE))))
023     (CATENATE '|CLOBBER-| NAME)))
024
025 (DEFMAC CLOBBER (X Y)
026   "(.(CATENATE '|CLOBBER-| (CAR X)) @(CDR X) ,Y))
027
028 (DECLARE (/@DEFINE HUNKFN |HUNK ACCESS MACRO|))
029
030 (DEFMAC HUNKFN (NAME SLOT)
031   "(ACCESSFN ,NAME (THE-HUNK NEW-VALUE)
032     "(CXR ,,SLOT ,THE-HUNK)
033     "(RPLACX ,,SLOT ,THE-HUNK ,NEW-VALUE)))

```

Consider for example the form

```
(DEFTYPE LAMBDA (UVARs VARS BODY))
```

This defines a structured data type called LAMBDA with three named components UVARs, VARS, and BODY. It also defines a series of macros for manipulating this data type.

For access, the macros LAMBDA\UVARs, LAMBDA\VARS, and LAMBDA\BODY are defined. These each take a single argument, a data structure of type VARIABLE, and return the appropriate component. (The TYPE function can also be applied to the data object, and will return LAMBDA.)

For construction, a macro CONS-LAMBDA is defined. For example, the form:

```
(CONS-LAMBDA (UVARs = LIST1)
              (VARS = LIST2))
```

would construct a LAMBDA structure with the TYPE, UVARs, VARS, and BODY slots initialized respectively to LAMBDA, the value of LIST1, the value of LIST2, and the "empty object" (recall the EMPTY predicate above). Any component names (possibly none!) may be initialized in a CONS-xxx form, and any components not mentioned will be initialized to the empty object. (The "=" signs are purely syntactic sugar for mnemonic value. They can be omitted.)

For alteration of components, a macro ALTER-LAMBDA is defined. For example, the form

```
(ALTER-LAMBDA FOO
              (UVARs := LIST1)
              (BODY := (LIST A B)))
```

would alter the UVARs and BODY components of the value of FOO (which should be a LAMBDA structure - this is not checked) to be respectively the values of LIST1 and (LIST A B). Any non-zero number of components may be modified by a single ALTER-xxx form. (The "!=" signs are purely syntactic sugar also.)

A great advantage of using these structure definitions is that it is very easy to add or delete components during the development of the program. In particular, when a new component is added to a type, it is not necessary to find all instances of creations of objects of that type; they will simply automatically initialize the new slot to the empty object. Only parts of the program which are relevant to the use of the new component need be changed.

```

001
002 (DECLARE (/@DEFINE DEFTYPE |DATA TYPE|))
003
004 ;;: SLOT 0 IS ALWAYS THE PROPERTY LIST, AND SLOT 1 THE HUNK TYPE.
005
006 (HUNKFN TYPE 1)
007
008 (DEFMAC DEFTYPE (NAME SLOTS SUPP)
009     "(PROGN 'COMPILE
010         (DEFMAC ,(CATENATE '|CONS-| NAME) KWDS
011             (PROGN (DO ((K KWDS (CDR K)))
012                 ((NULL K)
013                     (OR ,(COND ((CDR SLOTS) "(MEMQ (CAAR K) ',SLOTS))
014                         (T "(EQ (CAAR K) ',(CAR SLOTS))))
015                     (ERROR ',(CATENATE '|Invalid Keyword Argument to CONS-|
016                         NAME)
017                         (CAR K)
018                         'FAIL-ACT)))
019                 "(HUNK ',,NAME
020                     @DO ((S ',SLOTS (CDR S))
021                         (X NIL
022                             (CONS ((LAMBDA (KWD)
023                                 (COND (KWD (CAR (LAST KWD)))
024                                     (T '*EMPTY*)))
025                                 (ASSQ (CAR S) KWDS))
026                                 X)))
027                             ((NULL S) (NREVERSE X)))
028                             NIL)))
029         (DEFMAC ,(CATENATE '|ALTER-| NAME) (OBJ . KWDS)
030             (PROGN (DO ((K KWDS (CDR K)))
031                 ((NULL K)
032                     (OR ,(COND ((CDR SLOTS) "(MEMQ (CAAR K) ',SLOTS))
033                         (T "(EQ (CAAR K) ',(CAR SLOTS))))
034                     (ERROR ',(CATENATE '|Invalid Keyword Argument to ALTER-|
035                         NAME)
036                         (CAR K)
037                         'FAIL-ACT)))
038                 (DO ((I (+ (LENGTH KWDS) 1) (- I 1))
039                     (VARS NIL (CONS (GENSYM) VARS)))
040                     (= I 0)
041                     "(LAMBDA ,VARS
042                         ,(BLOCKIFY
043                             (MAPCAR '(LAMBDA (K V)
044                                 "(CLOBBER ,(CATENATE ',NAME
045                                     '|\\|
046                                     (CAR K))
047                                     ,(CAR VARS)))
048                                 (.V)))
049                                 KWDS
050                                 (CDR VARS))))
051                     (LAMBDA () ,OBJ)
052                     @(MAPCAR '(LAMBDA (K) "(LAMBDA () ,(CAR (LAST K)))
053                         KWDS))))))
054     @DO ((S SLOTS (CDR S))
055         (N 2 (+ N 1))
056         (X NIL (CONS "(HUNKFN ,(CATENATE NAME '|\\| (CAR S))
057             .N)
058             X)))
059         ((NULL S) (NREVERSE X)))
060 (DEFPROP ,NAME ,SLOTS COMPONENT-NAMES)
061 (DEFPROP ,NAME ,SUPP SUPPRESSED-COMPONENT-NAMES)
062 '(TYPE ,NAME DEFINED)))

```

On this page are two groups of utility functions. One group manipulates property lists, and the other manipulates sets of objects represented as lists.

For (ADDPROP SYM VAL PROP), the PROP property of the symbol SYM should be a list of things. The object VAL is added to this list if it is not already a member of the list.

DELPROP performs the inverse of ADDPROP; it removes an object from a list found as the property of a symbol.

(SETPROP SYM VAL PROP) puts the property-value pair PROP,VAL on the property list of SYM; but if SYM already has a PROP property, it is an error unless the new value is the same as (EQ to) the existing one. That is, a redundant SETPROP is permitted, but not a conflicting one.

(ADJOIN ITEM SET) produces a new set SET U {ITEM}.  
 UNION produces the union of two sets.  
 INTERSECT produces the intersection of two sets.  
 (REMOVE ITEM SET) produces a new set SET - {ITEM}.  
 (SETDIFF SET1 SET2) produces the set SET1 - SET2.

All of the set operations are accomplished non-destructively; that is, the given arguments are not modified. Examples:

```
(ADJOIN 'A '(A B C)) => (A B C)
(ADJOIN 'A '(B C D)) => (A B C D)
(UNION '(A B C) '(B D F)) => (D F A B C)
(INTERSECT '(A B C) '(B D F)) => (B)
(REMOVE 'B '(A B C)) => (A C)
(SETDIFF '(A B C) '(B D F)) => (A C)
```

```

001
002   ::: ADD TO A PROPERTY WHICH IS A LIST OF THINGS
003
004   (DEFINE ADDPROP
005     (LAMBDA (SYM VAL PROP)
006       (LET ((L (GET SYM PROP)))
007         (IF (NOT (MEMQ VAL L))
008             (PUTPROP SYM (CONS VAL L) PROP))))))
009
010   ::: INVERSE OF ADDPROP
011
012   (DEFINE DELPROP
013     (LAMBDA (SYM VAL PROP)
014       (PUTPROP SYM (DELQ VAL (GET SYM PROP)) PROP)))
015
016   ::: LIKE PUTPROP, BUT INSIST ON NOT CHANGING A VALUE ALREADY THERE
017
018   (DEFINE SETPROP
019     (LAMBDA (SYM VAL PROP)
020       (LET ((L (GETL SYM (LIST PROP))))
021         (IF (AND L (NOT (EQ VAL (CADR L))))
022             (ERROR '|Attempt to redefine a unique property|
023                 (LIST 'SETPROP SYM VAL PROP)
024                 'FAIL-ACT)
025             (PUTPROP SYM VAL PROP))))))
026
027   ::: OPERATIONS ON SETS, REPRESENTED AS LISTS
028
029   (DEFINE ADJOIN
030     (LAMBDA (X S)
031       (IF (MEMQ X S) S (CONS X S))))
032
033   (DEFINE UNION
034     (LAMBDA (X Y)
035       (DO ((Z Y (CDR Z))
036           (V X (ADJOIN (CAR Z) V)))
037         ((NULL Z) V)))
038
039   (DEFINE INTERSECT
040     (LAMBDA (X Y)
041       (IF (NULL X)
042           NIL
043           (IF (MEMQ (CAR X) Y)
044               (CONS (CAR X) (INTERSECT (CDR X) Y))
045               (INTERSECT (CDR X) Y))))))
046
047   (DEFINE REMOVE
048     (LAMBDA (X S)
049       (IF (NULL S)
050           S
051           (IF (EQ X (CAR S))
052               (CDR S)
053               ((LAMBDA (Y)
054                   (IF (EQ Y (CDR S)) S
055                       (CONS (CAR S) Y)))
056                   (REMOVE X (CDR S)))))))
057
058   (DEFINE SETDIFF
059     (LAMBDA (X Y)
060       (DO ((Z X (CDR Z))
061           (W NIL (IF (MEMQ (CAR Z) Y)
062                     W
063                     (CONS (CAR Z) W))))
064         ((NULL Z) W))))

```

The PAIRLIS function is similar to, but not identical to, the function of the same name in the LISP 1.5 Manual. The difference is that the pairs of the association list produced are 2-lists rather than single conses. This was done purely so that structures produced by PAIRLIS would be more readable when printed; the ease of debugging was considered worth the additional CONS and access time.

```
(PAIRLIS '(A B C) '(X Y Z) '((F P) (G Q)))
=> ((C Z) (B Y) (A X) (F P) (G Q))
```

The COMPILE function is the main top-level function of the compiler. It is responsible for invoking each phase of the compiler in order. NAME is the name of a function (an atomic symbol), and LAMBDA-EXP the corresponding lambda-expression; these are easily extracted, for example, from a SCHEME DEFINE-form. SEE-CRUD is NIL for normal processing, or T for debugging purposes. OPTIMIZE is a switch controlling whether the optimization phase should be invoked; it can be T, NIL, or MAYBE (meaning to ask the (human) debugger).

The overall flow within COMPILE is as follows: check number of arguments; apply ALPHATIZE to the lambda-expression to produce the pass 1 data structure; optionally optimize this data structure; perform pass 1 analysis; convert the pass 1 data structure to a pass 2 (continuation-passing style) data structure; perform pass 2 analysis; generate code. The value of COMPILE is the MacLISP code produced by the code generator.

PASS1-ANALYZE is a separate function so that it can be used by the optimizer to re-analyze newly created subexpressions.

CL is a debugging utility. (CL FOO) causes the function FOO (which should be defined in the running SCHEME into which the compiler has been loaded) to be compiled. Various debugging facilities, such as SEE-CRUD, are enabled. This is done by using TEST-COMPILE.

```

001
002 (DEFINE PAIRLIS
003   (LAMBDA (L1 L2 L)
004     (DO ((V L1 (CDR V))
005         (U L2 (CDR U))
006         (E L (CONS (LIST (CAR V) (CAR U)) E)))
007       ((NULL V) E))))
008
009
010 (DEFINE COMPILE
011   (LAMBDA (NAME LAMBDA-EXP SEE-CRUD OPTIMIZE)
012     (BLOCK (CHECK-NUMBER-OF-ARGS NAME
013            (LENGTH (CADR LAMBDA-EXP))
014            T)
015           (LET ((ALPHA-VERSION (ALPHATIZE LAMBDA-EXP NIL)))
016               (IF (AND SEE-CRUD (ASK |See alpha-conversion?|))
017                   (SX ALPHA-VERSION)
018                   (LET ((OPT (IF (EQ OPTIMIZE 'MAYBE)
019                                 (ASK |Optimize?|)
020                                 OPTIMIZE)))
021                       (LET ((META-VERSION
022                             (IF OPT
023                               (META-EVALUATE ALPHA-VERSION)
024                               (PASS1-ANALYZE ALPHA-VERSION NIL NIL))))
025                           (OR (AND (NULL (NODE\REFS META-VERSION))
026                                   (NULL (NODE\ASETS META-VERSION)))
027                               (ERROR '|ENV-ANALYZE lost - COMPILE|
028                                     NAME
029                                     'FAIL-ACT))
030                               (IF (AND SEE-CRUD OPT (ASK |See meta-evaluation?|))
031                                   (SX META-VERSION)
032                                   (LET ((CPS-VERSION (CONVERT META-VERSION NIL (NOT (NULL OPT))))
033                                       (IF (AND SEE-CRUD (ASK |See CPS-conversion?|))
034                                           (CSX CPS-VERSION)
035                                           (CENV-ANALYZE CPS-VERSION NIL NIL)
036                                           (BIND-ANALYZE CPS-VERSION NIL NIL)
037                                           (DEPTH-ANALYZE CPS-VERSION 0)
038                                           (CLOSE-ANALYZE CPS-VERSION NIL)
039                                           (COMPILE-ONE-FUNCTION CPS-VERSION NAME))))))))))
040
041 (DEFINE PASS1-ANALYZE
042   (LAMBDA (NODE REDO OPT)
043     (BLOCK (ENV-ANALYZE NODE REDO)
044            (TRIV-ANALYZE NODE REDO)
045            (IF OPT (EFFS-ANALYZE NODE REDO)
046                  NODE)))
047
048
049 (SCHMAC CL (FNNAME) "(TEST-COMPILE ',FNNAME))
050
051 (DEFINE TEST-COMPILE
052   (LAMBDA (FNNAME)
053     (LET ((FN (GET FNNAME 'SCHEME!FUNCTION)))
054         (COND (FN (ASET' *TESTING* T)
055                 (ASET' *TEST* NIL) ;PURELY TO RELEASE FORMER GARBAGE
056                 (ASET' *ERROR-COUNT* 0)
057                 (ASET' *ERROR-LIST* NIL)
058                 (ASET' *TEST* (COMPILE FNNAME FN T 'MAYBE))
059                 (SPRINTER *TEST*)
060                 "(,(IF (ZEROP *ERROR-COUNT*) 'NO *ERROR-COUNT*) ERRORS))
061         (T "(,FNNAME NOT DEFINED))))))

```

Here are the structured data types used for the pass 1 intermediate representation. Each piece of the program is represented as a NODE, which has various pieces of information associated with it. The FORM component is a structure of one of the types CONSTANT, VARIABLE, LAMBDA, IF, ASET, CATCH, LABELS, or COMBINATION. This structure holds information specific to a given type of program node, whereas the NODE structure itself holds information which is needed at every node of the program structure. (One may think of the FORM component as a PASCAL record variant.)

The ALPHATIZE routine and its friends take the S-expression definition of a function (a lambda-expression) and make a copy of it using NODE structures. This copy, like the S-expression, is a tree. Subsequent analysis routines will all recur on this tree, passing information up and down the tree, either distributing information from parent node to child nodes, or collating information from child nodes to pass back to parent nodes. Some information must move laterally within the tree, from branch to branch; this is accomplished exclusively by using the property lists of symbols, usually those generated for renamings of variables (since all lateral information is associated with variable references - which is no accident!).

The function NODIFY is used for constructing a node, with certain slots properly initialized. In particular, the METAP slot is initialized to NIL, indicating a node not yet processed by META-EVALUATE; this fact will be used later in the optimizer. A name is generated for the node, and the node is put on the property list of the name. This property is for debugging purposes only; given the name of a node one can get the node easily. The name itself may also be used for another purpose by CONVERT-COMBINATION, to represent the intermediate quantity which is the value of the form represented by the node.



```

001
002   ::: ALPHA-CONVERSION
003
004   ::: HERE WE RENAME ALL VARIABLES, AND CONVERT THE EXPRESSION TO AN EQUIVALENT TREE-LIKE FORM
005   ::: WITH EXTRA SLOTS TO BE FILLED IN LATER. AFTER THIS POINT, THE NEW NAMES ARE USED FOR
006   ::: VARIABLES, AND THE USER NAMES ARE USED ONLY FOR ERROR MESSAGES AND THE LIKE. THE TREE-LIKE
007   ::: FORM WILL BE USED AND AUGMENTED UNTIL IT IS CONVERTED TO CONTINUATION-PASSING STYLE.
008
009   ::: WE ALSO FIND ALL USER-NAMED LAMBDA-FORMS AND SET UP APPROPRIATE PROPERTIES.
010   ::: THE USER CAN NAME A LAMBDA-FORM BY WRITING (LAMBDA (X) BODY NAME).
011
012 (DEFTYPE NODE (NAME SEXPR ENV REFS ASETS TRIVP EFFS AFFD PEFFS PAFFD METAP SUBSTP FORM) (SEXPR))
013   ;NAME: A GENSYM WHICH NAMES THE NODE'S VALUE
014   ;SEXPR: THE S-EXPRESSION WHICH WAS ALPHATIZED TO MAKE THIS NODE
015   ;      : (USED ONLY FOR WARNING MESSAGES AND DEBUGGING)
016   ;ENV: THE ENVIRONMENT OF THE NODE (USED ONLY FOR DEBUGGING)
017   ;REFS: ALL VARIABLES BOUND ABOVE AND REFERENCED BELOW OR BY THE NODE
018   ;ASETS: ALL LOCAL VARIABLES SEEN IN AN ASET BELOW THIS NODE (A SUBSET OF REFS)
019   ;TRIVP: NON-NIL IFF EVALUATION OF THIS NODE IS TRIVIAL
020   ;EFFS: SET OF SIDE EFFECTS POSSIBLY OCCURRING AT THIS NODE OR BELOW
021   ;AFFD: SET OF SIDE EFFECTS WHICH CAN POSSIBLY AFFECT THIS NODE OR BELOW
022   ;PEFFS: ABSOLUTELY PROVABLE SET OF EFFS
023   ;PAFFD: ABSOLUTELY PROVABLE SET OF AFFD
024   ;METAP: NON-NIL IFF THIS NODE HAS BEEN EXAMINED BY THE META-EVALUATOR
025   ;SUBSTP: FLAG INDICATING WHETHER META-SUBSTITUTE ACTUALLY MADE A SUBSTITUTION
026   ;FORM: ONE OF THE BELOW TYPES
027
028 (DEFTYPE CONSTANT (VALUE))
029   ;VALUE: THE S-EXPRESSION VALUE OF THE CONSTANT
030 (DEFTYPE VARIABLE (VAR GLOBALP))
031   ;VAR: THE NEW UNIQUE NAME FOR THE VARIABLE, GENERATED BY ALPHATIZE.
032   ;      : THE USER NAME AND OTHER INFORMATION IS ON ITS PROPERTY LIST.
033   ;GLOBALP: NIL UNLESS THE VARIABLE IS GLOBAL (IN WHICH CASE VAR IS THE ACTUAL NAME)
034 (DEFTYPE LAMBDA (UVARS VARS BODY))
035   ;UVARs: THE USER NAMES FOR THE BOUND VARIABLES (STRICTLY FOR DEBUGGING (SEE SEXPRFY))
036   ;VARS: A LIST OF THE GENERATED UNIQUE NAMES FOR THE BOUND VARIABLES
037   ;BODY: THE NODE FOR THE BODY OF THE LAMBDA-EXPRESSION
038 (DEFTYPE IF (PRED CON ALT))
039   ;PRED: THE NODE FOR THE PREDICATE
040   ;CON: THE NODE FOR THE CONSEQUENT
041   ;ALT: THE NODE FOR THE ALTERNATIVE
042 (DEFTYPE ASET (VAR BODY GLOBALP))
043   ;VAR: THE GENERATED UNIQUE NAME FOR THE ASET VARIABLE
044   ;BODY: THE NODE FOR THE BODY OF THE ASET
045   ;GLOBALP: NIL UNLESS THE VARIABLE IS GLOBAL (IN WHICH CASE VAR IS THE ACTUAL NAME)
046 (DEFTYPE CATCH (UVAR VAR BODY))
047   ;UVAR: THE USER NAME FOR THE BOUND VARIABLE (STRICTLY FOR DEBUGGING (SEE SEXPRFY))
048   ;VAR: THE GENERATED UNIQUE NAME FOR THE BOUND VARIABLE
049   ;BODY: THE NODE FOR THE BODY OF THE CATCH
050 (DEFTYPE LABELS (UFNVARs FNVARS FNDEFS BODY))
051   ;UFNVARs: THE USER NAMES FOR THE BOUND LABELS VARIABLES
052   ;FNVARS: A LIST OF THE GENERATED UNIQUE NAMES FOR THE LABELS VARIABLES
053   ;FNDEFS: A LIST OF THE NODES FOR THE LAMBDA-EXPRESSIONS
054   ;BODY: THE NODE FOR THE BODY OF THE LABELS
055 (DEFTYPE COMBINATION (ARGS WARNP))
056   ;ARGS: A LIST OF THE NODES FOR THE ARGUMENTS (THE FIRST IS THE FUNCTION)
057   ;WARNP: NON-NIL IFF CHECK-COMBINATION-PEFFS HAS DETECTED A CONFLICT IN THIS COMBINATION
058
059 (DEFINE MODIFY
060   (LAMBDA (FORM SEXPR ENV)
061     (LET ((N (CONS-NODE (NAME = (GENTEMP 'NODE))
062                       (FORM = FORM)
063                       (SEXPR = SEXPR)
064                       (ENV = ENV)
065                       (METAP = NIL))))
066       (PUTPROP. (NODE\NAME N) N 'NODE)
067       N)))

```

ALPHATIZE takes an S-expression to convert, and an environment. The latter is a list of 2-lists; each 2-list is of the form (user-name new-name). This is used for renaming each variable to a unique name. The unique names are generated within ALPHA-LAMBDA, ALPHA-LABELS, and ALPHA-CATCH, where the variable bindings are encountered. The new name pairings are tacked onto the front of the then-current environment, and the result used as the environment for converting the body.

ALPHATIZE merely does a dispatch on the type of form, to one of the sub-functions for the various types. It also detects forms which are really macro calls, and expands them by calling MACRO-EXPAND, which returns the form to be used in place of the macro call. (BLOCK is handled as a separate special case. In the interpreter, BLOCK is handled specially rather than going through the general MACRO mechanism. This is done purely for speed. Defining BLOCK as a macro in the compiler can confuse the interpreter in which the compiler runs, and so it was decided simply to handle BLOCK as a special case in the compiler also.) ALPHATIZE allows the S-expression to contain already converted code in the form of NODEs; this fact is exploited by the optimizer (see META-IF-FUDGE below), but has no use in the initial conversion.

ALPHA-ATOM creates a CONSTANT structure for numbers and the special symbols NIL and T. Otherwise a VARIABLE structure is created. If the symbol (it better be a symbol!) occurs in the environment, the new-name is used, and otherwise the symbol itself. The slot GLOBALP is set to T iff the symbol was not in the environment.

ALPHA-LAMBDA generates new names for all the bound variables. It then converts its body, after using PAIRLIS to add the user-name/new-name pairs to the environment. The result is used to make a LAMBDA structure. A copy is made of the list of variables in the UVARS slot; it must be copied because later META-COMBINATION-LAMBDA may splice out elements of that list. If so, it will also splice out corresponding members of VARS, but that list was freshly consed by ALPHA-LAMBDA.

```

001
002   ;; ON NODE NAMES THESE PROPERTIES ARE CREATED:
003   ;;   NODE           THE CORRESPONDING NODE
004
005 (DEFINE ALPHATIZE
006     (LAMBDA (SEXPR ENV)
007       (COND ((ATOM SEXPR)
008             (ALPHA-ATOM SEXPR ENV))
009             ((HUNKP SEXPR)
010             (IF (EQ (TYPE SEXPR) 'NODE)
011                 SEXPR
012                 (ERROR '|Peculiar hunk - ALPHATIZE| SEXPR 'FAIL-ACT)))
013             ((EQ (CAR SEXPR) 'QUOTE)
014             (MODIFY (CONS-CONSTANT (VALUE = (CADR SEXPR))) SEXPR ENV))
015             ((EQ (CAR SEXPR) 'LAMBDA)
016             (ALPHA-LAMBDA SEXPR ENV))
017             ((EQ (CAR SEXPR) 'IF)
018             (ALPHA-IF SEXPR ENV))
019             ((EQ (CAR SEXPR) 'ASET)
020             (ALPHA-ASET SEXPR ENV))
021             ((EQ (CAR SEXPR) 'CATCH)
022             (ALPHA-CATCH SEXPR ENV))
023             ((EQ (CAR SEXPR) 'LABELS)
024             (ALPHA-LABELS SEXPR ENV))
025             ((EQ (CAR SEXPR) 'BLOCK)
026             (ALPHA-BLOCK SEXPR ENV))
027             ((AND (ATOM (CAR SEXPR))
028                  (EQ (GET (CAR SEXPR) 'AINT) 'AMACRO))
029             (ALPHATIZE (MACRO-EXPAND SEXPR) ENV))
030             (T (ALPHA-COMBINATION SEXPR ENV))))))
031
032 (DEFINE ALPHA-ATOM
033   (LAMBDA (SEXPR ENV)
034     (IF (OR (NUMBERP SEXPR) (NULL SEXPR) (EQ SEXPR 'T)).
035         (MODIFY (CONS-CONSTANT (VALUE = SEXPR)) SEXPR ENV)
036         (LET ((SLOT (ASSQ SEXPR ENV)))
037             (MODIFY (CONS-VARIABLE (VAR = (IF SLOT (CADR SLOT) SEXPR))
038                     (GLOBALP = (NULL SLOT)))
039                   SEXPR
040                   ENV))))))
041
042 (DEFINE ALPHA-LAMBDA
043   (LAMBDA (SEXPR ENV)
044     (LET ((VARS (DO ((I (LENGTH (CADR SEXPR)) (- I 1))
045                    (V NIL (CONS (GENTEMP 'VAR) V)))
046              ((= I 0) (NREVERSE V))))))
047       (IF (CADDR SEXPR)
048           (WARN |Malformed LAMBDA expression| SEXPR))
049       (MODIFY (CONS-LAMBDA (UVAR = (APPEND (CADR SEXPR) NIL))
050               ;;SEE META-COMBINATION-LAMBDA
051               (VARS = VARS)
052               (BODY = (ALPHATIZE (CADDR SEXPR)
053                                   (PAIRLIS (CADR SEXPR)
054                                             VARS
055                                             ENV))))
056             SEXPR
057             ENV))))))

```

ALPHA-IF simply converts the predicate, consequent, and alternative, and makes an IF structure.

ALPHA-ASET checks for a non-quoted first argument. (Presently RABBIT does not allow for computed ASET variables. Since RABBIT was written, such computed variables have in fact been banned from the SCHEME language [Revised Report].) For simplicity, it also does not allow altering a global variable which is the name of a MacLISP primitive. This restriction is related only to the kludginess of the PDP-10 MacLISP SCHEME implementation, and is not an essential problem with the language. The ERROR function was used here rather than WARN because the problems are hard to correct for and occur infrequently. Aside from these difficulties, ALPHA-ASET is much like ALPHA-ATOM on a variable; it looks in the environment, converts the body, and then constructs an ASET structure.

ALPHA-CATCH generates a new name "CATCHVAR-*nn*" for the bound variable, tacks it onto the environment, and converts the body; it then constructs a CATCH structure.

ALPHA-LABELS generates new names "FNVAR-*n*" for all the bound variables; it then constructs in LENV the new environment, using PAIRLIS. It then converts all the bound function definitions and the body, using this environment. In this way all the function names are apparent to all the functions. A LABELS structure is then created.

```

001
002 (DEFINE ALPHA-IF
003   (LAMBDA (SEXPR ENV)
004     (MODIFY (CONS-IF (PRED = (ALPHATIZE (CADR SEXPR) ENV))
005                   (CON = (ALPHATIZE (CADDR SEXPR) ENV))
006                   (ALT = (ALPHATIZE (CADDR SEXPR) ENV)))
007           SEXPR
008           ENV)))
009
010 (DEFINE ALPHA-ASET
011   (LAMBDA (SEXPR ENV)
012     (LET ((VAR (COND ((OR (ATOM (CADR SEXPR))
013                         (NOT (EQ (CAADR SEXPR) 'QUOTE)))
014                       (ERROR '|Can't Compile Non-quoted ASET Variable|
015                             SEXPR
016                             'FAIL-ACT))
017           (T (CADADR SEXPR)))))
018     (LET ((SLOT (ASSQ VAR ENV)))
019       (IF (AND (NULL SLOT) (TRIVFN VAR))
020         (ERROR '|Illegal to ASET a MacLISP primitive|
021               SEXPR
022               'FAIL-ACT))
023         (MODIFY (CONS-ASET (VAR = (IF SLOT (CADR SLOT) VAR))
024                       (GLOBALP = (NULL SLOT))
025                       (BODY = (ALPHATIZE (CADDR SEXPR) ENV)))
026                 SEXPR
027                 ENV))))))
028
029 (DEFINE ALPHA-CATCH
030   (LAMBDA (SEXPR ENV)
031     (LET ((VAR (GENTEMP 'CATCHVAR)))
032       (MODIFY (CONS-CATCH (VAR = VAR)
033                 (UVAR = (CADR SEXPR))
034                 (BODY = (ALPHATIZE (CADDR SEXPR)
035                                   (CONS (LIST (CADR SEXPR) VAR)
036                                       ENV))))))
037           SEXPR
038           ENV))))
039
040 (DEFINE ALPHA-LABELS
041   (LAMBDA (SEXPR ENV)
042     (LET ((UFNVARS (AMAPCAR (LAMBDA (X)
043                           (IF (ATOM (CAR X))
044                               (CAR X)
045                               (CAAR X)))
046                       (CADR SEXPR))))
047       (LET ((FNVARS (DO ((I (LENGTH UFNVAR) (- I 1))
048                         (V NIL (CONS (GENTEMP 'FNVAR) V)))
049                 ((= I 0) (NREVERSE V))))))
050         (LET ((LENV (PAIRLIS UFNVAR FNVARS ENV)))
051           (MODIFY (CONS-LABELS (UFNVARS = UFNVAR)
052                               (FNVARS = FNVARS)
053                               (FNDEFS = (AMAPCAR
054                                           (LAMBDA (X)
055                                             (ALPHA-LABELS-DEFN X LENV))
056                                           (CADR SEXPR)))
057                   (BODY = (ALPHATIZE (CADDR SEXPR) LENV)))
058                           SEXPR
059                           ENV))))))

```

ALPHA-LABELS-DEFN parses one LABELS definition clause. An extension to the SCHEME language (made just after the publication of [Revised Report]!) allows a LABELS definition to take on any of the same three forms permitted by DEFINE. Thus this LABELS form actually defines FOO, BAR, and BAZ to be equivalent functions:

```
(LABELS ((FOO (LAMBDA (X Y) (BLOCK (PRINT X) (+ X Y))))
         (BAR (X Y) (PRINT X) (+ X Y))
         ((BAZ X Y) (PRINT X) (+ X Y)))
        (LIST (FOO 1 2) (BAR 1 2) (BAZ 1 2)))
```

ALPHA-BLOCK implements the standard macro definition of BLOCK. (BLOCK x) is simply x, and (BLOCK x . y) expands into:

```
((LAMBDA (A B) (B)) x (LAMBDA () (BLOCK . y)))
```

MACRO-EXPAND takes a macro call and expands it into a new form to be used in place of the macro call. In the PDP-10 MacLISP SCHEME implementation there are three different kinds of macros. Types MACRO and AMACRO are defined by MacLISP code, and so their defining functions are invoked using the MacLISP primitive FUNCALL. Type SMACRO is defined by SCHEME code which is in the value cell of an atomic symbol; thus SYMEVAL is used to get the contents of the value cell, and this SCHEME function is then invoked.

ALPHA-COMBINATION converts all the subforms of a combination, making a list of them, and creates a COMBINATION structure. If the function position contains a variable, it performs a consistency check using CHECK-NUMBER-OF-ARGS to make sure the right number of arguments is present.

```

001
002 (DEFINE ALPHA-LABELS-DEFN
003   (LAMBDA (LDEF LENV)
004     (ALPHATIZE (IF (ATOM (CAR LDEF))
005                 (IF (CDDR LDEF)
006                     "(LAMBDA ,(CADR LDEF) ,(BLOCKIFY (CDDR LDEF)))
007                     (CADR LDEF))
008                 "(LAMBDA ,(CDAR LDEF) ,(BLOCKIFY (CDR LDEF)))
009                 LENV)))
010
011 (DEFINE ALPHA-BLOCK
012   (LAMBDA (SEXPR ENV)
013     (COND ((NULL (CDR SEXPR))
014            (WARN [BLOCK with no forms]
015                "(ENV = ,(AMAPCAR CAR ENV)))
016            (ALPHATIZE NIL ENV))
017          (T (LABELS ((MUNG
018                    (LAMBDA (BODY)
019                      (IF (NULL (CDR BODY))
020                          (CAR BODY)
021                          "((LAMBDA (A B) (B))
022                          ,(CAR BODY)
023                          (LAMBDA () ,(MUNG (CDR BODY)))))))
024              (ALPHATIZE (MUNG (CDR SEXPR)) ENV))))))
025
026 (DEFINE MACRO-EXPAND
027   (LAMBDA (SEXPR)
028     (LET ((M (GETL (CAR SEXPR) '(MACRO AMACRO SMACRO))))
029         (IF (NULL M)
030             (BLOCK (WARN [missing macro definition] SEXPR)
031                  "(ERROR '|Undefined Macro Form| ',SEXPR 'FAIL-ACT))
032             (EQCASE (CAR M)
033                     (MACRO (FUNCALL (CADR M) SEXPR))
034                     (AMACRO (FUNCALL (CADR M) SEXPR))
035                     (SMACRO ((SYMEVAL (CADR M)) SEXPR))))))
036
037 (DEFINE ALPHA-COMBINATION
038   (LAMBDA (SEXPR ENV)
039     (LET ((N (MODIFY (CONS-COMBINATION
040                      (WARNP = NIL)
041                      (ARGS = (AMAPCAR (LAMBDA (X) (ALPHATIZE X ENV))
042                                      SEXPR)))
043          SEXPR
044          ENV)))
045         (LET ((M (NODE\FORM (CAR (COMBINATION\ARGS (NODE\FORM N))))))
046             (IF (AND (EQ (TYPE M) 'VARIABLE)
047                    (VARIABLE\GLOBALP M))
048                 (CHECK-NUMBER-OF-ARGS
049                  (VARIABLE\VAR M)
050                  (LENGTH (CDR (COMBINATION\ARGS (NODE\FORM N))))
051                  NIL))
052             N))))

```

Once the S-expression function definition has been copied as a NODE tree, COMPILE calls PASS1-ANALYZE to fill in various pieces of information. (If optimization is to be performed, COMPILE instead calls META-EVALUATE. META-EVALUATE in turn calls PASS1-ANALYZE in a corouting manner we will examine later.) PASS1-ANALYZE in turn calls ENV-ANALYZE, TRIV-ANALYZE, and EFFS-ANALYZE in order. Each of these has roughly the same structure. Each takes a node and a flag called REDOTHIS. Normally REDOTHIS is NIL and the information has not yet been installed in the node, and so the routine proceeds to analyze the node and install the appropriate information.

When invoked by the optimizer, however, there may be information in the node already, but that information may be incorrect or obsolete as a result of the optimizing transformations. If REDOTHIS is non-NIL, then the given node must be reanalyzed, even if the information is already present. If REDOTHIS is in fact the symbol ALL, then all descendants of the given node must be reanalyzed. Otherwise, only the given node requires re-analysis, plus any descendants which have not had the information installed at all. We will see later how these mechanisms are used in the optimizer.

The purpose of ENV-ANALYZE is to fill in for each node the slots REFS and ASETS. The first is a set (represented as a list) of the new-names of all variables bound above the node and referenced at or below the node, and the second (a subset of the first) is a set of such names which appear in an ASET at or below the node. These lists are computed recursively. A CONSTANT node has no such references; a VARIABLE node (with GLOBALP = NIL) refers to its own variable. An ASET node adds its variable to the ASET list for its body. Most other kinds of nodes merely merge together the lists for their immediate descendants. In order to satisfy the "bound above the node" requirement, those structures which bind variables (LAMBDA, CATCH, LABELS) filter out their own bound variables from the two sets.

As an example, consider this function:

```
(LAMBDA (X)
  ((LAMBDA (Y)
    ((LAMBDA (W)
      (ASET' Z (* X Y)))
      (ASET' Y (- Y 1))))
    (- X 3)))
```

The node for (- X 3) would have a REFS list (X) and an ASET list (). The node for the ASET on Z would have REFS=(X Y) (or perhaps (Y X)) and ASETS=(); Z does not appear in the ASETS list because it is not bound above. The node for the combination ((LAMBDA (W) ...) ...) would have REFS=(X Y) and ASETS=(Y). The node for the lambda-expression (LAMBDA (Y) ...) would have REFS=(X) and ASETS=(), because Y is filtered out.



```

001
002 ::: ENVIRONMENT ANALYSIS.
003
004 ::: FOR NODES ENCOUNTERED WE FILL IN:
005 :::   REFS
006 :::   ASETS
007 ::: ON VARIABLE NAMES THESE PROPERTIES ARE CREATED:
008 :::   BINDING      THE NODE WHERE THE VARIABLE IS BOUND
009 :::   USER-NAME    THE USER'S NAME FOR THE VARIABLE (WHERE BOUND)
010 :::   READ-REFS    VARIABLE NODES WHICH READ THE VARIABLE
011 :::   WRITE-REFS   ASET NODES WHICH SET THE VARIABLE
012
013 ::: NORMALLY, ON RECURRING TO A LOWER NODE WE STOP IF THE INFORMATION
014 ::: IS ALREADY THERE. MAKING THE PARAMETER "REDOTHIS" BE "ALL" FORCES
015 ::: RE-COMPUTATION TO ALL LEVELS; MAKING IT "ONCE" FORCES
016 ::: RECOMPUTATION OF THIS NODE BUT NOT OF SUBNODES.
017
018 (DEFINE ENV-ANALYZE
019   (LAMBDA (NODE REDOTHIS)
020     (IF (OR REDOTHIS (EMPTY (NODE\REFS NODE)))
021       (LET ((FM (NODE\FORM NODE))
022             (REDO (IF (EQ REDOTHIS 'ALL) 'ALL NIL)))
023           (EQCASE (TYPE FM)
024                 (CONSTANT
025                   (ALTER-NODE NODE
026                     (REFS := NIL)
027                     (ASETS := NIL)))
028                 (VARIABLE
029                   (ADDPROP (VARIABLE\VAR FM) NODE 'READ-REFS)
030                   (IF (VARIABLE\GLOBALP FM)
031                       (SETPROP (VARIABLE\VAR FM) (VARIABLE\VAR FM) 'USER-NAME))
032                   (ALTER-NODE NODE
033                     (REFS := (AND (NOT (VARIABLE\GLOBALP FM))
034                                   (LIST (VARIABLE\VAR FM))))
035                     (ASETS := NIL)))
036                 (LAMBDA
037                   (DO ((V (LAMBDA\VARS FM) (CDR V))
038                       (UV (LAMBDA\UVARs FM) (CDR UV)))
039                     ((NULL V))
040                     (SETPROP (CAR V) (CAR UV) 'USER-NAME)
041                     (SETPROP (CAR V) NODE 'BINDING))
042                   (LET ((B (LAMBDA\BODY FM)))
043                       (ENV-ANALYZE B REDO)
044                       (ALTER-NODE NODE
045                         (REFS := (SETDIFF (NODE\REFS B)
046                                             (LAMBDA\VARS FM)))
047                         (ASETS := (SETDIFF (NODE\ASETS B)
048                                             (LAMBDA\VARS FM))))))
049                 (IF
050                   (LET ((PRED (IF\PRED FM))
051                       (CON (IF\CON FM))
052                       (ALT (IF\ALT FM)))
053                     (ENV-ANALYZE PRED REDO)
054                     (ENV-ANALYZE CON REDO)
055                     (ENV-ANALYZE ALT REDO)
056                     (ALTER-NODE NODE
057                       (REFS := (UNION (NODE\REFS PRED)
058                                       (UNION (NODE\REFS CON)
059                                               (NODE\REFS ALT))))
060                       (ASETS := (UNION (NODE\ASETS PRED)
061                                       (UNION (NODE\ASETS CON)
062                                               (NODE\ASETS ALT))))))
063                 (ASET
064                   (LET ((B (ASET\BODY FM))
065                       (V (ASET\VAR FM)))
066                     (ENV-ANALYZE B REDO)
067                     (ADDPROP V NODE 'WRITE-REFS)
068                     (IF (ASET\GLOBALP FM)
069                         (ALTER-NODE NODE

```

It should be easy to see the the topmost node of the node-tree must have REFS=() and ASETS=(), because no variables are bound above it. This fact is used in COMPILE for a consistency check. (After writing this last sentence, I noticed that in fact this consistency check was not being performed, and that it was a good idea. On being installed, this check immediately caught a subtle bug in the optimizer. Consistency checks pay off!)

Another purpose accomplished by ENV-ANALYZE is the installation of several useful properties on the new-names of bound variables. Two properties, READ-REFS and WRITE-REFS, accumulate for each variable the set of VARIABLE nodes which refer to it and the set of ASET nodes that refer to it. These lists are very important to the optimizer. A non-empty WRITE-REFS set also calls for special action by the code generator.

When a LAMBDA node is encountered, that node is put onto each new-name under the BINDING property, and the user-name is put under the USER-NAME property; these are used only for debugging.

```

070 (REFS := (NODE\REFS B))
071 (ASETS := (NODE\ASETS B)))
072 (ALTER-NODE NODE
073 (REFS := (ADJOIN V (NODE\REFS B)))
074 (ASETS := (ADJOIN V (NODE\ASETS B))))))
075 (CATCH
076 (LET ((B (CATCH\BODY FM))
077 (V (CATCH\VAR FM)))
078 (SETPROP V (CATCH\UVAR FM) 'USER-NAME)
079 (SETPROP V NODE 'BINDING)
080 (ENV-ANALYZE B REDO)
081 (ALTER-NODE NODE
082 (REFS := (REMOVE V (NODE\REFS B)))
083 (ASETS := (REMOVE V (NODE\ASETS B))))))
084 (LABELS
085 (DO ((V (LABELS\FNVARs FM) (CDR V))
086 (UV (LABELS\FNVARs FM) (CDR UV))
087 (D (LABELS\FNDEFs FM) (CDR D))
088 (R NIL (UNION R (NODE\REFS (CAR D))))
089 (A NIL (UNION A (NODE\ASETS (CAR D))))
090 ((NULL V)
091 (LET ((B (LABELS\BODY FM)))
092 (ENV-ANALYZE B REDO)
093 (ALTER-NODE NODE
094 (REFS := (SETDIFF
095 (UNION R (NODE\REFS B))
096 (LABELS\FNVARs FM)))
097 (ASETS := (SETDIFF
098 (UNION A (NODE\ASETS B))
099 (LABELS\FNVARs FM))))))
100 (SETPROP (CAR V) (CAR UV) 'USER-NAME)
101 (SETPROP (CAR V) NODE 'BINDING)
102 (ENV-ANALYZE (CAR D) REDO)))
103 (COMBINATION
104 (LET ((ARGS (COMBINATION\ARGS FM)))
105 (AMAPC (LAMBDA (X) (ENV-ANALYZE X REDO)) ARGS)
106 (DO ((A ARGS (CDR A))
107 (R NIL (UNION R (NODE\REFS (CAR A))))
108 (S NIL (UNION S (NODE\ASETS (CAR A))))
109 ((NULL A)
110 (ALTER-NODE NODE
111 (REFS := R)
112 (ASETS := S)))))))))

```

TRIV-ANALYZE fills in the TRIVP slot for each node. This is a flag which, if non-NIL, indicates that the code represented by that node and its descendants is "trivial", i.e. it can be executed as simple host machine (MacLISP) code because no SCHEME closures are involved. Constants and variables are trivial, as are combinations with trivial arguments and a provably trivial function. While lambda-expressions are in general non-trivial (because a closure must be constructed), a special case is made for ((LAMBDA ...) ...), i.e. a combination whose function is a lambda-expression. This is possible because the code generator will not really generate a closure for the lambda-expression. This is the first example of a trichotomy we will encounter repeatedly. Combinations are divided into three kinds: those with a lambda-expression in the function position, those with a trivial MacLISP primitive (satisfying the predicate TRIVFN) in the function position, and all others.

All other expressions are, in general, trivial iff all their subparts are trivial. Note that a LABELS is trivial iff its body is trivial; the non-triviality of the bound functions does not affect this.

The triviality flag is used by phase 2 to control conversion to continuation-passing style. This in turn affects the code generator, which compiles trivial forms straightforwardly into MacLISP code, rather than using the more complex techniques required by non-trivial SCHEME code. It would be possible to avoid triviality analysis entirely; the net result would only be less optimal final code.

```

001
002 ::: TRIVIALITY ANALYSIS
003
004 ::: FOR NODES ENCOUNTERED WE FILL IN:
005 ::: TRIVP
006
007 ::: A COMBINATION IS TRIVIAL IFF ALL ARGUMENTS ARE TRIVIAL, AND
008 ::: THE FUNCTION CAN BE PROVED TO BE TRIVIAL. WE ASSUME CLOSURES
009 ::: TO BE NON-TRIVIAL IN THIS CONTEXT, SO THAT THE CONVERT FUNCTION
010 ::: WILL BE FORCED TO EXAMINE THEM.
011
012 (DEFINE TRIV-ANALYZE
013   (LAMBDA (NODE REDOTHIS)
014     (IF (OR REDOTHIS (EMPTY (NODE\TRIVP NODE)))
015       (LET ((FM (NODE\FORM NODE))
016           (REDO (IF (EQ REDOTHIS 'ALL) 'ALL NIL)))
017           (EQCASE (TYPE FM)
018             (CONSTANT
019              (ALTER-NODE NODE (TRIVP := T)))
020             (VARIABLE
021              (ALTER-NODE NODE (TRIVP := T)))
022             (LAMBDA
023              (TRIV-ANALYZE (LAMBDA\BODY FM) REDO)
024              (ALTER-NODE NODE (TRIVP := NIL)))
025             (IF
026              (TRIV-ANALYZE (IF\PRED FM) REDO)
027              (TRIV-ANALYZE (IF\CON FM) REDO)
028              (TRIV-ANALYZE (IF\ALT FM) REDO)
029              (ALTER-NODE NODE
030                (TRIVP := (AND (NODE\TRIVP (IF\PRED FM))
031                              (NODE\TRIVP (IF\CON FM))
032                              (NODE\TRIVP (IF\ALT FM))))))
033             (ASET
034              (TRIV-ANALYZE (ASET\BODY FM) REDO)
035              (ALTER-NODE NODE (TRIVP := (NODE\TRIVP (ASET\BODY FM))))))
036             (CATCH
037              (TRIV-ANALYZE (CATCH\BODY FM) REDO)
038              (ALTER-NODE NODE (TRIVP := NIL)))
039             (LABELS
040              (AMAPC (LAMBDA (F) (TRIV-ANALYZE F REDO))
041                   (LABELS\FNDEFS FM))
042              (TRIV-ANALYZE (LABELS\BODY FM) REDO)
043              (ALTER-NODE NODE (TRIVP := NIL)))
044             (COMBINATION
045              (LET ((ARGS (COMBINATION\ARGS FM)))
046                  (TRIV-ANALYZE (CAR ARGS) REDO)
047                  (DO ((A (CDR ARGS) (CDR A))
048                      (SW T (AND SW (NODE\TRIVP (CAR A))))
049                      ((NULL A)
050                       (ALTER-NODE NODE
051                         (TRIVP := (AND SW
052                                       (TRIV-ANALYZE-FN-P
053                                         (CAR ARGS))))))
054                      (TRIV-ANALYZE (CAR A) REDO))))))
055
056 (DEFINE TRIV-ANALYZE-FN-P
057   (LAMBDA (FN)
058     (OR (AND (EQ (TYPE (NODE\FORM FN)) 'VARIABLE)
059             (TRIVFN (VARIABLE\VAR (NODE\FORM FN))))
060        (AND (EQ (TYPE (NODE\FORM FN)) 'LAMBDA)
061             (NODE\TRIVP (LAMBDA\BODY (NODE\FORM FN))))))

```

EFFS-ANALYZE analyzes the code for side-effects. In each node the four slots EFFS, AFFD, PEFFS, and PAFFD are filled in. Each is a set of side effects, which may be the symbol NONE, meaning no side effects; ANY, meaning all possible side effects; or a list of specific side effect names. Each such name specifies a category of possible side effects. Typical names are ASET, RPLACD, and FILE (which means input/output transactions).

The four slots EFFS, AFFD, PEFFS, and PAFFD refer to the node they are in and all nodes beneath it. Thus each is computed by taking the union of the corresponding sets of all immediate descendants, then adjoining any effects due to the current node.

EFFS is the set of side effects which may possibly be caused at or below the current node; PEFFS is the set of side effects which can be proved to occur at or below the node. These may differ because of ignorance on RABBIT's part. For example, the node for a combination (RPLACA A B) will have the side-effect name RPLACA adjoined to both EFFS and PEFFS, because the RABBIT knows that RPLACA causes an RPLACA side effect (how this is known will be discussed later). On the other hand, for a combination (FOO A B), where FOO is some user function, RABBIT can only conjecture that FOO can cause any conceivable side effect, but cannot prove it. Thus EFFS will be forced to be ANY, while PEFFS will not.

AFFD is the set of side effects which can possibly affect the evaluation of the current node or its descendants. For example, an RPLACA side effect can affect the evaluation of (CAR X), but on the other hand an RPLACD side effect cannot. PAFFD is the corresponding set of side effects for which it can be proved. (This set is "proved" in a less rigorous sense than for PEFFS. The name RPLACA would be put in the PAFFD set for (CAR X), even though the user might know that while there are calls to RPLACA in his program, none of them ever modify X. PEFFS and PAFFD are only used by CHECK-COMBINATION-PEFFS to warn the user of potential conflicts anyway, and serve no other purpose. EFFS and AFFD, on the other hand, are used by the optimizer to prevent improper code motion. Thus EFFS and AFFD must be pessimistic, and err only on the safe side; while PEFFS and PAFFD are optimistic, so that the user will not be pestered with too many warning messages.)

The CONS side effect is treated specially. A node which causes the CONS side effect must not be duplicated, because each instance will create a new object; but whereas two RPLACA side effects may not be executed out of order, two CONS side effects may be.

The computation of AFFD and PAFFD for variables depends on whether the variable is global or not. If it is, SETQ and RPLACD can affect it (RPLACD can occur because of the peculiarities of the PDP-10 MacLISP implementation); otherwise, ASET can affect it if indeed any ASET refers to it (in which case ENV-ANALYZE will have left a WRITE-REFS property); otherwise, nothing can affect it. Similar remarks hold for the computation of EFFS and PEFFS for an ASET node. The name SETQ applies to modifications of global variables, while ASET applies to local variables.

```

001
002   ;;: SIDE-EFFECTS ANALYSIS
003   ;;: FOR NODES ENCOUNTERED WE FILL IN:  EFFS, AFFD, PEFFS, PAFFD
004   ;;: A SET OF SIDE EFFECTS MAY BE EITHER 'NONE OR 'ANY, OR A SET.
005
006 (DEFINE EFFS-ANALYZE
007   (LAMBDA (NODE REDOTHIS)
008     (IF (OR REDOTHIS (EMPTY (NODE\EFFS NODE)))
009       (LET ((FM (NODE\FORM NODE))
010             (REDO (IF (EQ REDOTHIS 'ALL) 'ALL NIL)))
011         (EQCASE (TYPE FM)
012           (CONSTANT
013             (ALTER-NODE NODE
014               (EFFS := 'NONE)
015               (AFFD := 'NONE)
016               (PEFFS := 'NONE)
017               (PAFFD := 'NONE)))
018           (VARIABLE
019             (LET ((A (COND ((VARIABLE\GLOBALP FM) '(SETQ))
020                          ((GET (VARIABLE\VAR FM) 'WRITE-REFS) '(ASET))
021                          (T 'NONE))))
022               (ALTER-NODE NODE
023                 (EFFS := 'NONE)
024                 (AFFD := A)
025                 (PEFFS := 'NONE)
026                 (PAFFD := A))))
027           (LAMBDA
028             (EFFS-ANALYZE (LAMBDA\BODY FM) REDO)
029             (ALTER-NODE NODE
030               (EFFS := '(CONS))
031               (AFFD := NIL)
032               (PEFFS := '(CONS))
033               (PAFFD := NIL)))
034           (IF (EFFS-ANALYZE-IF NODE FM REDO))
035           (ASET
036             (EFFS-ANALYZE (ASET\BODY FM) REDO)
037             (LET ((ASETEFFS (IF (ASET\GLOBALP FM)
038                               '(SETQ)
039                               '(ASET))))
040               (ALTER-NODE NODE
041                 (EFFS := (EFFS-UNION ASETEFFS
042                               (NODE\EFFS (ASET\BODY FM))))
043                 (AFFD := (NODE\AFFD (ASET\BODY FM)))
044                 (PEFFS := (EFFS-UNION ASETEFFS
045                               (NODE\PEFFS (ASET\BODY FM))))
046                 (PAFFD := (NODE\PAFFD (ASET\BODY FM))))))
047           (CATCH
048             (EFFS-ANALYZE (CATCH\BODY FM) REDO)
049             (ALTER-NODE NODE
050               (EFFS := (NODE\EFFS (CATCH\BODY FM)))
051               (AFFD := (NODE\AFFD (CATCH\BODY FM)))
052               (PEFFS := (NODE\PEFFS (CATCH\BODY FM)))
053               (PAFFD := (NODE\PAFFD (CATCH\BODY FM))))))
054           (LABELS
055             (AMAPC (LAMBDA (F) (EFFS-ANALYZE F REDO))
056               (LABELS\FNDEFS FM))
057             (EFFS-ANALYZE (LABELS\BODY FM) REDO)
058             (ALTER-NODE NODE
059               (EFFS := (EFFS-UNION '(CONS)
060                               (NODE\EFFS (LABELS\BODY FM))))
061               (AFFD := (NODE\AFFD (LABELS\BODY FM)))
062               (PEFFS := (EFFS-UNION '(CONS)
063                               (NODE\PEFFS (LABELS\BODY FM))))
064               (PAFFD := (NODE\PAFFD (LABELS\BODY FM))))))
065           (COMBINATION
066             (EFFS-ANALYZE-COMBINATION NODE FM REDO))))))

```

(While it may be held that allowing ASET' on variables is unclean, and that the use of cells as in PLASMA is semantically neater, it is true that because of the lexical scoping rules it can always be determined whether a given variable is ever used in an ASET'. In this way one can say that variables are divided by the compiler into two classes: those which are implicitly cells, and those which are not.)

A closure (LAMBDA-expression) causes a CONS side-effect. This is not so much because SCHEME programs depend on being able to do EQ on closures (it is unclear whether this is a reasonable thing to specify in the semantics of SCHEME), as because there is no point in creating two closures when one will suffice. Adjoining CONS to EFFS will prevent the creation of such duplicate code by the optimizer. The same idea holds for LABELS (which has LAMBDA-expressions within it).

Notice that a LAMBDA node does not add to its four sets the information from its body's sets. This is because evaluation of a LAMBDA-expression does not immediately evaluate the body. Only later, when the resulting closure is invoked, is the body executed.

EFFS-UNION gives the union of two sets of side effects. It knows about the special symbols NONE and ANY.

EFFS-ANALYZE-IF computes the side-effect sets for IF nodes. It has been made a separate function only because its code is so bulky; it must perform a three-way union for each of four sets.

EFFS-ANALYZE-COMBINATION computes the side-effect sets for COMBINATION nodes. First the function is analyzed, then the arguments. The unions of the four sets over all the arguments are accumulated in EF, AF, PEF, and PAF. CHECK-COMBINATION-PEFFS is called to warn the user of any possible violations of the rule that SCHEME is privileged to choose the order in which to evaluate the subforms of a combination. Finally, there are three cases depending on the form of the function position.

If it is a variable, then the property list of the variable name is searched for information about that function. (The generated names for local variables will never have any such information; thus information will be found only for global variables. This information is used to augment the sets. (A clever technique not used in RABBIT would be to arrange for situations like ((LAMBDA (F) <body1>) (LAMBDA (...) <body2>)), where F denotes a "known function" (see the description of BIND-ANALYZE below), to put on the property list of F the side-effect information for <body2>, to aid optimization in <body1>.)

If the function position is a LAMBDA-expression, then the four sets of the body of the LAMBDA-expression are unioned into the four sets for the COMBINATION node. This is because in this case we know that the body LAMBDA-expression will be executed in the course of executing the COMBINATION node.

In any other case, an unknown function is computed, and so it must be assumed that any side-effect is possible for EFFS and AFFD.



```

001
002 (DEFINE EFFS-UNION
003     (LAMBDA (A B)
004         (COND ((EQ A 'NONE) B)
005                ((EQ B 'NONE) A)
006                ((EQ A 'ANY) 'ANY)
007                ((EQ B 'ANY) 'ANY)
008                (T (UNION A B))))))
009
010 (DEFINE EFFS-ANALYZE-IF
011     (LAMBDA (NODE FM REDO)
012         (BLOCK (EFFS-ANALYZE (IF\PRED FM) REDO)
013                (EFFS-ANALYZE (IF\CON FM) REDO)
014                (EFFS-ANALYZE (IF\ALT FM) REDO)
015                (ALTER-NODE NODE
016                    (EFFS := (EFFS-UNION (NODE\EFFS (IF\PRED FM))
017                                         (EFFS-UNION (NODE\EFFS (IF\CON FM))
018                                                         (NODE\EFFS (IF\ALT FM))))))
019                    (AFFD := (EFFS-UNION (NODE\AFFD (IF\PRED FM))
020                                         (EFFS-UNION (NODE\AFFD (IF\CON FM))
021                                                         (NODE\AFFD (IF\ALT FM))))))
022                    (PEFFS := (EFFS-UNION (NODE\PEFFS (IF\PRED FM))
023                                           (EFFS-UNION (NODE\PEFFS (IF\CON FM))
024                                                         (NODE\PEFFS (IF\ALT FM))))))
025                    (PAFFD := (EFFS-UNION (NODE\PAFFD (IF\PRED FM))
026                                           (EFFS-UNION (NODE\PAFFD (IF\CON FM))
027                                                         (NODE\PAFFD (IF\ALT FM))))))))))
028
029 (SET' *CHECK-PEFFS* NIL)
030
031 (DEFINE EFFS-ANALYZE-COMBINATION
032     (LAMBDA (NODE FM REDO)
033         (LET ((ARGS (COMBINATION\ARGS FM)))
034             (EFFS-ANALYZE (CAR ARGS) REDO)
035             (DO ((A (CDR ARGS) (CDR A))
036                 (EF 'NONE (EFFS-UNION EF (NODE\EFFS (CAR A))))
037                 (AF 'NONE (EFFS-UNION AF (NODE\AFFD (CAR A))))
038                 (PEF 'NONE (EFFS-UNION PEF (NODE\PEFFS (CAR A))))
039                 (PAF 'NONE (EFFS-UNION PAF (NODE\PAFFD (CAR A))))
040                 ((NULL A)
041                  (IF *CHECK-PEFFS* (CHECK-COMBINATION-PEFFS FM)
042                      (COND ((EQ (TYPE (NODE\FORM (CAR ARGS))) 'VARIABLE)
043                             (LET ((V (VARIABLE\VAR (NODE\FORM (CAR ARGS))))
044                                 (LET ((VE (GET V 'FN-SIDE-EFFECTS))
045                                     (VA (GET V 'FN-SIDE-AFFECTED)))
046                                     (ALTER-NODE NODE
047                                         (EFFS := (IF VE (EFFS-UNION EF VE) 'ANY))
048                                         (AFFD := (IF VA (EFFS-UNION AF VA) 'ANY))
049                                         (PEFFS := (EFFS-UNION PEF VE))
050                                         (PAFFD := (EFFS-UNION PAF VA))))))
051                             ((EQ (TYPE (NODE\FORM (CAR ARGS))) 'LAMBDA)
052                              (LET ((B (LAMBDA\BODY (NODE\FORM (CAR ARGS))))
053                                  (ALTER-NODE NODE
054                                      (EFFS := (EFFS-UNION EF (NODE\EFFS B))
055                                      (AFFD := (EFFS-UNION AF (NODE\AFFD B))
056                                      (PEFFS := (EFFS-UNION PEF (NODE\PEFFS B))
057                                      (PAFFD := (EFFS-UNION PAF (NODE\PAFFD B))))))
058                              (T (ALTER-NODE NODE
059                                  (EFFS := 'ANY)
060                                  (AFFD := 'ANY)
061                                  (PEFFS := (EFFS-UNION PEF
062                                              (NODE\PEFFS (CAR ARGS))))
063                                  (PAFFD := (EFFS-UNION PAF
064                                              (NODE\PAFFD (CAR ARGS))))))))))
064             (EFFS-ANALYZE (CAR A) REDO))))))
065

```

CHECK-COMBINATION-PEFFS checks all the argument forms of a combination (including the function position) to see if they are all independent of each other with respect to side effects. If not, a warning is issued. This is because the semantics of SCHEME specify that the arguments may be evaluated in any order, and the user may not depend on a particular ordering.

The test is made by comparing all pairs of arguments within the combination. If the side-effects of one can "provably" affect the evaluation of the other, or if they both cause a side effect of the same category (other than CONS, which is special), then the results may depend on which order they are evaluated in. The test is not completely rigorous, and may err in either direction, but "probably" a reasonably written SCHEME program will satisfy the test.

This check is controlled by the switch \*CHECK-PEFFS\* in EFFS-ANALYZE-COMBINATION. This switch is provided because empirical tests show that performing the test slows down compilation by twenty to thirty percent. The test has proved valuable in trapping programming errors, and so is normally on, but it can be turned off for speed in compiling programs in which one has confidence.

EFFDEF is a macro which expands into a number of DEFPROP forms. This is used to define side-effect information about primitive functions. For example:

```
(EFFDEF CADR NONE (RPLACA RPLACD))
```

states that CADR causes no side-effects, and is "provably" affected by the RPLACA and RPLACD categories of side-effects. Similarly:

```
(EFFDEF MEMQ NONE (RPLACA RPLACD) T)
```

specifies the same information for MEMQ, but the "T" means that a call to MEMQ with constant arguments may be "folded" (evaluated, and the result compiled instead), despite the fact that some side effects can affect it. This represents a judgement that it is extremely unlikely that someone will write a program which modifies a constant argument to be given to MEMQ.

```

001
002 (DEFINE CHECK-COMBINATION-PEFFS
003   (LAMBDA (FM)
004     (IF (NOT (COMBINATION\WARNP FM))
005       (DO ((A (COMBINATION\ARGS FM) (CDR A))
006           ((NULL A)
007             (DO ((B (CDR A) (CDR B))
008                 ((NULL B)
009                   (IF (NOT (EFFECTLESS (EFFS-INTERSECT (NODE\PEFFS (CAR A))
010                                                         (NODE\PAFFD (CAR B))))))
011                     (BLOCK (WARN |co-argument may affect later one|
012                             (NODE\SEXPR (CAR A))
013                             "(EFFECTS = ,(NODE\PEFFS (CAR A)))
014                             (NODE\SEXPR (CAR B))
015                             "(AFFECTED BY ,(NODE\PAFFD (CAR B))))
016                     (ALTER-COMBINATION FM (WARNP := T))))
017       (IF (NOT (EFFECTLESS (EFFS-INTERSECT (NODE\PEFFS (CAR B))
018                                                         (NODE\PAFFD (CAR A))))))
019         (BLOCK (WARN |co-argument may affect earlier one|
020                 (NODE\SEXPR (CAR B))
021                 "(EFFECTS = ,(NODE\PEFFS (CAR B)))
022                 (NODE\SEXPR (CAR A))
023                 "(AFFECTED BY ,(NODE\PAFFD (CAR A))))
024         (ALTER-COMBINATION FM (WARNP := T))))
025       (IF (NOT (EFFECTLESS-EXCEPT-CONS (EFFS-INTERSECT (NODE\PEFFS (CAR A))
026                                                         (NODE\PEFFS (CAR B))))))
027         (BLOCK (WARN |co-arguments may have interfering effects|
028                 (NODE\SEXPR (CAR A))
029                 "(EFFECTS = ,(NODE\PEFFS (CAR A)))
030                 (NODE\SEXPR (CAR B))
031                 "(EFFECTS = ,(NODE\PEFFS (CAR B))))
032         (ALTER-COMBINATION FM (WARNP := T))))))
033
034 (DEFMAC EFFDEF (FN EFFS AFFD . FOLD)
035   "(PROGN (DEFPROP ,FN ,EFFS FN-SIDE-EFFECTS)
036           (DEFPROP ,FN ,AFFD FN-SIDE-AFFECTED)
037           ,(AND FOLD "(DEFPROP ,FN T OKAY-TO-FOLD))))
038
039 (DECLARE (/@DEFINE EFFDEF |SIDE EFFECTS|))

```

This page contains declarations of side-effect information for many standard primitive functions. The EFFDEF macro used to make the declarations is described on the previous page.

```
001
002 (PROGN 'COMPILE
003 (EFFDEF + NONE NONE)
004 (EFFDEF - NONE NONE)
005 (EFFDEF * NONE NONE)
006 (EFFDEF // NONE NONE)
007 (EFFDEF = NONE NONE)
008 (EFFDEF < NONE NONE)
009 (EFFDEF > NONE NONE)
010 (EFFDEF CAR NONE (RPLACA))
011 (EFFDEF CDR NONE (RPLACD))
012 (EFFDEF CAAR NONE (RPLACA))
013 (EFFDEF CADR NONE (RPLACA RPLACD))
014 (EFFDEF CDAR NONE (RPLACA RPLACD))
015 (EFFDEF CDDR NONE (RPLACD))
016 (EFFDEF CAAAR NONE (RPLACA))
017 (EFFDEF CAADR NONE (RPLACA RPLACD))
018 (EFFDEF CADAR NONE (RPLACA RPLACD))
019 (EFFDEF CADDR NONE (RPLACA RPLACD))
020 (EFFDEF CDAAR NONE (RPLACA RPLACD))
021 (EFFDEF CDADR NONE (RPLACA RPLACD))
022 (EFFDEF CDDAR NONE (RPLACA RPLACD))
023 (EFFDEF CDDDR NONE (RPLACD))
024 (EFFDEF CAAAAR NONE (RPLACA))
025 (EFFDEF CAAADR NONE (RPLACA RPLACD))
026 (EFFDEF CAADAR NONE (RPLACA RPLACD))
027 (EFFDEF CAADDR NONE (RPLACA RPLACD))
028 (EFFDEF CADAAR NONE (RPLACA RPLACD))
029 (EFFDEF CADADR NONE (RPLACA RPLACD))
030 (EFFDEF CADDAR NONE (RPLACA RPLACD))
031 (EFFDEF CADDDR NONE (RPLACA RPLACD))
032 (EFFDEF CDAAAR NONE (RPLACA RPLACD))
033 (EFFDEF CDAADR NONE (RPLACA RPLACD))
034 (EFFDEF CDADAR NONE (RPLACA RPLACD))
035 (EFFDEF CDADDR NONE (RPLACA RPLACD))
036 (EFFDEF CDDAAR NONE (RPLACA RPLACD))
037 (EFFDEF CDDADR NONE (RPLACA RPLACD))
038 (EFFDEF CDDAR NONE (RPLACA RPLACD))
039 (EFFDEF CDDDDR NONE (RPLACD))
040 (EFFDEF CXR NONE (RPLACA RPLACD))
041 (EFFDEF RPLACA (RPLACA) NONE)
042 (EFFDEF RPLACD (RPLACA) NONE)
043 (EFFDEF RPLACX (RPLACA RPLACD) NONE)
044 (EFFDEF EQ NONE NONE)
045 (EFFDEF ATOM NONE NONE)
046 (EFFDEF NUMBERP NONE NONE)
047 (EFFDEF TYPEP NONE NONE)
048 (EFFDEF SYMBOLP NONE NONE)
049 (EFFDEF HUNKP NONE NONE)
050 (EFFDEF FIXP NONE NONE)
051 (EFFDEF FLOATP NONE NONE)
052 (EFFDEF BIGP NONE NONE)
053 (EFFDEF NOT NONE NONE)
054 (EFFDEF NULL NONE NONE)
055 (EFFDEF CONS (CONS) NONE)
056 (EFFDEF LIST (CONS) NONE)
057 (EFFDEF APPEND (CONS) (RPLACD))
058 (EFFDEF MEMQ NONE (RPLACA RPLACD) T)
059 (EFFDEF ASSQ NONE (RPLACA RPLACD) T)
060 (EFFDEF PRINT (FILE) (FILE RPLACA RPLACD))
061 (EFFDEF PRIN1 (FILE) (FILE RPLACA RPLACD))
062 (EFFDEF PRINC (FILE) (FILE RPLACA RPLACD))
063 (EFFDEF TERPRI (FILE) (FILE))
064 (EFFDEF TYO (FILE) (FILE))
065 (EFFDEF READ ANY (FILE))
066 (EFFDEF TYI ANY (FILE))
067 'SIDE-EFFECTS-PROPERTIES)
```

ERASE-NODE and ERASE-ALL-NODES are convenient mnemonic macros used to invoke ERASE-NODES.

ERASE-NODES is used by the optimizer to destroy nodes which have been removed from the program tree because of some optimization. If ALLP is NIL (ERASE-NODE), then only the given node is erased; if it is T (ERASE-ALL-NODES), then the given node and all descendants, direct and indirect, are erased.

Erasing a node may involve removing certain properties from property lists. This is necessary to maintain the consistency of the properties. For example, if a VARIABLE node is erased, then that node must be removed from the READ-REFS property of the variable name. The optimizer depends on this so that, for example, it can determine whether all references to a variable have been erased.

It should be noted in passing that in principle all occurrences of ASET on a given variable could be erased, thereby reducing its WRITE-REFS property to NIL. Because the EDFS-ANALYZE computation on VARIABLE nodes used the WRITE-REFS property, a VARIABLE node might have ASET in its AFFD set after the optimizer had removed all the ASET nodes. Because of the tree-walking discipline of the optimizer, the VARIABLE nodes will not be reanalyzed immediately. This cannot hurt, however; it may just cause the optimizer later to be more cautious than necessary when examining a VARIABLE node. (If this doesn't make sense, come back after reading the description of the optimizer.)

The flag \*TESTING\* is used to determine whether or not to remove the node from the NODE property on the node's name. When debugging, it is very useful to keep this information around to trace the optimizer's actions; but when compiling a large function for "production" purposes, the discarded nodes may bloat memory, and so they must be removed from the NODE property in order that they may be garbage-collected by LISP.

```

001
002   ::: THIS ROUTINE IS USED TO UNDO ANY PASS 1 ANALYSIS ON A NODE.
003
004 (DEFMAC ERASE-NODE (NODE) "(ERASE-NODES ,NODE NIL))
005 (DEFMAC ERASE-ALL-NODES (NODE) "(ERASE-NODES ,NODE T))
006
007 (DEFINE ERASE-NODES
008   (LAMBDA (NODE ALLP)
009     (LET ((FM (NODE\FORM NODE)))
010       (OR (EQ (TYPE NODE) 'NODE)
011           (ERROR '|Cannot erase a non-node| NODE 'FAIL-ACT)))
012       (EQCASE (TYPE FM)
013         (CONSTANT)
014         (VARIABLE
015          (DELPROP (VARIABLE\VAR FM) NODE 'READ-REFS))
016         (LAMBDA
017          (IF ALLP (ERASE-ALL-NODES (LAMBDA\BODY FM)))
018          (IF (NOT *TESTING*)
019              (AMAPC (LAMBDA (V) (REMPROP V 'BINDING)) (LAMBDA\VARS FM))))
020          (IF (COND (ALLP (ERASE-ALL-NODES (IF\PRED FM))
021                    (ERASE-ALL-NODES (IF\CON FM))
022                    (ERASE-ALL-NODES (IF\ALT FM))))))
023         (ASET
024          (IF ALLP (ERASE-ALL-NODES (ASET\BODY FM)))
025          (DELPROP (ASET\VAR FM) NODE 'WRITE-REFS))
026         (CATCH
027          (IF ALLP (ERASE-ALL-NODES (CATCH\BODY FM)))
028          (IF (NOT *TESTING*)
029              (REMPROP (CATCH\VAR FM) 'BINDING)))
030         (LABELS
031          (COND (ALLP (AMAPC (LAMBDA (D) (ERASE-ALL-NODES D))
032                          (LABELS\FNDEFS FM))
033                (ERASE-ALL-NODES (LABELS\BODY FM))))
034          (IF (NOT *TESTING*)
035              (AMAPC (LAMBDA (V) (REMPROP V 'BINDING)) (LABELS\FNVARs FM))))
036         (COMBINATION
037          (IF ALLP (AMAPC (LAMBDA (A) (ERASE-ALL-NODES A))
038                        (COMBINATION\ARGS FM))))))
039   (IF (NOT *TESTING*)
040       (REMPROP (NODE\NAME NODE) 'NODE))))

```

META-EVALUATE is the top-level function of the optimizer. It accepts a node, and returns a node (not necessarily the same one) for an equivalent program.

The METAP flags in the nodes are used to control re-analysis. META-EVALUATE checks this flag first thing, and returns the given node immediately if its METAP flag is non-NIL, meaning the node has already been properly optimized. Otherwise it examines the node more carefully.

Some rules about the organization of the optimizer:

- [1] A node returned by a call to META-EVALUATE will always have its METAP flag set.
- [2] The descendants of a node must be meta-evaluated before any information in them is used.
- [3] If a node has its METAP flag set, so do all of its descendants. Moreover, REANALYZE1 has been applied to the node, so all of the information filled in by pass-1 analysis (ENV-ANALYZE, TRIV-ANALYZE, and EFFS-ANALYZE) is up-to-date.

When COMPILE calls META-EVALUATE, all the METAP flags are NIL, and no pass-1 analysis has been performed. META-EVALUATE, roughly speaking, calls itself recursively, and meta-evaluates the node tree from the bottom up. After meta-evaluating all the descendants of a node, it applies REANALYZE1 to perform pass-1 analysis on that node, sets the METAP flag, and returns the node. Exceptions can be made to this discipline if a non-trivial optimization occurs.

If the (meta-evaluated) predicate part of an IF node is itself an IF node (and the debugging switch \*FUDGE\* is set), then META-IF-FUDGE is called. If it is a constant, then the value of the constant is used to select either the consequent CON or the alternative ALT. The other one is then erased, and the IF node is itself erased. The selected component node is then returned (it has already been meta-evaluated). The statistics counter \*DEAD-COUNT\* counts occurrences of this "dead code elimination" optimization.

The other two interesting cases are COMBINATION nodes whose function position contains either a trivial function or a LAMBDA node. META-COMBINATION-TRIVFN and META-COMBINATION-LAMBDA handle these respective cases.



```

001
002   ::: THE VALUE OF META-EVALUATE IS THE (POSSIBLY NEW) NODE RESULTING FROM THE GIVEN ONE.
003
004   (SET' *FUDGE* T)                               ;SWITCH TO CONTROL META-IF-FUDGE
005   (SET' *DEAD-COUNT* 0)                           ;COUNT OF DEAD-CODE ELIMINATIONS
006
007   (DEFINE META-EVALUATE
008     (LAMBDA (NODE)
009       (IF (NODE\METAP NODE)
010         NODE
011         (LET ((FM (NODE\FORM NODE)))
012           (EQCASE (TYPE FM)
013             (CONSTANT
014               (REANALYZE1 NODE)
015               (ALTER-NODE NODE (METAP := T)))
016             (VARIABLE
017               (REANALYZE1 NODE)
018               (ALTER-NODE NODE (METAP := T)))
019             (LAMBDA
020               (ALTER-LAMBDA FM (BODY := (META-EVALUATE (LAMBDA\BODY FM))))
021               (REANALYZE1 NODE)
022               (ALTER-NODE NODE (METAP := T)))
023             (IF
024               (ALTER-IF FM
025                 (PRED := (META-EVALUATE (IF\PRED FM)))
026                 (CON := (META-EVALUATE (IF\CON FM)))
027                 (ALT := (META-EVALUATE (IF\ALT FM)))
028               (IF (AND *FUDGE* (EQ (TYPE (NODE\FORM (IF\PRED FM))) 'IF))
029                 (META-IF-FUDGE NODE)
030                 (IF (EQ (TYPE (NODE\FORM (IF\PRED FM))) 'CONSTANT)
031                     (LET ((CON (IF\CON FM))
032                         (ALT (IF\ALT FM))
033                         (VAL (CONSTANT\VALUE (NODE\FORM (IF\PRED FM))))
034                         (ERASE-NODE NODE)
035                         (ERASE-ALL-NODES (IF\PRED FM))
036                         (INCREMENT *DEAD-COUNT*))
037                     (IF VAL
038                       (BLOCK (ERASE-ALL-NODES ALT) CON)
039                       (BLOCK (ERASE-ALL-NODES CON) ALT)))
040                     (BLOCK (REANALYZE1 NODE)
041                       (ALTER-NODE NODE (METAP := T))))))
042             (ASET
043               (ALTER-ASET FM (BODY := (META-EVALUATE (ASET\BODY FM))))
044               (REANALYZE1 NODE)
045               (ALTER-NODE NODE (METAP := T)))
046             (CATCH
047               (ALTER-CATCH FM (BODY := (META-EVALUATE (CATCH\BODY FM))))
048               (REANALYZE1 NODE)
049               (ALTER-NODE NODE (METAP := T)))
050             (LABELS
051               (DO ((D (LABELS\FNDEFS FM) (CDR D)))
052                 ((NULL D))
053                 (RPLACA D (META-EVALUATE (CAR D))))
054               (ALTER-LABELS FM (BODY := (META-EVALUATE (LABELS\BODY FM))))
055               (REANALYZE1 NODE)
056               (ALTER-NODE NODE (METAP := T)))
057             (COMBINATION
058               (LET ((FN (NODE\FORM (CAR (COMBINATION\ARGS FM))))
059                   (COND ((AND (EQ (TYPE FN) 'VARIABLE)
060                             (TRIVFN (VARIABLE\VAR FN)))
061                       (META-COMBINATION-TRIVFN NODE))
062                     ((EQ (TYPE FN) 'LAMBDA)
063                       (META-COMBINATION-LAMBDA NODE))
064                     (T (DO ((A (COMBINATION\ARGS FM) (CDR A)))
065                           ((NULL A))
066                           (RPLACA A (META-EVALUATE (CAR A))))
067                       (REANALYZE1 NODE)
068                       (ALTER-NODE NODE (METAP := T))))))))))

```

For an IF nested within another IF, the transformation shown in the comment is performed. This involves constructing an S-expression of the appropriate form and then calling ALPHATIZE to convert it into a node-tree. (The node-tree could be constructed directly, but it is easier to call ALPHATIZE. This is the reason why ALPHATIZE merely returns a NODE if it encounters one in the S-expression; META-IF-FUDGE inserts various nodes in the S-expression it constructs.) The original two IF nodes are erased, a statistics counter \*FUDGE-COUNT\* is incremented, and the new expression is meta-evaluated and returned in place of the nested IF nodes.

(The statistics counter shows that this optimization is performed with modest frequency, arising from cases such as (IF (AND ...)...))

META-COMBINATION-TRIVFN performs the standard recursive meta-evaluation of all the arguments, and then checks to see whether the combination can be "folded". This is possible all the arguments are constants, and if the function has no side effects and cannot be affected by side-effects, or has an OKAY-TO-FOLD property. If this is the case, the function is applied to the arguments, the combination node and its descendants are erased, the statistics counter \*FOLD-COUNT\* is bumped, and a new CONSTANT node containing the result is created and meta-evaluated. This might typically occur for (NOT NIL) => T, or (+ 3 4) => 7, or (MEMQ 'BAR '(FOO BAR BAZ)) => '(BAR BAZ). If this optimization is not permissible, then the usual reanalysis and setting of the METAP flag is performed.

(The statistics counter shows that even in a very large program such as RABBIT this optimization is performed fewer than a dozen times. This may be due to my programming style, or because there are very few macros in the code for RABBIT which might expand into foldable code.)

```

001
002   ;;: TRANSFORM (IF (IF A B C) D E) INTO:
003   ;;:   ((LAMBDA (D1 E1)
004   ;;:     (IF A (IF B (D1) (E1)) (IF C (D1) (E1))))
005   ;;:   (LAMBDA () D)
006   ;;:   (LAMBDA () E))
007
008   (SET' *FUDGE-COUNT* 0)                                ;COUNT OF IF-FUDGES
009
010   (DEFINE META-IF-FUDGE
011     (LAMBDA (NODE)
012       (LET ((FM (NODE\FORM NODE)))
013         (LET ((PFM (NODE\FORM (IF\PRED FM)))
014             (LET ((N (ALPHATIZE (LET ((CONVAR (GENTEMP 'META-CON))
015                                 (ALTVAR (GENTEMP 'META-ALT)))
016                                 "(LAMBDA (.CONVAR .ALTVAR)
017                                   (IF ,(IF\PRED PFM)
018                                     (IF ,(IF\CON PFM)
019                                       (.CONVAR)
020                                       (.ALTVAR))
021                                     (IF ,(IF\ALT PFM)
022                                       (.CONVAR)
023                                       (.ALTVAR))))))
024             (LAMBDA () ,(IF\CON FM))
025             (LAMBDA () ,(IF\ALT FM))))
026           (NODE\ENV NODE)))) ;DOESN'T MATTER
027       (ERASE-NODE NODE)
028       (ERASE-NODE (IF\PRED FM))
029       (INCREMENT *FUDGE-COUNT*)
030       (META-EVALUATE N))))))
031
032   ;;: REDUCE A COMBINATION WITH A SIDE-EFFECT-LESS TRIVIAL
033   ;;: FUNCTION AND CONSTANT ARGUMENTS TO A CONSTANT.
034
035   (SET' *FOLD-COUNT* 0)                                ;COUNT OF CONSTANT FOLDINGS
036
037   (DEFINE META-COMBINATION-TRIVFN
038     (LAMBDA (NODE)
039       (LET ((FM (NODE\FORM NODE)))
040         (LET ((ARGS (COMBINATION\ARGS FM))
041             (RPLACA ARGS (META-EVALUATE (CAR ARGS)))
042             (DO ((A (CDR ARGS) (CDR A))
043                 (CONSTP (LET ((FNNAME (VARIABLE\VAR (NODE\FORM (CAR ARGS))))
044                             (OR (AND (EQ (GET FNNAME
045                                     'FN-SIDE-EFFECTS)
046                                     'NONE)
047                                     (EQ (GET FNNAME
048                                     'FN-SIDE-AFFECTED)
049                                     'NONE))
050                                 (GET FNNAME 'OKAY-TO-FOLD)))
051                             (AND CONSTP (EQ (TYPE (NODE\FORM (CAR A))) 'CONSTANT))))))
052             ((NULL A)
053             (COND (CONSTP
054                   (LET ((VAL (APPLY (VARIABLE\VAR (NODE\FORM (CAR ARGS)))
055                                   (AMAPCAR (LAMBDA (X)
056                                             (CONSTANT\VALUE
057                                               (NODE\FORM X)))
058                                   (CDR ARGS))))))
059                     (ERASE-ALL-NODES NODE)
060                     (INCREMENT *FOLD-COUNT*)
061                     (META-EVALUATE (ALPHATIZE "(QUOTE .VAL) NIL))))
062             (T (REANALYZE1 NODE)
063                 (ALTER-NODE NODE (METAP := T))))))
064       (RPLACA A (META-EVALUATE (CAR A))))))

```

META-COMBINATION-LAMBDA performs several interesting optimizations on combinations of the form ((LAMBDA ...) ...). It is controlled by several debugging switches, and keeps several statistics counters, which we will not describe further.

First all the arguments, but not the LAMBDA-expression, are meta-evaluated by the first DO loop. Next, the body of the LAMBDA node is meta-evaluated and kept in the variable B in the second DO loop. This loop iterates over the LAMBDA variables and the corresponding arguments. For each variable-argument pair, SUBST-CANDIDATE determines whether the argument can "probably" be legally substituted for occurrences of the variable in the body. If so, META-SUBSTITUTE is called to attempt such substitution. When the loop finishes, B has the body with all possible substitutions performed. This body is then re-meta-evaluated. (The reason for this is explained later in the discussion of META-SUBSTITUTE.)

Next an attempt is made to eliminate LAMBDA variables. A variable and its corresponding argument may be eliminated if the variable has no remaining references, and the argument either has no side effects or has been successfully substituted. (If an argument has side effects, then SUBST-CANDIDATE will give permission to attempt substitution only if no more than one reference to the corresponding variable exists. If the substitution fails, then the argument may not be eliminated, because its side effects must not be lost. If the substitution succeeds, then the argument must be eliminated, because the side effects must not be duplicated.) A consistency check ensures that in fact the variable is unreferenced within the body as determined by its REFS and ASETS slots; then the argument and variable are deleted, and the nodes of the argument are erased.

When all possible variable-argument pairs have been eliminated, then there are two cases. If the LAMBDA has no variables left, then the combination containing it can be replaced by the body of the LAMBDA node. In this case the LAMBDA and COMBINATION nodes are erased. Otherwise the LAMBDA and COMBINATION nodes are reanalyzed and their METAP flags are set.

(The statistics counters show that when RABBIT compiles itself these three optimizations are performed hundreds of times. This occurs because many standard macros make use of closures to ensure that variables local to the code for the macro do not conflict with user variables. These closures often can be substituted into the code by the compiler and eliminated.)

```

001
002 (SET' *FLUSH-ARGS* T)
003 (SET' *FLUSH-COUNT* 0)
004 (SET' *CONVERT-COUNT* 0)
005
006 (DEFINE
007 META-COMBINATION-LAMBDA
008 (LAMBDA (NODE)
009 (LET ((FM (NODE\FORM NODE)))
010 (LET ((ARGS (COMBINATION\ARGS FM)))
011 (DO ((A (CDR ARGS) (CDR A)))
012 ((NULL A)
013 (RPLACA A (META-EVALUATE (CAR A)))
014 (ALTER-NODE (CAR A) (SUBSTP := NIL)))
015 (LET ((FN (NODE\FORM (CAR ARGS)))
016 (DO ((V (LAMBDA\VARS FN) (CDR V))
017 (A (CDR ARGS) (CDR A))
018 (B (META-EVALUATE (LAMBDA\BODY FN))
019 (IF (SUBST-CANDIDATE (CAR A) (CAR V) B)
020 (META-SUBSTITUTE (CAR A) (CAR V) B)
021 B)))
022 ((NULL V)
023 (ALTER-LAMBDA FN (BODY := (META-EVALUATE B)))
024 (DO ((V (LAMBDA\VARS FN) (CDR V))
025 (A (CDR ARGS) (CDR A))
026 ((NULL A)
027 (IF (AND *FLUSH-ARGS*
028 (NULL (GET (CAR V) 'READ-REFS))
029 (NULL (GET (CAR V) 'WRITE-REFS))
030 (OR (EFFECTLESS-EXCEPT-CONS (NODE\EFFS (CAR A)))
031 (NODE\SUBSTP (CAR A))))
032 (BLOCK (IF (OR (MEMQ V (NODE\REFS (LAMBDA\BODY FN)))
033 (MEMQ V (NODE\ASETS (LAMBDA\BODY FN))))
034 (ERROR '|Reanalysis lost - META-COMBINATION-LAMBDA|
035 NODE
036 'FAIL-ACT))
037 (DELQ (CAR A) ARGS)
038 (ERASE-ALL-NODES (CAR A))
039 (INCREMENT *FLUSH-COUNT*)
040 (ALTER-LAMBDA FN
041 (VARS := (DELQ (CAR V) (LAMBDA\VARS FN)))
042 (UVARS := (DELQ (GET (CAR V) 'USER-NAME)
043 (LAMBDA\UVARS FN)))))))
044 (COND ((NULL (LAMBDA\VARS FN))
045 (OR (NULL (CDR ARGS))
046 (ERROR '|Too many args in META-COMBINATION-LAMBDA|
047 NODE
048 'FAIL-ACT))
049 (LET ((BOD (LAMBDA\BODY FN)))
050 (ERASE-NODE (CAR ARGS))
051 (ERASE-NODE NODE)
052 (INCREMENT *CONVERT-COUNT*)
053 BOD))
054 (T (REANALYZE1 (CAR ARGS))
055 (ALTER-NODE (CAR ARGS) (METAP := T))
056 (REANALYZE1 NODE)
057 (ALTER-NODE NODE (METAP := T))))))))))

```

(SUBST-CANDIDATE ARG VAR BOD) is a predicate which is true iff it is apparently legal to attempt to substitute the argument ARG for the variable VAR in the body BOD. This predicate is very conservative, because there is no provision for backing out of a bad choice. The decision is made on this basis: [1] There must be no ASET references to the variable. (This is overly restrictive, but is complicated to check for correctly, and makes little difference in practice.)

[2] One of three conditions must hold:

[2a] There is at most one reference to the variable. (Code with possible side effects must not be duplicated. Exceptions occur, for example, if there are two references, one in each branch of an IF, so that only one can be executed. This is hard to detect, and relaxing this restriction is probably not worthwhile.)

[2b] The argument is a constant or variable. (This is always safe because the cost of a constant or variable is no worse than the cost of referencing the variable it replaces.)

[2c] The argument is a LAMBDA-expression, and either:

[2c1] There is no more than one reference. (This is tested again because of the presence of debugging switches in SUBST-CANDIDATE which can control various tests independently to help localize bugs.)

[2c2] The body of the LAMBDA-expression is a combination, all of whose descendants are constants or variables, and the number of arguments of the combination (not counting the function) does not exceed the number of arguments taken by the LAMBDA-expression. (The idea here is that substitution of the LAMBDA-expression into function position of some combination will later allow reduction to a combination which is no worse than the original one. This test is a poor heuristic if references to the variable VAR occur in other than function position within BOD, because then several closures will be made instead of one, but is very good for code typically produced by the expansion of macros. In retrospect, perhaps ENV-ANALYZE should maintain a third property besides READ-REFS and WRITE-REFS called, say, NON-FN-REFS. This would be the subset of READ-REFS which occur in other than function position of a combination. SUBST-CANDIDATE could then use this information. Alternatively, META-SUBSTITUTE could, as it walked the node-tree of the body, keep track of whether a variable was encountered in function position, and refuse to substitute a LAMBDA-expression for a variable not in such a position which had more than one reference. This might in turn prevent other optimizations, however.)



REANALYZE1 calls PASS1-ANALYZE on the given node. The argument T means that optimization is in effect, and so EFFS-ANALYZE must be invoked after ENV-ANALYZE and TRIV-ANALYZE (EFFS-ANALYZE information is used only by the optimizer). The argument \*REANALYZE\* specifies whether reanalysis should be forced to all descendant nodes, or whether reanalysis of the current node will suffice. This variable normally contains the symbol ONCE, meaning reanalyze only the current node. META-EVALUATE normally ensures, before analyzing a node, that all descendant nodes are analyzed. Thus the initial pass-1 analysis occurs incrementally, interleaved with the meta-evaluation process.

The switch \*REANALYZE\* may be set to the symbol ALL to force all descendants of a node to be reanalyzed before analyzing the node itself. This ability is provided to test for certain bugs in the optimizer. If the incremental analysis should fail for some reason, then the descendant nodes may not contain correct information (for example, their information slots may be empty!). The ALL setting ensures that a consistent analysis is obtained. If the optimizer's behavior differs depending on whether \*REANALYZE\* contains ONCE or ALL, then a problem with the incremental analysis is implicated. This switch has been very useful for isolating such bugs.

The next group of functions are utilities for META-SUBSTITUTE which deal with sets of side-effects.

EFFS-INTERSECT takes the intersection of two sets of side-effects. It is just like INTERSECT, except that it also knows about the two special sets ANY and NONE.

EFFECTLESS is a predicate which is true of an empty set of side-effects.

EFFECTLESS-EXCEPT-CONS is a predicate true of a set of side-effects which is empty except possibly for the CONS side-effect.

PASSABLE takes a node and two sets of side-effects, which should be the EFFS and AFFD sets from some other node. PASSABLE is a predicate which is true if the given node, which originally preceded the second in the standard evaluation order, can legitimately be postponed until after the second is evaluated. That is, it is true iff the first node can "pass" the second during the substitution process.



```

001
002 (DEFINE REANALYZE1
003     (LAMBDA (NODE)
004         (PASS1-ANALYZE NODE *REANALYZE* T)))
005
006 (SET' *REANALYZE* 'ONCE)
007
008
009
010 ;;: HERE WE DETERMINE, FOR EACH VARIABLE NODE WHOSE VAR IS THE ONE
011 ;;: GIVEN, WHETHER IT IS POSSIBLE TO SUBSTITUTE IN FOR IT; THIS IS
012 ;;: DETERMINED ON THE BASIS OF SIDE EFFECTS. THIS IS DONE BY
013 ;;: WALKING THE PROGRAM, STOPPING WHEN A SIDE-EFFECT BLOCKS IT.
014 ;;: A SUBSTITUTION IS MADE IFF IS VARIABLE NODE IS REACHED IN THE WALK.
015
016 ;;: THERE IS A BUG IN THIS THEORY TO THE EFFECT THAT A CATCH
017 ;;: WHICH RETURNS MULTIPLY CAN CAUSE AN EXPRESSION EXTERNAL
018 ;;: TO THE CATCH TO BE EVALUATED TWICE. THIS IS A DYNAMIC PROBLEM
019 ;;: WHICH CANNOT BE RESOLVED AT COMPILE TIME, AND SO WE SHALL
020 ;;: IGNORE IT FOR NOW.
021
022 ;;: WE ALSO RESET THE METAP FLAG ON ALL NODES WHICH HAVE A
023 ;;: SUBSTITUTION AT OR BELOW THEM, SO THAT THE META-EVALUATOR WILL
024 ;;: RE-PENETRATE TO SUBSTITUTION POINTS, WHICH MAY ADMIT FURTHER
025 ;;: OPTIMIZATIONS.
026
027
028 (DEFINE EFFS-INTERSECT
029     (LAMBDA (A B)
030         (COND ((EQ A 'ANY) B)
031              ((EQ B 'ANY) A)
032              ((EQ A 'NONE) A)
033              ((EQ B 'NONE) B)
034              (T (INTERSECT A B))))))
035
036 (DEFINE EFFECTLESS
037     (LAMBDA (X) (OR (NULL X) (EQ X 'NONE))))
038
039 (DEFINE EFFECTLESS-EXCEPT-CONS
040     (LAMBDA (X) (OR (EFFECTLESS X) (EQUAL X '(CONS)))))
041
042 (DEFINE PASSABLE
043     (LAMBDA (NODE EFFS AFFD)
044         (BLOCK (IF (EMPTY (NODE\EFFS NODE))
045                 (ERROR '|Pass 1 Analysis Missing - PASSABLE|
046                     NODE
047                     'FAIL-ACT))
048             (AND (EFFECTLESS (EFFS-INTERSECT EFFS (NODE\AFFD NODE)))
049                 (EFFECTLESS (EFFS-INTERSECT AFFD (NODE\EFFS NODE)))
050                 (EFFECTLESS-EXCEPT-CONS (EFFS-INTERSECT EFFS (NODE\EFFS NODE)))))))

```

META-SUBSTITUTE takes a node-tree ARG, a variable name VAR, and another node-tree BOD, and wherever possible substitutes copies of ARG for occurrences of VAR within BOD. The complexity of this process is due almost entirely to the necessity of determining the extent of "wherever possible".

META-SUBSTITUTE merely spreads out the EFFS and AFFD slots of ARG to make them easy to refer to, makes an error check, and then passes the buck to the internal LABELS routine SUBSTITUTE, which does the real work.

SUBSTITUTE recurs over the structure of the node-tree. At each node it first checks to see whether VAR is in the REFS set of that node. This is purely an efficiency hack: if VAR is not in the set, then it cannot occur anywhere below that node in the tree, and so SUBSTITUTE can save itself the work of a complete recursive search of that portion of the node-tree.

SUBSTITUTE plays another efficiency trick in cahoots with META-EVALUATE to save work. Whenever SUBSTITUTE actually replaces an occurrence of VAR with a copy of ARG, the copy of ARG will have its METAP flag turned off (set to NIL). Now SUBSTITUTE propagates the METAP flag back up the node-tree; when all sub-nodes of a node have had SUBSTITUTE applied to them, then if the METAP flag of the current node is still set, it is set to the AND of the flags of the subnodes. Thus any node below which a substitution has occurred will have its METAP flag reset. More to the point, any node which after the substitution still has its METAP flag set has had no substitutions occur below it. META-EVALUATE can then be applied to BOD after all substitutions have been tried (this occurs in META-COMBINATION-LAMBDA), and META-EVALUATE will only have to re-examine those parts of BOD which have changed. In particular, if no substitutions were successful, META-EVALUATE will not have to re-examine BOD at all.

If the variable is referenced at or below the node, it breaks down into cases according to the type of the node.

For a CONSTANT, no action is necessary.

For a VARIABLE, no action is taken unless the variable matches VAR, in which case the node is erased and a copy of ARG is made and returned in its place. The SUBSTP slot of the original ARG is set as a flag to META-COMBINATION-LAMBDA (q.v.), to let it know that at least one substitution succeeded.

For a LAMBDA, substitution can occur in the body only if ARG has no side-effects except possibly CONS. This is because evaluation of the LAMBDA-expression (to produce a closure) will not necessarily cause evaluation of the side-effect in ARG at the correct time. The special case of a LAMBDA occurring as the function in a COMBINATION is handled separately below.

For an IF, substitution is attempted in the predicate. It is attempted in the other two sub-trees only if ARG can pass the predicate.

For an ASET' or a CATCH, substitution is attempted in the body. The same is true of LABELS, but substitution is also attempted in the labelled function definitions.

```

001
002 (SET' *SUBST-COUNT* 0) ;COUNT OF SUBSTITUTIONS
003 (SET' *LAMBDA-BODY-SUBST* T) ;SWITCH TO CONTROL SUBSTITUTION IN LAMBDA BODIES
004 (SET' *LAMBDA-BODY-SUBST-TRY-COUNT* 0) ;COUNT THEREOF - TRIES
005 (SET' *LAMBDA-BODY-SUBST-SUCCESS-COUNT* 0) ;COUNT THEREOF - SUCCESSES
006
007
008 (DEFINE
009 META-SUBSTITUTE
010 (LAMBDA
011 (ARG VAR BOD)
012 (LET ((EFFS (NODE\EFFS ARG))
013 (AFFD (NODE\AFFD ARG)))
014 (IF (EMPTY EFFS)
015 (ERROR '|Pass 1 Analysis Screwed Up - META-SUBSTITUTE| ARG 'FAIL-ACT))
016 (LABELS
017 ((SUBSTITUTE
018 (LAMBDA (NODE)
019 (IF (OR (EMPTY (NODE\REFS NODE))
020 (NOT (MEMQ VAR (NODE\REFS NODE)))) ;EFFICIENCY HACK
021 NODE
022 (LET ((FM (NODE\FORM NODE))
023 (EQCASE (TYPE FM)
024 (CONSTANT NODE)
025 (VARIABLE
026 (IF (EQ (VARIABLE\VAR FM) VAR)
027 (BLOCK (ERASE-ALL-NODES NODE)
028 (INCREMENT *SUBST-COUNT*)
029 (ALTER-NODE ARG (SUBSTP := T))
030 (COPY-CODE ARG))
031 NODE))
032 (LAMBDA
033 (IF (AND (EFFECTLESS-EXCEPT-CONS EFFS) (EFFECTLESS AFFD))
034 (ALTER-LAMBDA FM (BODY := (SUBSTITUTE (LAMBDA\BODY FM))))))
035 (IF (NODE\METAP NODE)
036 (ALTER-NODE NODE (METAP := (NODE\METAP (LAMBDA\BODY FM))))))
037 NODE)
038 (IF
039 (ALTER-IF FM (PRED := (SUBSTITUTE (IF\PRED FM))))
040 (IF (PASSABLE (IF\PRED FM) EFFS AFFD)
041 (ALTER-IF FM
042 (CON := (SUBSTITUTE (IF\CON FM))
043 (ALT := (SUBSTITUTE (IF\ALT FM))))))
044 (IF (NODE\METAP NODE)
045 (ALTER-NODE NODE
046 (METAP := (AND (NODE\METAP (IF\PRED FM))
047 (NODE\METAP (IF\CON FM))
048 (NODE\METAP (IF\ALT FM))))))
049 NODE)
050 (ASET
051 (ALTER-ASET FM (BODY := (SUBSTITUTE (ASET\BODY FM))))
052 (IF (NODE\METAP NODE)
053 (ALTER-NODE NODE (METAP := (NODE\METAP (ASET\BODY FM))))))
054 NODE)
055 (CATCH
056 (ALTER-CATCH FM (BODY := (SUBSTITUTE (CATCH\BODY FM))))
057 (IF (NODE\METAP NODE)
058 (ALTER-NODE NODE (METAP := (NODE\METAP (CATCH\BODY FM))))))
059 NODE)
060 (LABELS
061 (ALTER-LABELS FM (BODY := (SUBSTITUTE (LABELS\BODY FM))))
062 (DO ((D (LABELS\FNDEFS FM) (CDR D))
063 (MP (NODE\METAP (LABELS\BODY FM))
064 (AND MP (NODE\METAP (CAR D))))))
065 ((NULL D)
066 (IF (NODE\METAP NODE)
067 (ALTER-NODE NODE (METAP := MP))))
068 (RPLACA D (SUBSTITUTE (CAR D))))
069 NODE)

```

The most complicated case is the COMBINATION. First it is determined (in the variable X) whether ARG can correctly pass all of the arguments of the combination. (It is not possible to substitute into any argument unless all can be passed, because at this time it has not been decided in what order to evaluate them. This decision is the free choice of CONVERT-COMBINATION below.) If it can, then substitution is attempted in all of the arguments except the function itself. Then two kinds of function are distinguished. If it is not a LAMBDA, a straightforward recursive call to SUBSTITUTE is used. If it is, then substitution is attempted in the body of the LAMBDA (not in the LAMBDA itself; substitution in a LAMBDA requires that ARG be EFFECTLESS-EXCEPT-CONS, but in this special case we know that the LAMBDA-expression will be invoked immediately, and so it is all right if ARG has side-effects).

```

070 (COMBINATION
071 (LET ((ARGS (COMBINATION\ARGS FM)))
072 (DO ((A ARGS (CDR A))
073 (X T (AND X (PASSABLE (CAR A) EFFS AFFD))))
074 ((NULL A)
075 (IF X (DO ((A (CDR ARGS) (CDR A)))
076 ((NULL A)
077 (RPLACA A (SUBSTITUTE (CAR A))))))
078 (IF (AND *LAMBDA-BODY-SUBST*
079 (EQ (TYPE (NODE\FORM (CAR ARGS))) 'LAMBDA))
080 (LET ((FN (NODE\FORM (CAR ARGS)))
081 (INCREMENT *LAMBDA-BODY-SUBST-TRY-COUNT*)
082 (COND (X
083 (INCREMENT
084 *LAMBDA-BODY-SUBST-SUCCESS-COUNT*)
085 (ALTER-LAMBDA
086 FN
087 (BODY := (SUBSTITUTE
088 (LAMBDA\BODY FN))))))
089 (IF (NODE\METAP (CAR ARGS))
090 (ALTER-NODE
091 (CAR ARGS)
092 (METAP := (NODE\METAP
093 (LAMBDA\BODY FN))))))
094 (IF X (RPLACA ARGS (SUBSTITUTE (CAR ARGS))))))
095 (DO ((A ARGS (CDR A))
096 (MP T (AND MP (NODE\METAP (CAR A))))
097 ((NULL A)
098 (IF (NODE\METAP NODE)
099 (ALTER-NODE NODE (METAP := MP))))))
100 (NODE))))))
101 (SUBSTITUTE BOD))))))

```

COPY-CODE is used by META-SUBSTITUTE to make copies of node-trees representing code. It invokes COPY-NODES with appropriate additional arguments.

COPY-NODES does the real work. The argument ENV is analogous to the argument ENV taken by ALPHATIZE. However, variables are not looked-up in ENV by COPY-NODES; ENV is maintained only to install in the new nodes for debugging purposes. The argument RNL is a "rename list" for variables. When a node is copied which binds variables, new variables are created for the copy. RNL provides a mapping from generated names in the original code to generated names in the copy (as opposed to ENV, which maps user names to generated names in the copy). Thus, when a LAMBDA node is copied, new names are generated, and PAIRLIS is used to pair new names with the LAMBDA\VARs of the old node, adding the new pairs to RNL.

A neat trick to aid debugging is that the new names are generated by using the old names as the arguments to GENTEMP. In this way the name of a generated variable contains a history of how it was created. For example, VAR-34-73-156 was created by copying the LAMBDA node which bound VAR-34-73, which in turn was copied from the node which bound VAR-34. Copies of CATCH and LABELS variables are generated in the same way.

The large EQCASE handles the different types of nodes. The result is then given to NODIFY, the same routine which creates nodes for ALPHATIZE. Recall that NODIFY initializes the METAP slot to NIL; the next meta-evaluation which comes along will cause pass-1 analysis to be performed on the new copies.

Note particularly that the UVARs list of a LAMBDA node is copied, for the same reason that ALPHA-LAMBDA makes a copy: META-COMBINATION-LAMBDA may alter it destructively.

```

001
002 (DEFINE COPY-CODE
003     (LAMBDA (NODE)
004         (REANALYZE1 (COPY-NODES NODE (NODE\ENV NODE) NIL))))
005
006 (DEFINE
007     COPY-NODES
008     (LAMBDA (NODE ENV RNL)
009         (MODIFY
010             (LET ((FM (NODE\FORM NODE)))
011                 (EQCASE (TYPE FM)
012                     (CONSTANT
013                         (CONS-CONSTANT (VALUE = (CONSTANT\VALUE FM))))
014                     (VARIABLE
015                         (CONS-VARIABLE (VAR = (LET ((SLOT (ASSQ (VARIABLE\VAR FM) RNL)))
016                                                 (IF SLOT (CADR SLOT) (VARIABLE\VAR FM))))
017                             (GLOBALP = (VARIABLE\GLOBALP FM))))
018                     (LAMBDA
019                         (LET ((VARS (AMAPCAR GENTEMP (LAMBDA\VARS FM)))
020                             (CONS-LAMBDA (UVAR = (APPEND (LAMBDA\UVAR FM) NIL))
021                                 (VARS = VARS)
022                                 (BODY = (COPY-NODES
023                                     (LAMBDA\BODY FM)
024                                     (PAIRLIS (LAMBDA\UVAR FM) VARS ENV)
025                                     (PAIRLIS (LAMBDA\VARS FM) VARS RNL))))))
026                     (IF (CONS-IF (PRED = (COPY-NODES (IF\PRED FM) ENV RNL))
027                                 (CON = (COPY-NODES (IF\CON FM) ENV RNL))
028                                 (ALT = (COPY-NODES (IF\ALT FM) ENV RNL))))
029                     (ASET
030                         (CONS-ASET (VAR = (LET ((SLOT (ASSQ (ASET\VAR FM) RNL)))
031                                                 (IF SLOT (CADR SLOT) (ASET\VAR FM))))
032                             (GLOBALP = (ASET\GLOBALP FM))
033                             (BODY = (COPY-NODES (ASET\BODY FM) ENV RNL))))
034                     (CATCH
035                         (LET ((VAR (GENTEMP (CATCH\VAR FM)))
036                             (UVAR (CATCH\UVAR FM)))
037                             (CONS-CATCH (UVAR = (CATCH\UVAR FM))
038                                 (VAR = VAR)
039                                 (BODY = (COPY-NODES
040                                     (CATCH\BODY FM)
041                                     (CONS (LIST UVAR VAR) ENV)
042                                     (CONS (LIST (CATCH\VAR FM) VAR) RNL))))))
043                     (LABELS
044                         (LET ((FNVARS (AMAPCAR GENTEMP (LABELS\FNVARS FM)))
045                             (LET ((LENV (PAIRLIS (LABELS\UFNVARS FM) FNVARS ENV))
046                                 (LRNL (PAIRLIS (LABELS\FNVARS FM) FNVARS RNL)))
047                                 (CONS-LABELS (UFNVARS = (LABELS\UFNVARS FM))
048                                     (FNVARS = FNVARS)
049                                     (FNDEFS = (AMAPCAR
050                                         (LAMBDA (N) (COPY-NODES N LENV LRNL))
051                                         (LABELS\FNDEFS FM)))
052                                     (BODY = (COPY-NODES (LABELS\BODY FM)
053                                         LENV
054                                         LRNL))))))
055                     (COMBINATION
056                         (CONS-COMBINATION (ARGS = (AMAPCAR (LAMBDA (N) (COPY-NODES N ENV RNL))
057                                                         (COMBINATION\ARGS FM)))
058                             (WARNP = (COMBINATION\WARNP FM))))))
059     (NODE\SEXPR NODE)
060     ENV)))

```

The next several functions process the node-tree produced, analyzed, and optimized by pass 1, converting it to another representation. This new representation is a tree structure very similar to the node-tree, but has different components for the pass-2 analysis. We will call this the "cnode-tree". The "c" stands for "Continuation-passing style": for the conversion process transforms the node-tree into a form which uses continuation-passing to represent the control and data flow within the program.

We define a new collection of data types used to construct cnode-trees. The CNODE data type is analogous to the NODE data type; one component CFORM contains a variant structure which is specific to the programming construct represented by the CNODE.

The types CVARIABLE, CLAMBDA, CIF, CASET, CLABELS, and CCOMBINATION correspond roughly to their non-C counterparts in pass 1.

Type TRIVIAL is used to represent pieces of code which were designated trivial in pass 1 (TRIVP slot = T) by TRIV-ANALYZE; the NODE component is simply the pass-1 node-tree for the trivial code. This is the only case in which part of the pass-1 node-tree survives the conversion process to be used in pass 2.

A CONTINUATION is just like a CLAMBDA except that it has only one bound variable VAR. This variable can never appear in a CASET, and so the CONTINUATION type has no ASETVARS slot; all other slots are similar to those in a CLAMBDA structure.

A RETURN structure is just like a CCOMBINATION, except that whereas a CCOMBINATION may invoke a CLAMBDA which may take any number of arguments, a RETURN may invoke only a CONTINUATION on a single value. Thus, in place of the ARGS slot of a CCOMBINATION, which is a list of cnodes, a RETURN has two slots CONT and VAL, each of which is a cnode.

(In retrospect, this was somewhat of a design error. The motivation was that the world of closures could be dichotomized into LAMBDA-closures and continuation-closures, as a result of the fundamental semantics of the language: one world is used to pass values "down" into functions, and the other to pass values "up" from functions. Combinations can similarly be dichotimized, and I thought it would be useful to reflect this distinction in the data types to enforce and error-check this dichotomy. However, as it turned out, there is a great deal of code in pass 2 which had to be written twice, once for each "world", because the data types involved were different. It would be better to have a single structure for both CLAMBDA and CONTINUATION, with an additional slot flagging which kind it was. Then most code in pass 2 could operate on this structure without regard for which "world" it belonged to, and code which cared could check the flag.)



```

001
002   ;;; CONVERSION TO CONTINUATION-PASSING STYLE
003
004   ;;; THIS INVOLVES MAKING A COMPLETE COPY OF THE PROGRAM IN TERMS
005   ;;; OF THE FOLLOWING NEW DATA STRUCTURES:
006
007   (DEFTYPE CNODE (ENV REFS CLOVARS CFORM))
008       ;ENV    ENVIRONMENT (A LIST OF VARIABLES, NOT A MAPPING; DEBUGGING ONLY)
009       ;REFS   VARIABLES BOUND ABOVE AND REFERENCED BELOW THIS CNODE
010       ;CLOVARS VARIABLES REFERRED TO AT OR BELOW THIS CNODE BY CLOSURES
011       ;       (SHOULD BE A SUBSET OF REFS)
012       ;CFORM  ONE OF THE BELOW TYPES
013   (DEFTYPE TRIVIAL (NODE))
014       ;NODE   A PASS-1 NODE TREE
015   (DEFTYPE CVARIABLE (VAR))
016       ;VAR    GENERATED VARIABLE NAME
017   (DEFTYPE CLAMBDA (VARS BODY FNP TVARS NAME DEP MAXDEP CONSENV CLOSEREFS ASETVARS))
018       ;FNP    NON-NIL => NEEDN'T MAKE A FULL CLOSURE OF THIS
019       ;       CLAMBDA. MAY BE 'NOCLOSE OR 'EZCLOSE (THE FORMER
020       ;       MEANING NO CLOSURE IS NECESSARY AT ALL, THE LATTER
021       ;       THAT THE CLOSURE IS MERELY THE ENVIRONMENT).
022       ;TVARS  THE VARIABLES WHICH ARE PASSED THROUGH TEMP LOCATIONS
023       ;       ON ENTRY. NON-NIL ONLY IF FNP='NOCLOSE; THEN IS
024       ;       NORMALLY THE LAMBDA VARS, BUT MAY BE DECREASED
025       ;       TO ACCOUNT FOR ARGS WHICH ARE THEMSELVES KNOWN NOCLOSE'S,
026       ;       OR WHOSE CORRESPONDING PARAMETERS ARE NEVER REFERENCED.
027       ;       THE TEMP VARS INVOLVED START IN NUMBER AT DEP.
028       ;NAME   THE PROG TAG USED TO LABEL THE FINAL OUTPUT CODE FOR THE CLAMBDA
029       ;DEP    DEPTH OF TEMPORARY REGISTER USAGE WHEN THE CLAMBDA IS INVOKED
030       ;MAXDEP MAXIMUM DEPTH OF REGISTER USAGE WITHIN CLAMBDA BODY
031       ;CONSENV THE "CONSED ENVIRONMENT" WHEN THE CLAMBDA IS EVALUATED
032       ;CLOSEREFS VARIABLES REFERENCED BY THE CLAMBDA WHICH ARE NOT IN
033       ;       THE CONSED ENVIRONMENT AT EVALUATION TIME, AND SO MUST BE
034       ;       ADDED TO CONSENV AT THAT POINT TO MAKE THE CLOSURE
035       ;ASETVARS THE ELEMENTS OF VARS WHICH ARE EVER SEEN IN A CASET
036   (DEFTYPE CONTINUATION (VAR BODY FNP TVARS NAME DEP MAXDEP CONSENV CLOSEREFS))
037       ;COMPONENTS ARE AS FOR CLAMBDA
038   (DEFTYPE CIF (PRED CON ALT))
039   (DEFTYPE CASET (CONT VAR BODY))
040   (DEFTYPE CLABELS (FNVARs FNDEFs FNENV EASY CONSENV BODY))
041       ;FNENV  A LIST OF VARIABLES TO CONS ONTO THE ENVIRONMENT BEFORE
042       ;       CREATING THE CLOSURES AND EXECUTING THE BODY
043       ;EASY   NON-NIL IFF NO LABELED FUNCTION IS REFERRED TO
044       ;       AS A VARIABLE. CAN BE 'NOCLOSE OR 'EZCLOSE
045       ;       (REFLECTING THE STATUS OF ALL THE LABELLED FUNCTIONS)
046       ;CONSENV AS FOR CLAMBDA
047   (DEFTYPE CCOMBINATION (ARGS))
048       ;ARGS   LIST OF CNODES REPRESENTING ARGUMENTS
049   (DEFTYPE RETURN (CONT VAL))
050       ;CONT  CNODE FOR CONTINUATION
051       ;VAL   CNODE FOR VALUE

```

CNODIFY is for cnode-trees what NODIFY was for node-trees. It takes a CFORM and wraps a CNODE structure around it.

CONVERT is the main function of the conversion process; it is invoked by COMPILE on the result (META-VERSION) of pass 1. CONVERT dispatches on the type of node to be converted, often calling some specialist which may call it back recursively to convert subnodes. CONT may be a cnode, or NIL. If it is a cnode, then that cnode is the code for a continuation which is to receive as value that produced by the code to be converted. That is, when CONVERT finishes producing code for the given node (the first argument to CONVERT), then in effect a RETURN is created which causes the value of the generated code to be returned to the code represented by CONT (the second argument to CONVERT). Sometimes this RETURN cnode is created explicitly (as for CONSTANT and VARIABLE nodes), and sometimes only implicitly, by passing CONT down to a specialist converter.

MP is T if optimization was performed by pass 1, and NIL otherwise. This argument is for debugging purposes only: CONVERT compares this to the METAP slot of the pass-1 nodes in order to detect any failures of the incremental optimization and analysis process. CONVERT also makes some other consistency checks.

```

001
002 (DEFINE CNODEIFY
003     (LAMBDA (CFORM)
004         (CONS-CNODE (CFORM = CFORM))))
005
006 (DEFINE CONVERT
007     (LAMBDA (NODE CONT MP)
008         (LET ((FM (NODE\FORM NODE)))
009             (IF (EMPTY (NODE\TRIVP NODE))
010                 (ERROR '|Pass 1 analysis missing| NODE 'FAIL-ACT))
011                 (OR (EQ (NODE\METAP NODE) MP)
012                     (ERROR '|Meta-evaluation Screwed Up METAP| NODE 'FAIL-ACT))
013                 (EQCASE (TYPE FM)
014                     (CONSTANT
015                         (OR (NODE\TRIVP NODE)
016                             (ERROR '|Non-trivial Constant| NODE 'FAIL-ACT))
017                             (MAKE-RETURN (CONS-TRIVIAL (NODE = NODE)) CONT))
018                     (VARIABLE
019                         (OR (NODE\TRIVP NODE)
020                             (ERROR '|Non-trivial Variable| 'FAIL-ACT))
021                             (MAKE-RETURN (CONS-TRIVIAL (NODE = NODE)) CONT))
022                     (LAMBDA (MAKE-RETURN (CONVERT-LAMBDA-FM NODE NIL MP) CONT))
023                     (IF (OR CONT (ERROR '|Null Continuation to IF| NODE 'FAIL-ACT))
024                         (CONVERT-IF NODE FM CONT MP))
025                     (ASET (OR CONT (ERROR '|Null Continuation to ASET| NODE 'FAIL-ACT))
026                         (CONVERT-ASET NODE FM CONT MP))
027                     (CATCH (OR CONT (ERROR '|Null Continuation to CATCH| NODE 'FAIL-ACT))
028                         (CONVERT-CATCH NODE FM CONT MP))
029                     (LABELS (OR CONT (ERROR '|Null Continuation to LABELS| NODE 'FAIL-ACT))
030                         (CONVERT-LABELS NODE FM CONT MP))
031                     (COMBINATION (OR CONT (ERROR '|Null Continuation to Combination|
032                                     NODE
033                                     'FAIL-ACT))
034                         (CONVERT-COMBINATION NODE FM CONT MP))))))

```

MAKE-RETURN takes a CFORM (one of the types TRIVIAL, CVARIABLE, ...) and a continuation, and constructs an appropriate returning of the value of the CFORM to the continuation. First the CFORM is given to CNODIFY. If the continuation is in fact NIL (meaning none), this new cnode is returned; otherwise a RETURN cnode is constructed.

CONVERT-LAMBDA-FM takes a LAMBDA node and converts it into a CLAMBDA cnode. The two are isomorphic, except that an extra variable is introduced as an extra first parameter to the CLAMBDA. Conceptually, this variable will be bound to a continuation when the CLAMBDA is invoked at run time; this continuation is the one intended to receive the value of the body of the CLAMBDA. This is accomplished by creating a new variable name CONT-*nnn*, which is added into the lambda variables. A new CVARIABLE node is made from it, and given to CONVERT as the continuation when the body of the LAMBDA node is to be recursively converted.

The CNAME argument is used for a special optimization trick by CONVERT-COMBINATION, described below.

CONVERT-IF distinguishes several cases, to simplify the converted code. First, if the entire IF node is trivial, then a simple CTRIVIAL node may be created for it. Otherwise, the general strategy is to generate code which will bind the given continuation to a variable and evaluate the predicate. This predicate receives a continuation which will examine the resulting value (with a CIF), and then perform either the consequent or alternative, which are converted using the bound variable as the continuation. The reason that the original continuation is bound to a variable is because it would be duplicated by using it for two separate calls to CONVERT, thereby causing duplicate code to be generated for it. A schematic picture of the general strategy is:

```

NODE = (IF a b c)   and   CONT = k   becomes

((CLAMBDA (q)
  (RETURN (CONTINUATION (p)
    (CIF p
      (RETURN q b)
      (RETURN q c)))
    a))
  k)

```

Now there are two special cases which allow simplification. First, if the given continuation is already a cvariable, there is no point in creating a new one to bind it to. This eliminates the outer CCOMBINATION and CLAMBDA. Second, if the predicate *a* is trivial (but the whole IF is not, because the consequent *b* or the alternative *c* is non-trivial), then the CONTINUATION which binds *p* is unnecessary.

```

001
002 (DEFINE MAKE-RETURN
003     (LAMBDA (CFORM CONT)
004         (LET ((CN (CNODIFY CFORM)))
005             (IF CONT
006                 (CNODIFY (CONS-RETURN (CONT = CONT) (VAL = CN)))
007                 CN))))
008
009 (DEFINE CONVERT-LAMBDA-FM
010     (LAMBDA (NODE CNAME MP)
011         (LET ((CV (GENTEMP 'CONT))
012             (FM (NODE\FORM NODE)))
013             (CONS-CLAMBDA (VARS = (CONS CV (LAMBDA\VARS FM)))
014                 (BODY = (CONVERT (LAMBDA\BODY FM)
015                     (CNODIFY
016                         (CONS-CVARIABLE (VAR = (OR CNAME CV))))
017                     MP))))))
018
019 ::: ISSUES FOR CONVERTING IF:
020 ::: (1) IF WHOLE IF IS TRIVIAL, MAY JUST CREATE A CTRIVIAL.
021 ::: (2) IF CONTINUATION IS NON-CVARIABLE, MUST BIND A VARIABLE TO IT.
022 ::: (3) IF PREDICATE IS TRIVIAL, MAY JUST STICK IT IN SIMPLE CIF.
023
024 (DEFINE CONVERT-IF
025     (LAMBDA (NODE FM CONT MP)
026         (IF (NODE\TRIVP NODE)
027             (MAKE-RETURN (CONS-TRIVIAL (NODE = NODE)) CONT)
028             (LET ((CVAR (IF (EQ (TYPE (CNODE\CFORM CONT)) 'CVARIABLE)
029                 NIL
030                 (GENTEMP 'CONT)))
031                 (PVAR (IF (NODE\TRIVP (IF\PRED FM))
032                     NIL
033                     (NODE\NAME (IF\PRED FM))))))
034                 (LET ((ICONT (IF CVAR
035                     (CNODIFY (CONS-CVARIABLE (VAR = CVAR)))
036                     CONT))
037                     (IPRED (IF PVAR
038                         (CNODIFY (CONS-CVARIABLE (VAR = PVAR)))
039                         (CNODIFY (CONS-TRIVIAL (NODE = (IF\PRED FM)))))))
040                     (LET ((CIF (CNODIFY
041                         (CONS-CIF
042                             (PRED = IPRED)
043                             (CON = (CONVERT (IF\CON FM) ICONT MP))
044                             (ALT = (CONVERT (IF\ALT FM)
045                                 (CNODIFY
046                                     (CONS-CVARIABLE
047                                         (VAR = (CVARIABLE\VAR
048                                             (CNODE\CFORM ICONT))))
049                                 MP))))))
050                         (LET ((FOO (IF PVAR
051                             (CONVERT (IF\PRED FM)
052                                 (CNODIFY (CONS-CONTINUATION (VAR = PVAR)
053                                     (BODY = CIF)))
054                                 MP)
055                             CIF)))
056                             (IF CVAR
057                                 (CNODIFY
058                                     (CONS-COMBINATION
059                                         (ARGS = (LIST (CNODIFY
060                                             (CONS-CLAMBDA
061                                                 (VARS = (LIST CVAR))
062                                                 (BODY = FOO)))
063                                             CONT))))
064                                 FOO))))))

```

This is all done as follows. First CVAR and PVAR are bound to generated names if necessary, CVAR for binding the continuation and PVAR for binding the predicate value. Then ICONT and IPRED (the "I" is a mnemonic for "internal") are bound to the cnodes to be used for the two conversions of consequent and alternative, and for the predicate of the CIF, respectively. CIF is then bound to the cnode for the CIF code, including the conversions of consequent and alternative. Finally, using FOO as an intermediary, CONVERT-IF first conditionally arranges for conversion of a non-trivial predicate, and then conditionally arranges for the binding of a non-cvariable continuation. The result of all this is returned as the final conversion of the original IF node.

CONVERT-ASET is fairly straightforward, except that, as for IF nodes, a special case is made of trivial nodes, as determined by the TRIVP slot.

The CATCH construct may be viewed as the user's one interface between the "LAMBDA world" and the "continuation world". CONVERT-CATCH arranges its conversion in such a way as to eliminate CATCH entirely. Because CONTINUATION cnodes provide an explicit representation for the continuations involved, there is no need at this level to have an explicit CCATCH sort of cnode. The general idea is:

```

NODE = (CATCH a b) and CONT = k becomes

((CLAMBDA (q)
  ((CLAMBDA (a) (RETURN q b))
   (CLAMBDA (*IGNORE* V) (RETURN q V))))
 k)

```

In the case where the given continuation k is already a cvariable, then it need not be bound to a new one q. Note that the (renamed) user catch variable a is bound to a CLAMBDA which ignores its own continuation, and returns the argument V to the continuation of the CATCH. Thus the user variable a is bound not to an actual CONTINUATION, but to a little CLAMBDA which interfaces properly between the CLAMBDA world and the CONTINUATION world. The uses of CVAR and ICONT are analogous to their uses in CONVERT-IF.

```

001
002 (DEFINE CONVERT-ASET
003     (LAMBDA (NODE FM CONT MP)
004         (IF (NODE\TRIVP NODE)
005             (MAKE-RETURN (CONS-TRIVIAL (NODE = NODE)) CONT)
006             (CONVERT (ASET\BODY FM)
007                 (LET ((NM (NODE\NAME (ASET\BODY FM)))
008                     (CNODIFY
009                         (CONS-CONTINUATION
010                             (VAR = NM)
011                             (BODY = (CNODIFY
012                                 (CONS-CASET
013                                     (CONT = CONT)
014                                     (VAR = (ASET\VAR FM))
015                                     (BODY = (CNODIFY (CONS-CVARIABLE
016                                         (VAR = NM))))))))))
017             MP))))
018
019 ;;: ISSUES FOR CONVERTING CATCH:
020 ;;: (1) MUST BIND THE CATCH VARIABLE TO A FUNNY FUNCTION WHICH IGNORES ITS CONTINUATION:
021 ;;: (2) IF CONTINUATION IS NON-CVARIABLE, MUST BIND A VARIABLE TO IT.
022
023 (DEFINE
024     CONVERT-CATCH
025     (LAMBDA (NODE FM CONT MP)
026         (LET ((CVAR (IF (EQ (TYPE (CNODE\CFORM CONT)) 'CVARIABLE)
027             NIL
028             (GENTEMP 'CONT))))
029             (LET ((ICONT (IF CVAR
030                 (CNODIFY (CONS-CVARIABLE (VAR = CVAR))
031                     CONT)))
032                 (LET ((CP (CNODIFY
033                     (CONS-CCOMBINATION
034                         (ARGS = (LIST (CNODIFY
035                             (CONS-CLAMBDA
036                                 (VARS = (LIST (CATCH\VAR FM)))
037                                 (BODY = (CONVERT (CATCH\BODY FM) ICONT MP))))
038                             (CNODIFY
039                                 (CONS-CLAMBDA
040                                     (VARS = '(*IGNORE* V))
041                                     (BODY = (MAKE-RETURN
042                                         (CONS-CVARIABLE (VAR = 'V))
043                                         (CNODIFY
044                                             (CONS-CVARIABLE
045                                                 (VAR = (CVARIABLE\VAR
046                                                     (CNODE\CFORM ICONT))))))))))))))
047                     (IF CVAR (CNODIFY
048                         (CONS-CCOMBINATION
049                             (ARGS = (LIST (CNODIFY
050                                 (CONS-CLAMBDA (VARS = (LIST CVAR))
051                                     (BODY = CP)))
052                                 CONT))))
053                     CP))))))

```

CONVERT-LABELS simply converts all the labelled function definitions using NIL as the continuation for each. This reflects the fact that no code directly receives the results of closing the definitions; rather, they simply become part of the environment. The body of the LABELS is converted using the given continuation.

To make things much simpler for the pass-2 analysis and the code generator, it is forbidden to use ASET' on a LABELS-bound variable. This is an arbitrary restriction imposed by RABBIT (out of laziness on my part and a desire to concentrate on more important issues), and not one inherent in the SCHEME language. This restriction is unnoticeable in practice, since one seldom uses ASET' at all, let alone on a LABELS variable.

The conversion of COMBINATION nodes is the most complex of all cases. First, a trivial combination becomes simply a TRIVIAL cnode. Otherwise, the overall idea is that each argument is converted, and the continuation given to the conversion is the conversion of all the following arguments. The conversion of the last argument uses a continuation which performs the invocation of function on arguments, using all the bound variables of the generated continuations. The end result is a piece of code which evaluates one argument, binds a variable to the result, evaluates the next, etc., and finally uses the results to perform a function call.

To simplify the generated code, the arguments are divided into two classes. One class consists of trivial arguments and LAMBDA-expressions (this class is precisely the class of "trivially evaluable" expressions defined in [Imperative]), and the other class consists of the remaining arguments. The successive conversion using successive continuations as in the general theory is only performed on the latter class of arguments. The trivially evaluable expressions are included along with the bound variables for non-trivial argument values in the final function call. For example, one might have something like:

```

NODE = (FOO (CONS A B) (BAR A) B (BAZ B)) and CONT = k becomes
(RETURN (CONTINUATION (x)
                    (RETURN (CONTINUATION (y)
                                (FOO k (CONS A B) x B y))
                        (BAZ B)))
    (BAR A))

```

where FOO, (CONS A B), and B are trivial, but (BAR A) and (BAZ B) are not.



```
001
002   ::: ISSUES FOR CONVERTING LABELS:
003   ::: (1) MUST CONVERT ALL THE NAMED LAMBDA-EXPRESSIONS, USING A NULL CONTINUATION.
004   ::: (2) TO MAKE THINGS EASIER LATER, WE FORBID ASET ON A LABELS VARIABLE.
005
006 (DEFINE CONVERT-LABELS
007   (LAMBDA (NODE FM CONT MP)
008     (DO ((F (LABELS\FNDEFS FM) (CDR F))
009         (V (LABELS\FNVARs FM) (CDR V))
010         (CF NIL (CONS (CONVERT (CAR F) NIL MP) CF)))
011       ((NULL F)
012         (CNODIFY (CONS-CLABELS (FNVARs = (LABELS\FNVARs FM))
013                             (FNDEFS = (NREVERSE CF))
014                             (BODY = (CONVERT (LABELS\BODY FM) CONT MP))))))
015     (AND (GET (CAR V) 'WRITE-REFS)
016          (ERROR '|Are you crazy, using ASET on a LABELS variable?|
017                (CAR V)
018                'FAIL-ACT))))))
```

The separation into two classes is accomplished by the outer DO loop. DELAY-FLAGS is a list of flags describing whether the code can be "delayed" (not converted using strung-out continuations) because it is trivially evaluable. The inner DO loop of the three (which loops on variables A, D, and Z, not A, D, and F!) then constructs the final function call, using the "delayed" arguments and generated continuation variables. The names used for the variables are the names of the corresponding nodes, which were generated by NODIFY. Finally, the middle DO loop (which executes last because the "inner" DO loop occurs in the initialization, not the body, of the "middle" one) generates the strung-out continuations, converting the non-delayable arguments in reverse order, so as to generate the converted result from the inside out.

The net effect is that non-trivial arguments are evaluated from left-to-right, and trivial ones are also (as it happens, because of MacLISP semantics), but the two classes are intermixed. This is where RABBIT takes advantage of the SCHEME semantics which decree that arguments to a combination may be evaluated in any order. It is also why CHECK-COMBINATION-PEFFS tries to detect infractions of this rule.

A special trick is that if the given continuation is a variable, and the combination is of the form ((LAMBDA ...) ...), then it is arranged to use the given continuation as the continuation for converting the body of the LAMBDA, rather than the extra variable which is introduced for a continuation in the LAMBDA variables list (see CONVERT-LAMBDA-FM). This effectively constitutes the optimization of substituting one continuation variable for another, much as META-COMBINATION-LAMBDA may substitute one variable for another. (This turns out to be the only optimization of importance to be done on pass-2 cnode code; rather than building a full-blown optimizer for pass-2 cnode-trees, or arranging to make the optimizer usable on both kinds of data structures, it was easier to tweak the conversion of combinations.) The substitution is effected by passing a non-NIL CNAME argument to CONVERT-LAMBDA-FORM, as computed by the form (AND (NULL (CDR A)) ...).

```

001
002  ;;; ISSUES FOR CONVERTING COMBINATIONS:
003  ;;; (1) TRIVIAL ARGUMENT EVALUATIONS ARE DELAYED AND ARE NOT BOUND TO THE VARIABLE OF
004  ;;; A CONTINUATION. WE ASSUME THEREBY THAT THE COMPILER IS PERMITTED TO EVALUATE
005  ;;; OPERANDS IN ANY ORDER.
006  ;;; (2) ALL NON-DELAYABLE COMPUTATIONS ARE ASSIGNED NAMES AND STRUNG OUT WITH CONTINUATIONS.
007  ;;; (3) IF CONT IS A CVARIABLE AND THE COMBINATION IS ((LAMBDA ...) ...) THEN WHEN CONVERTING
008  ;;; THE LAMBDA-EXPRESSION WE ARRANGE FOR ITS BODY TO REFER TO THE CVARIABLE CONT RATHER
009  ;;; THAN TO ITS OWN CONTINUATION. THIS CROCK EFFECTIVELY PERFORMS THE OPTIMIZATION OF
010  ;;; SUBSTITUTING ONE VARIABLE FOR ANOTHER, ONLY ON CONTINUATION VARIABLES (WHICH COULDN'T
011  ;;; BE CAUGHT BY META-EVALUATE).
012
013 (DEFINE
014   CONVERT-COMBINATION
015   (LAMBDA (NODE FM CONT MP)
016     (IF (NODE\TRIVP NODE)
017         (MAKE-RETURN (CONS-TRIVIAL (NODE = NODE)) CONT)
018         (DO ((A (COMBINATION\ARGS FM) (CDR A))
019             (DELAY-FLAGS NIL
020               (CONS (OR (NODE\TRIVP (CAR A))
021                       (EQ (TYPE (NODE\FORM (CAR A))) 'LAMBDA))
022                     DELAY-FLAGS)))
023           ((NULL A)
024             (DO ((A (REVERSE (COMBINATION\ARGS FM)) (CDR A))
025                 (D DELAY-FLAGS (CDR D))
026                 (F (CNODIFY
027                     (CONS-COMBINATION
028                       (ARGS = (DO ((A (REVERSE (COMBINATION\ARGS FM)) (CDR A))
029                                   (D DELAY-FLAGS (CDR D))
030                                   (Z NIL (CONS (IF (CAR D)
031                                                 (IF (EQ (TYPE (NODE\FORM (CAR A)))
032                                                       'LAMBDA)
033                                                     (CNODIFY
034                                                       (CONVERT-LAMBDA-FM
035                                                         (CAR A)
036                                                         (AND (NULL (CDR A))
037                                                             (EQ (TYPE
038                                                                 (CNODE\CFORM CONT))
039                                                                 'CVARIABLE)
040                                                             (CVARIABLE\VAR
041                                                                 (CNODE\CFORM CONT)))
042                                                         MP)))
043                                                       (CNODIFY
044                                                         (CONS-TRIVIAL
045                                                           (NODE = (CAR A))))))
046                                                         (CNODIFY
047                                                           (CONS-CVARIABLE
048                                                           (VAR = (NODE\NAME (CAR A))))))
049                                                         Z)))
050             ((NULL A) (CONS (CAR Z) (CONS CONT (CDR Z)))))))
051     (IF (CAR D) F
052         (CONVERT (CAR A)
053                 (CNODIFY (CONS-CONTINUATION
054                           (VAR = (NODE\NAME (CAR A)))
055                           (BODY = F)))
056                 MP))))
057   ((NULL A) F))))))

```

Once the pass-2 cnode-tree is constructed, a pass-2 analysis is performed in a manner very similar to the pass-1 analysis. As before, successive routines are called which recursively process the code tree and pass information up and down, filling in various slots and putting properties on the property lists of variable names.

The first routine, CENV-ANALYZE, is similar to ENV-ANALYZE, but differs in some important respects. Two slots are filled in for each cnode. The slot ENV is computed from the top down, while REFS is computed from the bottom up.

ENV is the environment, a list of bound variables visible to the cnode. The ENV slot in the node-tree was a mapping (an alist), but this ENV is only a list. The argument ENV is used in the analysis of CVARIABLE and CASET nodes. The cnode slot ENV is included only for debugging purposes, and is never used by RABBIT itself.

REFS is analogous to the REFS slot of a node-tree: it is the set of variables bound above and referenced below the cnode. It differs from the pass-1 analysis in that variables introduced to name continuations and variables bound by continuations are also accounted for. In the case of a TRIVIAL cnode, however, the REFS are precisely those of the contained node.

The argument FNP to CENV-ANALYZE is non-NIL iff the given cnode occurs in "functional position" of a CCOMBINATION or RETURN cnode. This is used when a variable is encountered; on the property list a VARIABLE-REFP property is placed iff FNP is NIL, indicating that the variable was referenced in "variable (non-function) position". This information will be used by the next phase, BIND-ANALYZE.

```

001
002 ::: ENVIRONMENT ANALYSIS FOR CPS VERSION
003
004 ::: WE WISH TO DETERMINE THE ENVIRONMENT AT EACH CNODE,
005 ::: AND DETERMINE WHAT VARIABLES ARE BOUND ABOVE AND
006 ::: REFERRED TO BELOW EACH CNODE.
007
008 ::: FOR EACH CNODE WE FILL IN THESE SLOTS:
009 :::   ENV      THE ENVIRONMENT SEEN AT THAT CNODE (A LIST OF VARS)
010 :::   REFS    VARIABLES BOUND ABOVE AND REFERRED TO BELOW THAT CNODE
011 ::: FOR EACH VARIABLE REFERRED TO IN NON-FUNCTION POSITION
012 ::: BY A CVARIABLE OR CTRIVIAL CNODE WE GIVE A NON-NIL VALUE TO THE PROPERTY:
013 :::   VARIABLE-REFP
014
015 ::: FNP IS NON-NIL IFF CNODE OCCURS IN FUNCTIONAL POSITION
016
017 (DEFINE
018   CENV-ANALYZE
019   (LAMBDA (CNODE ENV FNP)
020     (LET ((CFM (CNODE\CFORM CNODE)))
021       (ALTER-CNODE CNODE (ENV := ENV))
022       (EQCASE (TYPE CFM)
023         (TRIVIAL
024          (CENV-TRIV-ANALYZE (TRIVIAL\NODE CFM) FNP)
025          (ALTER-CNODE CNODE
026            (REFS := (NODE\REFS (TRIVIAL\NODE CFM))))))
027         (CVARIABLE
028          (LET ((V (CVARIABLE\VAR CFM)))
029            (ADDPROP V CNODE 'READ-REFS)
030            (OR FNP (PUTPROP V T 'VARIABLE-REFP))
031            (ALTER-CNODE CNODE
032              (REFS := (AND (MEMQ V ENV)
033                (LIST (CVARIABLE\VAR CFM)))))))
034         (CLAMBDA
035          (LET ((B (CLAMBDA\BODY CFM)))
036            (CENV-ANALYZE B (APPEND (CLAMBDA\VARS CFM) ENV) NIL)
037            (LET ((REFS (SETDIFF (CNODE\REFS B) (CLAMBDA\VARS CFM))))
038              (ALTER-CNODE CNODE (REFS := REFS))))))
039         (CONTINUATION
040          (LET ((B (CONTINUATION\BODY CFM)))
041            (CENV-ANALYZE B (CONS (CONTINUATION\VAR CFM) ENV) NIL)
042            (LET ((REFS (REMOVE (CONTINUATION\VAR CFM) (CNODE\REFS B))))
043              (ALTER-CNODE CNODE (REFS := REFS))))))
044         (CIF
045          (LET ((PRED (CIF\PRED CFM))
046                (CON (CIF\CON CFM))
047                (ALT (CIF\ALT CFM)))
048            (CENV-ANALYZE PRED ENV NIL)
049            (CENV-ANALYZE CON ENV NIL)
050            (CENV-ANALYZE ALT ENV NIL)
051            (ALTER-CNODE CNODE
052              (REFS := (UNION (CNODE\REFS PRED)
053                (UNION (CNODE\REFS CON)
054                  (CNODE\REFS ALT))))))
053
054         (CASET
055          (LET ((V (CASET\VAR CFM))
056                (CN (CASET\CONT CFM))
057                (B (CASET\BODY CFM)))
058            (PUTPROP (CASET\VAR CFM) T 'VARIABLE-REFP)
059            (CENV-ANALYZE CN ENV T)
060            (CENV-ANALYZE B ENV NIL)
061            (ALTER-CNODE CNODE
062              (REFS := (LET ((R (UNION (CNODE\REFS CN)
063                (CNODE\REFS B))))
064                (IF (MEMQ V ENV) (ADJOIN V R) R))))))
063
064         (CLABELS
065          (LET ((LENV (APPEND (CLABELS\FNVAR VARS CFM) ENV))
066                (DO ((F (CLABELS\FNDEFS CFM) (CDR F))
067                  (R NIL (UNION R (CNODE\REFS (CAR F))))))
068            (R NIL (UNION R (CNODE\REFS (CAR F))))))
069

```

This page intentionally left blank  
except for  
an annoying and self-referential little sentence.

```

070      ((NULL F)
071      (LET ((B (CLABELS\BODY CFM)))
072      (CENV-ANALYZE B LENV NIL)
073      (ALTER-CNODE CNODE
074      (REFS := (SETDIFF (UNION R (CNODE\REFS B))
075      (CLABELS\FNVAR S CFM))))))
076      (CENV-ANALYZE (CAR F) LENV NIL))))
077  (CCOMBINATION
078  (LET ((ARGS (CCOMBINATION\ARGS CFM)))
079  (CENV-ANALYZE (CAR ARGS) ENV T)
080  (COND ((AND (EQ (TYPE (CNODE\CFORM (CAR ARGS))) 'TRIVIAL)
081  (EQ (TYPE (NODE\FORM (TRIVIAL\NODE
082  (CNODE\CFORM (CAR ARGS))))))
083  'VARIABLE)
084  (TRIVFN (VARIABLE\VAR
085  (NODE\FORM
086  (TRIVIAL\NODE
087  (CNODE\CFORM
088  (CAR ARGS)))))))
089  (CENV-ANALYZE (CADR ARGS) ENV T)
090  (CENV-CCOMBINATION-ANALYZE CNODE
091  ENV
092  (CDDR ARGS)
093  (UNION (CNODE\REFS (CAR ARGS))
094  (CNODE\REFS (CADR ARGS))))))
095  (T (CENV-CCOMBINATION-ANALYZE CNODE
096  ENV
097  (CDR ARGS)
098  (CNODE\REFS (CAR ARGS))))))
099  (RETURN
100  (LET ((C (RETURN\CONT CFM))
101  (V (RETURN\VAL CFM)))
102  (CENV-ANALYZE C ENV T)
103  (CENV-ANALYZE V ENV NIL)
104  (ALTER-CNODE CNODE
105  (REFS := (UNION (CNODE\REFS C) (CNODE\REFS V)))))))))

```

The only purpose of CENV-TRIV-ANALYZE is to go through the code for a TRIVIAL cnode, looking for variables occurring in other than function position, in order to put appropriate VARIABLE-REFP properties. Notice that the types LAMBDA and LABELS do not occur in the EQCASE expression, as nodes of those types can never occur in trivial expressions.

CENV-CCOMBINATION-ANALYZE is a simple routine which analyzes CCOMBINATION cnodes; it is a separate routine only because it is used in more than one place in CENV-ANALYZE. It could have been made a local subroutine by using a LABELS in CENV-ANALYZE, but I elected not to do so for purely typographical reasons.



```

001
002 ::: THIS FUNCTION MUST GO THROUGH AND LOCATE VARIABLES APPEARING IN NON-FUNCTION POSITION.
003
004 (DEFINE CENV-TRIV-ANALYZE
005   (LAMBDA (NODE FNP)
006     (LET ((FM (NODE\FORM NODE)))
007       (EQCASE (TYPE FM)
008         (CONSTANT NIL)
009         (VARIABLE
010          (OR FNP (PUTPROP (VARIABLE\VAR FM) T 'VARIABLE-REFP)))
011         (LAMBDA
012          (OR FNP
013           (ERROR '|Trivial closure - CENV-TRIV-ANALYZE| NODE 'FAIL-ACT))
014         (CENV-TRIV-ANALYZE (LAMBDA\BODY FM) NIL))
015       (IF
016        (CENV-TRIV-ANALYZE (IF\PRED FM) NIL)
017        (CENV-TRIV-ANALYZE (IF\CON FM) NIL)
018        (CENV-TRIV-ANALYZE (IF\ALT FM) NIL))
019       (ASET
020        (PUTPROP (ASET\VAR FM) T 'VARIABLE-REFP)
021        (CENV-TRIV-ANALYZE (ASET\BODY FM) NIL))
022       (COMBINATION
023        (DO ((A (COMBINATION\ARGS FM) (CDR A))
024            (F T NIL))
025            ((NULL A)
026             (CENV-TRIV-ANALYZE (CAR A) F))))))
027
028 (DEFINE CENV-COMBINATION-ANALYZE
029   (LAMBDA (CNODE ENV ARGS FREFS)
030     (DO ((A ARGS (CDR A))
031         (R FREFS (UNION R (CNODE\REFS (CAR A)))))
032         ((NULL A)
033          (ALTER-CNODE CNODE (REFS := R)))
034         (CENV-ANALYZE (CAR A) ENV NIL)))

```

The binding analysis is the most complicated phase of pass 2. It determines for each function whether or not a closure structure will be needed for it at run time (and if so, whether the closure structure must contain a pointer to the code); it determines for each variable whether or not it can be referred to by a run-time closure structure; and it determines for each function how arguments will be passed to it (because for internal functions not apparent to the "outside world", any arbitrary argument-passing convention may be adopted by the compiler to optimize register usage; in particular, arguments which are never referred to need never even be actually passed). If flow analysis determines that a given variable always denotes (a closure of) a given functional (CLAMBDA) expression, then a KNOWN-FUNCTION property is created to connect the variable directly to the function for the benefit of the code generator.

BIND-ANALYZE is just a simple dispatch to one of many specialists, one for each type of CNODE. TRIVIAL and CVARIABLE cnodes are handled directly because they are simple.

The argument FNP is NIL, EZCLOSE, or NOCLOSE, depending respectively on whether a full closure structure, a closure structure without a code pointer, or no closure structure will be needed if in fact CNODE turns out to be of type CLAMBDA (or CONTINUATION). Normally it is NIL, unless determined otherwise by a parent CLABELS or CCOMBINATION cnode.

The argument NAME is meaningful only if the CNODE argument is of type CLAMBDA or CONTINUATION. If non-NIL, it is a suggested name to use for the cnode. This name will later be used by the code generator as a tag. The only reason for using the suggestion rather than a generated name (and in fact one will be generated if the suggested name is NIL) is to make it easier to trace things while debugging.

REFD-VARS is a utility routine. Given a set of variables, it returns the subset of them that are actually referenced (as determined by the READ-REFS and WRITE-REFS properties which were set up by ENV-ANALYZE and CENV-ANALYZE).

```

001
002 ::: BINDING ANALYSIS.
003
004 ::: FOR EACH CNODE WE FILL IN:
005 :::   CLOVARS           THE SET OF VARIABLES REFERRED TO BY CLOSURES
006 :::                   AT OR BELOW THIS NODE (SHOULD ALWAYS BE A
007 :::                   SUBSET OF REFS)
008 ::: FOR EACH CLAMBDA AND CONTINUATION WE FILL IN:
009 :::   FNP             NON-NIL IFF REFERENCED ONLY AS A FUNCTION.
010 :::                   WILL BE 'EZCLOSE IF REFERRED TO BY A CLOSURE,
011 :::                   AND OTHERWISE 'NOCLOSE.
012 :::   TVARS          VARIABLES PASSED THROUGH TEMP LOCATIONS WHEN CALLING
013 :::                   THIS FUNCTION
014 :::   NAME            THE NAME OF THE FUNCTION (USED FOR THE PROG TAG)
015 ::: FOR EACH CLABELS WE FILL IN:
016 :::   EASY            REFLECTS FNP STATUS OF ALL THE LABELLED FUNCTIONS
017 ::: FOR EACH VARIABLE WHICH ALWAYS DENOTES A CERTAIN FUNCTION WE
018 ::: PUT THE PROPERTIES:
019 :::   KNOWN-FUNCTION   IFF THE VARIABLE IS NEVER ASET
020 ::: THE VALUE OF THE KNOWN-FUNCTION PROPERTY IS THE CNODE FOR
021 ::: THE FUNCTION DEFINITION.
022 ::: FOR EACH LABELS VARIABLE IN A LABELS OF THE 'EZCLOSE VARIETY
023 ::: WE PUT THE PROPERTY:
024 :::   LABELS-FUNCTION
025 ::: TO INDICATE THAT ITS "EASY" CLOSURE MUST BE CDR'D TO GET THE
026 ::: CORRECT ENVIRONMENT (SEE PRODUCE-LABELS).
027
028 ::: NAME, IF NON-NIL, IS A SUGGESTED NAME FOR THE FUNCTION
029
030 (DEFINE BIND-ANALYZE
031   (LAMBDA (CNODE FNP NAME)
032     (LET ((CFM (CNODE\CFORM CNODE)))
033       (EQCASE (TYPE CFM)
034         (TRIVIAL
035          (ALTER-CNODE CNODE (CLOVARS := NIL)))
036         (CVARIABLE
037          (ALTER-CNODE CNODE (CLOVARS := NIL)))
038         (CLAMBDA
039          (BIND-ANALYZE-CLAMBDA CNODE FNP NAME CFM))
040         (CONTINUATION
041          (BIND-ANALYZE-CONTINUATION CNODE FNP NAME CFM))
042         (CIF
043          (BIND-ANALYZE-CIF CNODE CFM))
044         (CASET
045          (BIND-ANALYZE-CASET CNODE CFM))
046         (CLABELS
047          (BIND-ANALYZE-CLABELS CNODE CFM))
048         (CCOMBINATION
049          (BIND-ANALYZE-CCOMBINATION CNODE CFM))
050         (RETURN
051          (BIND-ANALYZE-RETURN CNODE CFM))))))
052
053 (DEFINE REFD-VARS
054   (LAMBDA (VARS)
055     (DO ((V VARS (CDR V))
056         (W NIL (IF (OR (GET (CAR V) 'READ-REFS)
057                       (GET (CAR V) 'WRITE-REFS))
058                   (CONS (CAR V) W)
059                   W)))
060       ((NULL V) (NREVERSE W))))

```

For a CLAMBDA cnode, BIND-ANALYZE-CLAMBDA first analyzes the body. The CLOVARS component of the cnode is then calculated. If the CLAMBDA will have a run-time closure structure created for it, then any variable it references is obviously referred to by a closure. Otherwise, only the CLOVARS of its body are included in the set.

The TVARS component is the set of parameters for which arguments will be passed in a non-standard manner. Non-standard argument-passing is used only for NOCLOSE-type functions (though in principle it could also be used for EZCLOSE-type functions also). In this case, only referenced variables (as determined by REFD-VARS) are actually passed. The code generator uses TVARS for two purposes: when compiling the CLAMBDA itself, TVARS is used to determine which arguments are in which registers; and when compiling calls to the function, TVARS determines which registers to load (see LAMBDA-CATE).

The FNP slot is just filled in using the FNP parameter. If a name was not suggested for the NAME slot, an arbitrary name is generated.

BIND-ANALYZE-CONTINUATION is entirely analogous to BIND-ANALYZE-CLAMBDA.

BIND-ANALYZE-CIF straightforwardly analyzes recursively its sub-cnodes, and then passes the union of their CLOVARS up as its own CLOVARS.

BIND-ANALYZE-CASET tries to be a little bit clever about the obscure case produced by code such as:

```
(ASET' FOO (LAMBDA ...))
```

where the continuation is a CONTINUATION cnode (rather than a CVARIABLE). It is then known that the variable bound by the CONTINUATION (not the variable set by the CASET!!) will have as its value the (closure of the) CLAMBDA-expression. This allows for the creation of a KNOWN-FUNCTION property, etc. This analysis is very similar to that performed by BIND-ANALYZE-RETURN (see below). Aside from this, the analysis of a CASET is simple; the CLOVARS component is merely the union of the CLOVAR slots of the sub-cnodes.



The binding analysis of a CLABELS is very tricky because of the possibility of mutually referent functions. For example, suppose a single CLABELS binds two CLAMBDA expressions with names FOO and BAR. Suppose that the body of FOO refers to BAR, and that of BAR to FOO. Should FOO and BAR be of FNP-type NIL, EZCLOSE, or NOCLOSE? If either is of type EZCLOSE, then the other must be also; but the decision cannot be made sequentially. It is even more complicated if one must be of type NIL.

An approximate solution is used here, to prevent having to solve complicated simultaneous constraints. It is arbitrarily decreed that all functions of a single CLABELS shall all have the same FNP type. If any one must be of type NIL, then they all are. Otherwise, it is tentatively assumed that they all may be of type NOCLOSE. If this assumption is disproved, then the analysis is retroactively patched up.

The outer DO loop of BIND-ANALYZE-CLABELS creates KNOWN-FUNCTION properties, and determines (in the variable EZ) whether any of the labelled functions needs a full closure structure. (This can be done before analyzing the functions, because it is determined entirely by the VARIABLE-REFP properties created in the previous phase.) The inner DO loop then analyzes the functions. When this is done, if EZ is NOCLOSE, and it turns out that it should have been EZCLOSE after all, then the third DO loop forcibly patches the CLAMBDA cnodes for the labelled functions, and the AMAPC form creates LABELS-FUNCTION properties as a flag for the code generator.

BIND-ANALYZE-RETURN simply analyzes the continuation and return value recursively, and then merges to two CLOVARS sets to produce its own CLOVARS set. A special case is when the two sub-cnodes are respectively a CONTINUATION and a CLAMBDA; then special work is done because it is known that the variable bound by the CONTINUATION will always denote the (closure of the) CLAMBDA-expression. A nasty trick is that if it turns out that the CLAMBDA can be of type NOCLOSE, then the TVARS slot of the CONTINUATION is forcibly set to NIL (i.e. the empty set). This is because no argument will really be passed. (This fact is also known by the LAMBDATE routine in the code generator.)

```

001
002 (DEFINE BIND-ANALYZE-CLABELS
003 (LAMBDA (CNODE CFM)
004 (BLOCK (BIND-ANALYZE (CLABELS\BODY CFM) NIL NIL)
005 (DO ((V (CLABELS\FNVARs CFM) (CDR V))
006 (D (CLABELS\FNDEFs CFM) (CDR D))
007 (EZ 'NOCLOSE (AND (NULL (GET (CAR V) 'VARIABLE-REFP)) EZ)))
008 ((NULL V)
009 (ALTER-CLABELS CFM (EASY := EZ))
010 (DO ((V (CLABELS\FNVARs CFM) (CDR V))
011 (D (CLABELS\FNDEFs CFM) (CDR D))
012 (CV (CNODE\CLOVARs (CLABELS\BODY CFM))
013 (UNION CV (CNODE\CLOVARs (CAR D))))))
014 ((NULL D)
015 (ALTER-CNODE CNODE (CLOVARs := CV))
016 (COND ((AND EZ (INTERSECT CV (LABELS\FNVARs CFM)))
017 (DO ((D (CLABELS\FNDEFs CFM) (CDR D))
018 (CV (CNODE\CLOVARs (CLABELS\BODY CFM))
019 (UNION CV (CNODE\CLOVARs (CAR D))))))
020 ((NULL D)
021 (ALTER-CNODE CNODE (CLOVARs := CV)))
022 (ALTER-CLAMBDA (CNODE\CFORM (CAR D))
023 (FNP := 'EZCLOSE)
024 (TVARs := NIL))
025 (ALTER-CNODE (CAR D)
026 (CLOVARs := (CNODE\REFs (CAR D))))))
027 (AMAPC (LAMBDA (V) (PUTPROP V T 'LABELS-FUNCTION))
028 (CLABELS\FNVARs CFM))
029 (ALTER-CLABELS CFM (EASY := 'EZCLOSE))))
030 (BIND-ANALYZE (CAR D) EZ (CAR V)))
031 (PUTPROP (CAR V) (CAR D) 'KNOWN-FUNCTION))))
032
033 (DEFINE BIND-ANALYZE-RETURN
034 (LAMBDA (CNODE CFM)
035 (LET ((CN (RETURN\CONT CFM))
036 (VAL (RETURN\VAL CFM)))
037 (BIND-ANALYZE CN 'NOCLOSE NIL)
038 (COND ((AND (EQ (TYPE (CNODE\CFORM CN)) 'CONTINUATION)
039 (EQ (TYPE (CNODE\CFORM VAL)) 'CLAMBDA))
040 (LET ((VAR (CONTINUATION\VAR (CNODE\CFORM CN)))
041 (PUTPROP VAR VAL 'KNOWN-FUNCTION)
042 (BIND-ANALYZE VAL
043 (AND (NOT (GET VAR 'VARIABLE-REFP))
044 (IF (MEMQ VAR
045 (CNODE\CLOVARs
046 (CONTINUATION\BODY
047 (CNODE\CFORM CN))))
048 'EZCLOSE
049 (BLOCK (ALTER-CONTINUATION (CNODE\CFORM CN)
050 (TVARs := NIL))
051 'NOCLOSE)))
052 NIL)))
053 (T (BIND-ANALYZE VAL NIL NIL)))
054 (ALTER-CNODE CNODE
055 (CLOVARs := (UNION (CNODE\CLOVARs CN)
056 (CNODE\CLOVARs VAL))))))

```

BIND-ANALYZE-CCOMBINATION first analyzes the function position of the combination. It then distinguishes three cases: a trivial function, a CLAMBDA-expression function, and all others.

In the case of a trivial function, the continuation (which is the second item in ARGS) can be analyzed with FNP = NOCLOSE, because the compilation will essentially turn into "calculate all other arguments, apply the trivial function, and then give the result to the continuation". A CCOMBINATION which looks like:

```
(a-trivial-function (CONTINUATION (var) ...) arg1 ... argn)
```

is compiled almost as if it were:

```
((CONTINUATION (var) ...) (a-trivial-function arg1 ... argn))
```

and of course the continuation can be treated as of type NOCLOSE.

In the case of a CLAMBDA-expression, the arguments are all analyzed, and then the AMAPC expression goes back over the TVARS list of the CLAMBDA, and removes from the TVARS set each variable corresponding to an argument which the analysis has proved to be a NOCLOSE-type KNOWN-FUNCTION. This is because no actual argument will be passed at run time for such a function, and so there is no need to allocate a register through which to pass that argument.

In the third case, the arguments are analyzed straightforwardly by BIND-CCOMBINATION-ANALYZE.

BIND-CCOMBINATION-ANALYZE does the dirty work of analyzing arguments of a CCOMBINATION and updating the CLOVARS slot of the CCOMBINATION cnode. If VARS is non-NIL, then it is the variables of the CLAMBDA-expression which was in the function position of the CCOMBINATION. As the arguments are analyzed, KNOWN-FUNCTION properties are put on the variables as appropriate, and the correct value of FNP is determined for the recursive call to BIND-ANALYZE. If VARS is NIL, then this code depends on the fact that (CDR NIL)=NIL in MacLISP.



```

001
002 (DEFINE BIND-ANALYZE-CCOMBINATION
003   (LAMBDA (CNODE CFM)
004     (LET ((ARGS (CCOMBINATION\ARGS CFM)))
005       (BIND-ANALYZE (CAR ARGS) 'NOCLOSE NIL)
006       (LET ((FN (CNODE\CFORM (CAR ARGS))))
007         (COND ((AND (EQ (TYPE FN) 'TRIVIAL)
008                  (EQ (TYPE (NODE\FORM (TRIVIAL\NODE FN)))
009                      'VARIABLE)
010                  (TRIVFN (VARIABLE\VAR (NODE\FORM (TRIVIAL\NODE FN))))))
011          (BIND-ANALYZE (CADR ARGS) 'NOCLOSE NIL)
012          (BIND-CCOMBINATION-ANALYZE CNODE
013            (CDDR ARGS)
014            NIL
015            (CNODE\CLOVARS (CADR ARGS))))
016          ((EQ (TYPE FN) 'CLAMBDA)
017            (BIND-CCOMBINATION-ANALYZE CNODE
018              (CDR ARGS)
019              (CLAMBDA\VARS FN)
020              (CNODE\CLOVARS (CAR ARGS)))
021            (AMAPC (LAMBDA (V)
022                  (IF (LET ((KFN (GET V 'KNOWN-FUNCTION)))
023                      (AND KFN
024                          (EQ (EQCASE (TYPE (CNODE\CFORM KFN))
025                                  (CLAMBDA
026                                    (CLAMBDA\FNP
027                                      (CNODE\CFORM KFN)))
028                                    (CONTINUATION
029                                      (CONTINUATION\FNP
030                                      (CNODE\CFORM KFN))))))
031                              'NOCLOSE)))
032                  (ALTER-CLAMBDA
033                    FN
034                    (TVARS := (DELQ V (CLAMBDA\TVARS FN))))))
035            (CLAMBDA\TVARS FN)))
036          (T (BIND-CCOMBINATION-ANALYZE CNODE
037            (CDR ARGS)
038            NIL
039            (CNODE\CLOVARS (CAR ARGS))))))))))
040
041 ::: VARS MAY BE NIL - WE DEPEND ON (CDR NIL)=NIL.
042
043 (DEFINE BIND-CCOMBINATION-ANALYZE
044   (LAMBDA (CNODE ARGS VARS FCV)
045     (DO ((A ARGS (CDR A))
046         (V VARS (CDR V))
047         (CV FCV (UNION CV (CNODE\CLOVARS (CAR A))))
048         ((NULL A)
049          (ALTER-CNODE CNODE (CLOVARS := CV)))
050         (COND ((AND VARS
051                  (MEMQ (TYPE (CNODE\CFORM (CAR A))) '(CLAMBDA CONTINUATION))
052                  (NOT (GET (CAR V) 'WRITE-REFS)))
053                (PUTPROP (CAR V) (CAR A) 'KNOWN-FUNCTION)
054                (BIND-ANALYZE (CAR A)
055                  (AND (NOT (GET (CAR V) 'VARIABLE-REFP))
056                      (IF (MEMQ (CAR V) FCV)
057                          'EZCLOSE
058                          'NOCLOSE))
059                  NIL))
060                (T (BIND-ANALYZE (CAR A) NIL NIL))))))

```

DEPTH-ANALYZE allocates registers through which to pass arguments to NOCLOSE functions, i.e. for arguments corresponding to elements of TVARS sets. An unclever stack discipline is used for allocating registers. Each function is assigned a "depth", which is zero for a function whose FNP is NIL or EZCLOSE (such functions take their arguments in the standard registers **\*\*ONE\*\*** through **\*\*EIGHT\*\***, assuming that **\*\*NUMBER-OF-ARG-REGS\*\*** is 8, as it is in the current SCHEME implementation). For a NOCLOSE function the depth is essentially the depth of the function in whose body the NOCLOSE function appears, plus the number of TVARS belonging to that other function (if it is of type NOCLOSE) or the number of standard argument registers used by it (if it is NIL or EZCLOSE). For example, consider this code:

```
(CLAMBDA (C X Y)
  ((CLAMBDA (K F Z)
    ((CLAMBDA (Q W V)
      ...)
     CONT-57 '3 '4))
   (CONTINUATION (V) ...)
  (CLAMBDA (H) ...)
  'FOO))
```

Suppose that the outer CLAMBDA is of type EZCLOSE for some reason. Its depth is 0. The two CLAMBDA-expressions and CONTINUATION immediately within it have depth 3 (assuming the CONTINUATION and second CLAMBDA are of type NOCLOSE -- the first CLAMBDA definitely is). The innermost CLAMBDA is then of depth 4 (for Z, which will be in TVARS -- K and F will not be because they are names for NOCLOSE functions, assuming K and F have no WRITE-REFS properties).

To each function is also attached a MAXDEP value, which is in effect the number of registers used by that function, including all NOCLOSE functions within it. This is used in only one place in the code generator, to generate a SPECIAL declaration for the benefit of the MacLISP compiler, which compiles the output of RABBIT. For most constructs this is simply the numerical maximum over the depths of all sub-cnodes. Toward this end the maximum depth of the cnode is returned as the value of DEPTH-ANALYZE.

```

001
002  ;;; DEPTH ANALYSIS FOR CPS VERSION.
003
004  ;;; FOR EACH CLAMBDA AND CONTINUATION WE FILL IN:
005  ;;;   DEP           DEPTH OF TEMP VAR USAGE AT THIS POINT
006  ;;;   MAXDEP       MAX DEPTH BELOW THIS POINT
007
008  ;;; VALUE OF DEPTH-ANALYZE IS THE MAX DEPTH
009
010 (DEFINE DEPTH-ANALYZE
011   (LAMBDA (CNODE DEP)
012     (LET ((CFM (CNODE\CFORM CNODE)))
013       (EQCASE (TYPE CFM)
014         (TRIVIAL DEP)
015         (CVARIABLE DEP)
016         (CLAMBDA
017           (LET ((MD (DEPTH-ANALYZE (CLAMBDA\BODY CFM)
018                                 (IF (EQ (CLAMBDA\FNP CFM) 'NOCLOSE)
019                                     (+ DEP (LENGTH (CLAMBDA\TVARS CFM)))
020                                     (MIN (LENGTH (CLAMBDA\VARS CFM))
021                                         (+ 1 **NUMBER-OF-ARG-REGS**))))))
022             (ALTER-CLAMBDA
023               CFM
024               (DEP := (IF (EQ (CLAMBDA\FNP CFM) 'NOCLOSE) DEP 0))
025               (MAXDEP := MD))
026             MD))
027         (CONTINUATION
028           (LET ((MD (DEPTH-ANALYZE
029                   (CONTINUATION\BODY CFM)
030                   (IF (EQ (CONTINUATION\FNP CFM) 'NOCLOSE)
031                       (+ DEP (LENGTH (CONTINUATION\TVARS CFM)))
032                       2))))
033             (ALTER-CONTINUATION
034               CFM
035               (DEP := (IF (EQ (CONTINUATION\FNP CFM) 'NOCLOSE) DEP 0))
036               (MAXDEP := MD))
037             MD))
038         (CIF
039           (MAX (DEPTH-ANALYZE (CIF\PRED CFM) DEP)
040             (DEPTH-ANALYZE (CIF\CON CFM) DEP)
041             (DEPTH-ANALYZE (CIF\ALT CFM) DEP)))
042         (CASET
043           (MAX (DEPTH-ANALYZE (CASET\CONT CFM) DEP)
044             (DEPTH-ANALYZE (CASET\BODY CFM) DEP)))
045         (CLABELS
046           (LET ((DP (IF (EQ (CLABELS\EASY CFM) 'NOCLOSE)
047                       DEP
048                       (+ DEP (LENGTH (CLABELS\FNVARs CFM))))))
049             (DO ((D (CLABELS\FNDEFS CFM) (CDR D))
050                 (MD (DEPTH-ANALYZE (CLABELS\BODY CFM) DP)
051                     (MAX MD (DEPTH-ANALYZE (CAR D) DP))))
052               ((NULL D) MD))))
053         (CCOMBINATION
054           (DO ((A (CCOMBINATION\ARGS CFM) (CDR A))
055               (MD 0 (MAX MD (DEPTH-ANALYZE (CAR A) DEP))))
056             ((NULL A) MD)))
057         (RETURN
058           (MAX (DEPTH-ANALYZE (RETURN\CONT CFM) DEP)
059             (DEPTH-ANALYZE (RETURN\VAL CFM) DEP))))))

```

Just as DEPTH-ANALYZE assigns locations in registers ("stack locations") for variables, so CLOSE-ANALYZE assigns locations in consed ("heap-allocated") environment structures for variables. The general idea is that if the value of an accessible variable is not in a register, then it is in the structure which is in the register \*\*ENV\*\*. This structure can in principle be any structure whatsoever, according to the whim of the compiler. RABBIT's whim is to be very unclever; the structure of \*\*ENV\*\* is always a simple list of variable values. Thus a variable in the \*\*ENV\*\* structure is always accessed by a series of CDR operations and then one CAR operation.

(More clever would be to maintain the environment as a chained list of vectors, each vector representing a non-null contour. Then a variable could be accessed by a series of "CDR" operations equal to the number of contours (rather than the number of variables) between the binding and the reference, followed by a single indexing operation into the contour-vector. The number of "CDR" operations could be reduced by having a kind of "cache" for the results of such contour operations; such a cache would in fact be equivalent to the "display" used in many Algol implementations. If such a display were maintained, a variable could be accessed simply by a two-level indexing operation.)

Within the compiler an environment structure is also represented as a simple list, with the name of a variable occupying the position which its value will occupy in the run-time environment.

For every CLAMBDA, CONTINUATION, and CLABELS, a slot called CONSENV is filled in, which is a list representing what the environment structure will look like when the closure(s) for that construct are to be constructed, if any. This is done by walking over the cnode-tree and doing to the environment representation precisely what will be done to the real environment at run time.

There is a problem with the possibility that a variable may initially be in a register (because it was passed as an argument, for example), but must be transferred to a consed environment structure because the variable is referred to by the code of a closure to be constructed. There are two cases: either the variable has no WRITE-REFS property, or it does.

If it does not, then there is no problem with the value of the variable being in two or more places, so it is simply copied and consed into the environment as necessary. The CLOSEREFS slot of a function is a list of such variables which must be added to the consed environment before constructing the closure.

If the variable does have WRITE-REFS, then the value of the variable must have a single "home", to prevent inconsistencies when it is altered. (This is far easier than arranging for every ASET' operation to update all extant copies of a variable's value.) It is arranged that such variables, if they are referred to be closures (are in the CLOVARS set of the CLAMBDA which binds them) will exist only in the consed environment. Thus for each CLAMBDA the ASETVARS set is that subset of the lambda variables which have WRITE-REFS and are in the CLOVARS set. Before the body of the CLAMBDA is executed, a piece of code inserted by the code generator will transfer the variables from their registers immediately into the consed environment, and the values in the registers are thereafter never referred to.

```

001
002   ::: CLOSURE ANALYSIS FOR CPS VERSION
003
004   ::: FOR EACH CLAMBDA, CONTINUATION, AND CLABELS WE FILL IN:
005   :::   CONSENV           THE CONSED ENVIRONMENT OF THE CLAMBDA,
006   :::                     CONTINUATION, OR CLABELS (BEFORE ANY
007   :::                     CLOSEREFS HAVE BEEN CONSED ON)
008   ::: FOR EACH CLAMBDA AND CONTINUATION WE FILL IN:
009   :::   CLOSEREFS       A LIST OF VARIABLES REFERENCED BY THE CLAMBDA
010   :::                     OR CONTINUATION WHICH ARE NOT IN THE CONSED
011   :::                     ENVIRONMENT AT THE POINT OF THE CLAMBDA OR
012   :::                     CONTINUATION AND SO MUST BE CONSED ONTO THE
013   :::                     ENVIRONMENT AT CLOSURE TIME; HOWEVER, THESE
014   :::                     NEED NOT BE CONSED ON IF THE CLAMBDA OR
015   :::                     CONTINUATION IS IN FUNCTION POSITION OF
016   :::                     A FATHER WHICH IS A 'COMBINATION OR RETURN
017   ::: FOR THE CLAMBDA'S IN THE FNDEFS OF A CLABELS, THESE MAY BE
018   ::: SLIGHTLY ARTIFICIAL FOR THE SAKE OF OPTIMIZATION (SEE BELOW).
019   ::: FOR EACH CLAMBDA WE FILL IN:
020   :::   ASETVARS       A LIST OF THE VARIABLES BOUND IN THE CLAMBDA
021   :::                     WHICH ARE EVER ASET AND SO MUST BE CONSED
022   :::                     ONTO THE ENVIRONMENT IMMEDIATELY IF ANY
023   :::                     CLOSURES OCCUR IN THE BODY
024   ::: FOR EACH CLABELS WE FILL IN:
025   :::   FNENV         VARIABLES TO BE CONSED ONTO THE CURRENT CONSENV
026   :::                     BEFORE CLOSING THE LABELS FUNCTIONS
027
028   ::: CENV IS THE CONSED ENVIRONMENT (A LIST OF VARIABLES)
029
030   (DEFINE FILTER-CLOSEREFS
031     (LAMBDA (REFS CENV)
032       (DO ((X REFS (CDR X))
033         (Y NIL
034           (IF (OR (MEMQ (CAR X) CENV)
035                 (LET ((KFN (GET (CAR X) 'KNOWN-FUNCTION)))
036                   (AND KFN
037                     (EQ (EQCASE (TYPE (CNODE\CFORM KFN))
038                           (CLAMBDA
039                             (CLAMBDA\FNP (CNODE\CFORM KFN)))
040                             CONTINUATION
041                             (CONTINUATION\FNP (CNODE\CFORM KFN))))
042                       'NOCLOSE))))
043         Y
044         (CONS (CAR X) Y))))
045     ((NULL X) (NREVERSE Y))))

```

For each CLABELS a set called FNENV is computed. This is strictly an efficiency hack, which attempts to arrange it such that the several closures constructed for a CLABELS share environment structure. The union over all the variables needed is computed, and these variables are, at run time, all consed onto the environment before any of the closures is constructed. The hope is that the intersection of these sets is large, so that the total environment consing is less than if a separate environment were consed for each labelled closure.

FILTER-CLOSEREFS is a utility routine which, given a set of variables and an environment representation, returns that subset of the variables which are not already in the environment and so do not denote known NOCLOSE functions. (Those variables which are already in the consed environment or which do denote NOCLOSE functions of course need not be added to that consed environment.)

The argument CENV to CLOSE-ANALYZE is the representation of the consed environment (in \*\*ENV\*\*) which will be present when the code for CNODE is executed. The only processing of interest occurs for CLAMBDA, CONTINUATION, and CLABELS cnodes.

The CLOSEREFS of a CLAMBDA are those which are referred to by the CLAMBDA and which are not already in CENV, provided the CLAMBDA is not of type NOCLOSE. The ASETVARS are precisely those VARS which have WRITE-REFS and are in CLOVARS.

The processing for a CONTINUATION is similar. As a consistency check, we make sure the bound variable has no WRITE-REFS (it should be impossible for an ASET' to refer to the bound variable of a CONTINUATION).

For a CLABELS, the FNENV set is first calculated and added to CENV. This new CENV is then used to process the definitions and body of the CLABELS.

```

001
002 (DEFINE CLOSE-ANALYZE
003   (LAMBDA (CNODE CENV)
004     (LET ((CFM (CNODE\CFORM CNODE)))
005       (EQCASE (TYPE CFM)
006         (TRIVIAL NIL)
007         (CVARIABLE NIL)
008         (CLAMBDA
009           (LET ((CR (AND (NOT (EQ (CLAMBDA\FNP CFM) 'NOCLOSE))
010             (FILTER-CLOSEREFS (CNODE\REFS CNODE) CENV))))
011             (AV (DO ((V (CLAMBDA\VARS (CNODE\CFORM CNODE)) (CDR V))
012               (A NIL (IF (AND (GET (CAR V) 'WRITE-REFS)
013                 (MEMQ (CAR V)
014                   (CNODE\CLOVARS
015                     (CLAMBDA\BODY CFM))))
016                 (CONS (CAR V) A)
017                 A)))
018               ((NULL V) A))))
019             (ALTER-CLAMBDA CFM
020               (CONSENV := CENV)
021               (CLOSEREFS := CR)
022               (ASETVARS := AV))
023             (CLOSE-ANALYZE (CLAMBDA\BODY CFM)
024               (APPEND AV CR CENV))))
025     (CONTINUATION
026       (AND (GET (CONTINUATION\VAR CFM) 'WRITE-REFS)
027         (ERROR '|How could an ASET refer to a continuation variable?|
028           CNODE
029           'FAIL-ACT))
030       (LET ((CR (AND (NOT (EQ (CONTINUATION\FNP CFM) 'NOCLOSE))
031         (FILTER-CLOSEREFS (CNODE\REFS CNODE) CENV))))
032         (ALTER-CONTINUATION CFM
033           (CONSENV := CENV)
034           (CLOSEREFS := CR))
035         (CLOSE-ANALYZE (CONTINUATION\BODY CFM)
036           (APPEND CR CENV))))
037     (CIF
038       (CLOSE-ANALYZE (CIF\PRED CFM) CENV)
039       (CLOSE-ANALYZE (CIF\CON CFM) CENV)
040       (CLOSE-ANALYZE (CIF\ALT CFM) CENV))
041     (CASET
042       (CLOSE-ANALYZE (CASET\CONT CFM) CENV)
043       (CLOSE-ANALYZE (CASET\BODY CFM) CENV))
044     (CLABELS
045       ((LAMBDA (CENV)
046         (BLOCK (AMAPC (LAMBDA (D) (CLOSE-ANALYZE D CENV))
047           (CLABELS\FNDEFS CFM))
048           (CLOSE-ANALYZE (CLABELS\BODY CFM) CENV))))
049       (COND ((CLABELS\EASY CFM)
050         (DO ((D (CLABELS\FNDEFS CFM) (CDR D))
051           (R NIL (UNION R (CNODE\REFS (CAR D))))))
052         ((NULL D)
053           (LET ((E (FILTER-CLOSEREFS R CENV)))
054             (ALTER-CLABELS CFM
055               (FNENV := E)
056               (CONSENV := CENV))
057             (APPEND E CENV))))))
058       (T (ALTER-CLABELS CFM
059         (FNENV := NIL)
060         (CONSENV := CENV))
061       CENV))))
062     (CCOMBINATION
063       (AMAPC (LAMBDA (A) (CLOSE-ANALYZE A CENV))
064         (CCOMBINATION\ARGS CFM)))
065     (RETURN
066       (CLOSE-ANALYZE (RETURN\CONT CFM) CENV)
067       (CLOSE-ANALYZE (RETURN\VAL CFM) CENV))))))

```

We now come to the code generator, which is altogether about one-fourth of all the code making up RABBIT. Part of this is because much code which is conceptually singular is duplicated in several places (partly as a result of the design error in which CCOMBINATION and RETURN nodes, or CLAMBDA and CONTINUATION nodes, are treated distinctly; and also because a powerful text editor made it very easy to make copies of the code for various purposes!). The rest is just because code generation is fairly tricky and requires checking for special cases. A certain amount of peephole optimization is performed; this is not so much to improve the efficiency of the output code, as to make the output code easier to read for a human debugging RABBIT. A large fraction of the output code (perhaps ten to twenty percent) is merely comments of various kinds intended to help the debugger of RABBIT figure out what happened.

One problem in the code generator is that most functions need to be able to return two things: the code generated for a given cnode-tree, and a list of functions encountered in the cnode-tree, for which code is to be generated separately later. We solve this problem by a stylistic trick, namely the explicit use of continuation-passing style. Many functions in the code generator take an argument named "C". This argument is itself a function of two arguments: the generated code and the deferred-function list. The function which is given C is expected to compute its two results and then invoke C, giving it the two results as arguments. (In practice a function which gets an argument C also gets an argument FNS, which is a deferred-functions list; the function is expected to add its deferred functions onto this list FNS, and give the augmented FNS list to C along with the generated code.)

Other arguments which are frequently passed within the code generator are CENV (a representation of the consed environment); BLOCKFNS, a list describing external functions compiled together in this "block" or "module" (this is used to compile a direct GOTO rather than a more expensive call to an external function, the theory being that several functions might be compiled together in a single module as with the InterLISP "block compiler"; this theory is not presently implemented, however, and so BLOCKFNS always has just one entry); PROGNAME, a symbol which at run time will have as its value the MacLISP SUBR pointer for the current module (this SUBR pointer is consed into closures of compiled functions, and so any piece of code which constructs a closure will need to refer to the value of this symbol); and RNL, the "rename list", an alist pairing internal variable names to pieces of code for accessing them (when code to reference a variable is to be generated, the piece of code in RNL is used if the variable is found in RNL, and otherwise a reference to the variable name itself (which is therefore global) is output).

COMPILATE is the topmost routine of the code generator. FN is the cnode-tree for a function to be compiled. The topmost cnode should of course be of type CLAMBDA or CONTINUATION. For a CLAMBDA, the call to REGSLIST sets up the initial RNL (rename list) for references to the arguments. Also, when COMP-BODY has returned the code (the innermost LAMBDA-expression in COMPILATE is the argument C given to COMP-BODY), SET-UP-ASETVARS is called to take care of copying the variables in the ASETVARS set into the consed environment. The code for a CONTINUATION is similar, except that a CONTINUATION has no ASETVARS and only one bound variable.



```

001
002   ::: CODE GENERATION ROUTINES
003
004   ::: PROGNAME:  NAME OF A VARIABLE WHICH AT RUN TIME WILL HAVE
005   :::           AS VALUE THE SUBR POINTER FOR THE PROG
006   ::: FN:       THE FUNCTION TO COMPILE (A CLAMBDA OR CONTINUATION CNODE)
007   ::: EXTERNALP: NON-NIL IF THE FUNCTION IS EXTERNAL
008   ::: RNL:      INITIAL RENAME LIST (NON-NIL ONLY FOR NOCLOSE FNS).
009   :::           ENTRIES ARE: (VAR . CODE)
010   ::: BLOCKFNS: AN ALIST OF FUNCTIONS IN THIS BLOCK.
011   :::           ENTRIES ARE: (USERNAME CNODE)
012   ::: FNS:      A LIST OF TUPLES FOR FUNCTIONS YET TO BE COMPILED;
013   :::           EACH TUPLE IS (PROGNAME FN RNL)
014   ::: C:        A CONTINUATION, TAKING:
015   :::           CODE:  THE PIECE OF MACLISP CODE FOR THE FUNCTION
016   :::           FNS:   AN AUGMENTED FNS LIST
017
018 (DEFINE COMPILATE
019   (LAMBDA (PROGNAME FN RNL BLOCKFNS FNS C)
020     (LET ((CFM (CNODE\CFORM FN)))
021       (EQCASE (TYPE CFM)
022         (CLAMBDA
023           (LET ((CENV (APPEND (CLAMBDA\ASETVARS CFM)
024                               (CLAMBDA\CLOSEREFs CFM)
025                               (CLAMBDA\CONSENV CFM))))
026             (COMP-BODY (CLAMBDA\BODY CFM)
027                       (REGSLIST CFM T (ENVCARCDR CENV RNL))
028                       PROGNAME
029                       BLOCKFNS
030                       CENV
031                       FNS
032                       (LAMBDA (CODE FNS)
033                         (C (SET-UP-ASETVARS CODE
034                             (CLAMBDA\ASETVARS CFM)
035                             (REGSLIST CFM NIL NIL))
036                           FNS))))))
037   (CONTINUATION
038     (LET ((CENV (APPEND (CONTINUATION\CLOSEREFs CFM)
039                         (CONTINUATION\CONSENV CFM))))
040       (COMP-BODY (CONTINUATION\BODY CFM)
041                 (IF (EQ (CONTINUATION\FNP CFM) 'NOCLOSE)
042                     (IF (NULL (CONTINUATION\TVARS CFM))
043                         (ENVCARCDR CENV RNL)
044                         (CONS (CONS (CONTINUATION\VAR CFM)
045                                   (TEMPLOC (CONTINUATION\DEP CFM)))
046                               (ENVCARCDR CENV RNL)))
047                 (CONS (CONS (CONTINUATION\VAR CFM)
048                             (CAR **ARGUMENT-REGISTERS**))
049                       (ENVCARCDR CENV RNL)))
050   PROGNAME
051   BLOCKFNS
052   CENV
053   FNS
054   C))))))

```

**\*\*ARGUMENT-REGISTERS\*\*** is a list of the standard "registers" through which arguments are passed. In the standard SCHEME implementation this list is:

```
(**ONE** **TWO** **THREE** **FOUR**
 **FIVE** **SIX** **SEVEN** **EIGHT**)
```

DEPROGNIFY1 is a peephole optimizer. It takes a MacLISP form and returns a list of MacLISP forms. The idea is that if the given form is (PROGN ...), the keyword PROGN is stripped off; also, any irrelevant computations (references to variables or constants other than in the final position) are removed. (ATOMFLUSHP, when NIL, suppresses the removal of symbols, which in some cases may be MacLISP PROG tags). The purpose of this is to avoid multiple nesting of PROGN forms:

```
(PROGN (PROGN a b) (PROGN (PROGN c (PROGN d e) f) g))
```

Any code generation routine which constructs a PROGN with a component Q generated by another routine generally says:

```
"(PROGN (SETQ FOO 3) @(DEPROGNIFY Q) (GO ,THE-TAG))
```

The "@" means that the list of forms returned by the call to DEPROGNIFY (which is actually a macro which expands into a call to DEPROGNIFY1) is to be substituted into the list (PROGN ...) being constructed by the "'" operator. Thus rather than the nested PROGN code shown above, the code generator would instead produce:

```
(PROGN a b c d e f g)
```

which is much easier to read when debugging the output of RABBIT.

TEMPLOC is a little utility which given the number (in the DEP ordering used by DEPTH-ANALYZE) of a register returns the name of that register. **\*\*CONT+ARG-REGS\*\*** is the same as **\*\*ARGUMENT-REGISTERS\*\*** except that the name **\*\*CONT\*\*** is tacked onto the front. **\*\*CONT\*\*** is considered to be register 0. If N is greater than the number of the highest standard argument register, then a new register name of the form "-N-" is invented. Thus the additional temporary registers are called -11-, -12-, -13-, etc.

```

001
002  ;;; DEPROGNIFY IS USED ONLY TO MAKE THE OUTPUT PRETTY BY ELIMINATING
003  ;;; UNNECESSARY OCCURRENCES OF "PROGN".
004
005 (DEFMAC DEPROGNIFY (FORM) "(DEPROGNIFY1 ,FORM NIL))
006
007 (SET' *DEPROGNIFY-COUNT* 0)
008
009 (DEFINE DEPROGNIFY1
010   (LAMBDA (FORM ATOMFLUSHP)
011     (IF (OR (ATOM FORM) (NOT (EQ (CAR FORM) 'PROGN)))
012         (LIST FORM)
013         (DO ((X (CDR FORM) (CDR X))
014             (Z NIL (COND ((NULL (CDR X)) (CONS (CAR X) Z))
015                         ((NULL (CAR X))
016                          (INCREMENT *DEPROGNIFY-COUNT*)
017                          Z)
018                         ((ATOM (CAR X))
019                          (COND (ATOMFLUSHP
020                               (INCREMENT *DEPROGNIFY-COUNT*)
021                               Z)
022                               (T (CONS (CAR X) Z))))))
023             ((EQ (CAAR X) 'QUOTE)
024              (INCREMENT *DEPROGNIFY-COUNT*)
025              Z)
026             (T (CONS (CAR X) Z))))))
027   ((NULL X) (NREVERSE Z))))))
028
029 (DEFINE TEMPLOC
030   (LAMBDA (N)
031     (LABELS ((LOOP
032              (LAMBDA (REGS J)
033                (IF (NULL REGS)
034                    (IMplode (APPEND '(-) (EXPLODEN N) '(-)))
035                    (IF (= J 0)
036                        (CAR REGS)
037                        (LOOP (CDR REGS) (- J 1)))))))
038   (LOOP **CONT+ARG-REGS** N))))

```

ENVCARCDR takes a set of variables VARS representing the consed environment, and an old rename list RNL, and adds to RNL new entries for the variables, supplying pieces of code to access the environment structure. For example, suppose RNL were NIL, and VARS were (A B C). Then ENVCARCDR would produce the list:

```
((C . (CAR (CDR (CDR **ENV**))))
 (B . (CAR (CDR **ENV**)))
 (A . (CAR **ENV**)))
```

where each variable has been paired with a little piece of code which can be used to access it at run time. This example is not quite correct, however, because the peephole optimizer DECARCDRATE is called on the little pieces of code; DECARCDRATE collapses CAR-CDR chains to make them easier to read, and so the true result of ENVCARCDR would be:

```
((C . (CADDR **ENV**))
 (B . (CADR **ENV**))
 (A . (CAR **ENV**)))
```

```
001  
002 (DEFINE ENVCARCDR  
003   (LAMBDA (VARS RNL)  
004     (DO ((X '**ENV** "(CDR ,X))  
005         (V VARS (CDR V))  
006         (R RNL (CONS (CONS (CAR V) (DECARCDRATE "(CAR ,X))) R)))  
007     ((NULL V) R)))
```

REGSLIST takes a CLAMBDA cnode, a switch AVP, and a rename list RNL. It tacks onto RNL new entries which describe how to access the arguments of the CLAMBDA. This is complicated because there are three cases. (1) A NOCLOSE function takes its arguments in non-standard registers. (2) Other functions of not more than **\*\*NUMBER-OF-ARGUMENT-REGISTERS\*\*** (the length of the **\*\*ARGUMENT-REGISTERS\*\*** list) arguments takes their arguments in the standard registers. (3) All other functions takes a list of arguments in the first argument register (**\*\*ONE\*\***), except for the continuation in **\*\*CONT\*\***. The switch AVP tells whether or not the elements of ASETVARS should be included (non-nil means do not include).

As an example, suppose the CLAMBDA is a NOCLOSE with DEP = 12 and TVARS = (A B C D), and suppose that AVP = T and RNL = NIL. Then the result would be:

```
((D . -15-) (C . -14-) (B . -13-) (A . -12-))
```

As another example, suppose the CLAMBDA is of type EZCLOSE with VARS = (K X Y Z) and ASETVARS = (Y), and suppose that AVP = NIL and RNL = ((A . -12-)). Then the result would be:

```
((Z . **THREE**) (X . **ONE**) (K . **CONT**) (A . -12-))
```

SET-UP-ASETVARS takes a piece of code (the code for a CLAMBDA body), an ASETVARS set AV, and a rename list. If there are no ASETVARS, then just the code is returned, but otherwise a PROGN-form is returned, which ahead of the code has a SETQ which adds the ASETVARS to the environment. (LOOKUPICATE takes a variable and a RNL and returns a piece of code for referring to that variable.) For example, suppose we had:

```
CODE = (GO FOO)
AV = (A C)
RNL = ((C . -14-) (B . -13-) (A . -12-))
```

Then SET-UP-ASETVARS would return the code:

```
(PROGN (SETQ **ENV** (CONS -12- (CONS -14- **ENV**))) (GO FOO))
```

```

001
002   ::: AVP NON-NIL MEANS THAT ASETVARS ARE TO BE EXCLUDED FROM THE CONSED LIST.
003
004 (DEFINE REGSLIST
005   (LAMBDA (CLAM AVP RNL)
006     (LET ((AV (AND AVP (CLAMBDA\ASETVARS CLAM))))
007       (IF (EQ (CLAMBDA\FNP CLAM) 'NOCLOSE)
008         (DO ((J (CLAMBDA\DEP CLAM) (+ J 1))
009             (TV (CLAMBDA\TVARS CLAM) (CDR TV))
010             (R RNL
011               (IF (MEMQ (CAR TV) AV)
012                 R
013                 (CONS (CONS (CAR TV) (TEMPLOC J)) R))))
014         ((NULL TV) R))
015     (LET ((VARS (CLAMBDA\VARS CLAM)))
016       (IF (> (LENGTH (CDR VARS)) **NUMBER-OF-ARG-REGS**)
017         (DO ((X (CAR **ARGUMENT-REGISTERS**) "(CDR ,X))
018             (V (CDR VARS) (CDR V))
019             (R (CONS (CONS (CAR VARS) '**CONT**') RNL)
020               (IF (MEMQ (CAR V) AV)
021                 R
022                 (CONS (CONS (CAR V) (DECARCDRATE "(CAR ,X))) R))))
023         ((NULL V) R))
024       (DO ((V VARS (CDR V))
025           (X **CONT+ARG-REGS** (CDR X))
026           (R RNL
027             (IF (MEMQ (CAR V) AV)
028               R
029               (CONS (CONS (CAR V) (CAR X)) R))))
030         ((NULL V) R))))))
031
032 (DEFINE SET-UP-ASETVARS
033   (LAMBDA (CODE AV RNL)
034     (IF (NULL AV)
035       CODE
036       "(PROGN (SETQ **ENV**
037               ,(DO ((A (REVERSE AV) (CDR A))
038                   (E '**ENV** "(CONS ,(LOOKUPICATE (CAR A) RNL) ,E)))
039                   ((NULL A) E)))
040               @ (DEPROGNIFY CODE))))))

```

In the continuation-passing style, functions do not return values; instead, they apply a continuation to the value. Thus, the body of a CLAMBDA-expression is a form which is not expected to produce a value. On the other hand, such a form will have subforms which do produce values, for example references to variables.

Thus the forms to be dealt with in the code generator can be divided into those which produce values and those which do not. Initially the latter will always be attacked, as the body of a "function"; later the former will be seen. COMP-BODY takes a valueless form and compiles it. The routine ANALYZE, which we will see later, handles valued forms.

COMP-BODY instantiates a by now familiar theme: it simply dispatches on the type of BODY to some specialist routine. In the case of a CLABELS, it first compiles the body of the CLABELS (which itself is valueless if the CLABELS is valueless, and so a recursive call to COMP-BODY is used), and then goes to PRODUCE-LABELS. For a CCOMBINATION or RETURN, it does a three-way (for RETURN, two-way) sub-dispatch on whether the function is a TRIVFN, a CLAMBDA (or CONTINUATION), or something else.

The PRODUCE series of routines produce code for valueless forms. PRODUCE-IF calls ANALYZE on the predicate (which will produce a value), and COMP-BODY on the consequent and alternative (which produce no value because the entire CIF does not). The three pieces of resulting code are respectively called PRED, CON, and ALT. These are then given to CONDICATE, which generates a MacLISP COND form to be output.



```

001
002   ;;: RNL IS THE "RENAME LIST": AN ALIST DESCRIBING HOW TO REFER TO THE VARIABLES IN THE
003   ;;: ENVIRONMENT. CENV IS THE CONSED ENVIRONMENT SEEN BY THE BODY.
004
005 (DEFINE
006   COMP-BODY
007   (LAMBDA (BODY RNL PROGNAME BLOCKFNS CENV FNS C)
008     (LET ((CFM (CNODE\CFORM BODY)))
009       (EQCASE (TYPE CFM)
010         (CIF
011           (PRODUCE-IF BODY RNL PROGNAME BLOCKFNS CENV FNS C))
012         (CASET
013           (PRODUCE-ASET BODY RNL PROGNAME BLOCKFNS CENV FNS C))
014         (CLABELS
015           (OR (EQUAL CENV (CLABELS\CONSENV CFM))
016             (ERROR '|Environment disagreement| BODY 'FAIL-ACT))
017           (LET ((LCENV (APPEND (CLABELS\FNENV CFM) CENV)))
018             (COMP-BODY
019               (CLABELS\BODY CFM)
020               (ENVCARCDR LCENV RNL)
021               PROGNAME
022               BLOCKFNS
023               LCENV
024               FNS
025               (LAMBDA (LBOD FNS)
026                 (PRODUCE-LABELS BODY LBOD RNL PROGNAME BLOCKFNS FNS C))))))
027         (CCOMBINATION
028           (LET ((FN (CNODE\CFORM (CAR (CCOMBINATION\ARGS CFM))))
029             (COND ((EQ (TYPE FN) 'CLAMBDA)
030                   (PRODUCE-LAMBDA-COMBINATION BODY RNL PROGNAME BLOCKFNS CENV FNS C))
031                   ((AND (EQ (TYPE FN) 'TRIVIAL)
032                         (EQ (TYPE (NODE\FORM (TRIVIAL\NODE FN))) 'VARIABLE)
033                             (TRIVFN (VARIABLE\VAR (NODE\FORM (TRIVIAL\NODE FN))))))
034                   (PRODUCE-TRIVFN-COMBINATION BODY RNL PROGNAME BLOCKFNS CENV FNS C))
035                   (T (PRODUCE-COMBINATION BODY RNL PROGNAME BLOCKFNS CENV FNS C))))))
036         (RETURN
037           (LET ((FN (CNODE\CFORM (RETURN\CONT CFM))))
038             (IF (EQ (TYPE FN) 'CONTINUATION)
039               (PRODUCE-CONTINUATION-RETURN BODY RNL PROGNAME BLOCKFNS CENV FNS C)
040               (PRODUCE-RETURN BODY RNL PROGNAME BLOCKFNS CENV FNS C))))))
041
042 (DEFINE PRODUCE-IF
043   (LAMBDA (CNODE RNL PROGNAME BLOCKFNS CENV FNS C)
044     (LET ((CFM (CNODE\CFORM CNODE)))
045       (ANALYZE (CIF\PRED CFM)
046         RNL
047         PROGNAME
048         BLOCKFNS
049         FNS
050         (LAMBDA (PRED FNS)
051           (COMP-BODY (CIF\CON CFM)
052             RNL
053             PROGNAME
054             BLOCKFNS
055             CENV
056             FNS
057             (LAMBDA (CON FNS)
058               (COMP-BODY (CIF\ALT CFM)
059                 RNL
060                 PROGNAME
061                 BLOCKFNS
062                 CENV
063                 FNS
064                 (LAMBDA (ALT FNS)
065                   (C (CONDICATE PRED
066                     CON
067                     ALT)
068                     FNS))))))))))

```

PRODUCE-ASET first calls ANALYZE on the body, which must produce a value (to be assigned to the CASET variable). There are then two cases, depending on whether the CASET\CONT is a CONTINUATION or not.

If it is, then the body of the continuation is compiled (using COMP-BODY), and then LAMBDATE is called to generate the invocation of the continuation. The routine OUTPUT-ASET generates the actual MacLISP SETQ (or other construct) for the CASET variable, using the environment location provided by LOOKUPICATE. All in all this case is very much like a RETURN with an explicit CONTINUATION, except that just before the continuation is invoked a SETQ is stuck in.

If the CASET\CONT is not a CONTINUATION, then ANALYZE is called on the CASET\CONT, and then a piece of code is output which sets \*\*FUN\*\* to the continuation, \*\*ONE\*\* (which is in the car of \*\*ARGUMENT-REGISTERS\*\*) to the value of the body (after also setting the CASET variable, using OUTPUT-ASET), and does (RETURN NIL), which is the SCHEME run-time protocol for invoking a continuation.

```

001
002 (DEFINE
003 PRODUCE-ASET
004 (LAMBDA (CNODE RNL PROGNAME BLOCKFNS CENV FNS C)
005 (LET ((CFM (CNODE\CFORM CNODE)))
006 (ANALYZE (CASET\BODY CFM)
007 RNL
008 PROGNAME
009 BLOCKFNS
010 FNS
011 (LAMBDA (BODY FNS)
012 (LET ((CONTCFM (CNODE\CFORM (CASET\CONT CFM))))
013 (IF (EQ (TYPE CONTCFM) 'CONTINUATION)
014 (COMP-BODY (CONTINUATION\BODY CONTCFM)
015 (IF (CONTINUATION\TVARS CONTCFM)
016 (CONS (CONS (CAR (CONTINUATION\TVARS CONTCFM))
017 (TEMPLOC (CONTINUATION\DEP
018 CONTCFM)))
019 (ENVCARCDR CENV RNL))
020 (ENVCARCDR CENV RNL))
021 PROGNAME
022 BLOCKFNS
023 CENV
024 FNS
025 (LAMBDA (CODE FNS)
026 (C (LAMBDA (CATE
027 (LIST (CONTINUATION\VAR CONTCFM))
028 (CONTINUATION\TVARS CONTCFM)
029 (CONTINUATION\DEP CONTCFM)
030 (LIST (OUTPUT-ASET
031 (LOOKUPICATE (CASET\VAR CFM)
032 RNL)
033 BODY))
034 (REMARK-ON (CASET\CONT CFM))
035 **ENV**
036 CODE)
037 FNS)))
038 (ANALYZE
039 (CASET\CONT CFM)
040 RNL
041 PROGNAME
042 BLOCKFNS
043 FNS
044 (LAMBDA (CONT FNS)
045 (C "(PROGN (SETQ **FUN** ,CONT)
046 (SETQ ,(CAR **ARGUMENT-REGISTERS**)
047 ,(OUTPUT-ASET
048 (LOOKUPICATE (CASET\VAR CFM)
049 RNL)
050 BODY))
051 (RETURN NIL))
052 FNS)))))))))

```

PRODUCE-LABELS takes an already-compiled body LBOD. FENV-FIX is a (possibly empty) list of pieces of code which will fix up the consed environment by adding the variables common to all the closures to be made up (this set was computed by CLOSE-ANALYZE and put in the FENV slot of the CLABELS). The code for this addition is built from the list of variables by CONS-CLOSEREFS.

There are then three cases, depending on the type of closures to be constructed (NOCLOSE, EZCLOSE, or NIL). Suppose that the CLABELS is:

```
(CLABELS ((FOO (LAMBDA ...))
          (BAR (LAMBDA ...)))
  <body>)
```

Let us see roughly what code is produced for each case.

For a NIL type (full closures), the idea is merely to create all the closures in standard form (but with a null environment), add them all to the consed environment, and then go back and clobber the environment portion of the closures with the new resulting environment, plus any other variables needed. Now a standard closure looks like (CBETA <value of progname> <tag> . <environment>). (At run time the value of the progname will be a MacLISP SUBR pointer for the module; the tag identifies the particular routine in the module.) In the DO loop, FNS accumulates the function definitions (to be compiled separately later), RP accumulates RPLACD forms for clobbering the closures, and CB accumulates constructors of CBETA lists. For our example, the generated code looks like:

```
((LAMBDA (FOO BAR)
  (SETQ **ENV** (CONS ... (CONS X43 **ENV**)...))
  (RPLACD (CDDR BAR) (CONS ... (CONS X72 **ENV**)...))
  (RPLACD (CDDR FOO) (CONS ... (CONS X69 **ENV**)...))
  <body>))
(LIST 'CBETA ?-453 'FOO-TAG)
(LIST 'CBETA ?-453 'BAR-TAG))
```

where ?-453 is the PROGNAME for the module containing the CLABELS, and FOO-TAG and BAR-TAG are the tags (whose names will actually look like FNVAR-91) for FOO and BAR. (Now in fact CLOSE-ANALYZE creates a null FENV for type NIL CLABELS, and so the first SETQ would in fact not appear. However, the decision as to the form of the FENV is only a heuristic, and so PRODUCE-LABELS is written so as to be prepared for any possible choice of FENV and CLOSEREFS of individual labelled functions. In this way the heuristic in CLOSE-ANALYZE can be freely adjusted without having to change PRODUCE-LABELS.)

For the EZCLOSE case the "closures" need only contain environments, not also code pointers. A trick is needed here, however, to build the circular environment. When adding the labelled functions to the environment, we must somehow cons in an object; but we want this object to possibly be the environment itself! What we do instead is to make up a list of the tag, and later RPLACD this list cell with the environment. The tag is never used, but is useful for debugging. This method also makes the code very similar to the NIL case, the only difference being that the atom CBETA and the value of the PROGNAME are not consed onto each closure.

```

001
002 (DEFINE
003   PRODUCE-LABELS
004   (LAMBDA (CNODE LBOD RNL PROGNAME BLOCKFNS FNS C)
005     (LET ((CFM (CNODE\CFORM CNODE)))
006       (LET ((VARS (CLABELS\FNVARS CFM))
007             (DEFS (CLABELS\FNDEFS CFM))
008             (FENV (CLABELS\FFENV CFM)))
009         (LET ((FENV-FIX (IF FENV "(SETQ **ENV** ,(CONS-CLOSEREFS FENV RNL))))))
010           (EQCASE (CLABELS\EASY CFM)
011             (NIL
012               (DO ((V VARS (CDR V))
013                   (D DEFS (CDR D))
014                   (FNS FNS (CONS (LIST PROGNAME (CAR D) NIL) FNS))
015                   (RP NIL (CONS "(RPLACD (CDR ,(CAR V))
016                                     ,(CONS-CLOSEREFS
017                                       (CLAMBDA\CLOSEREFS
018                                         (CNODE\CFORM (CAR D)))
019                                         RNL))
020                                     RP))
021                 (CB NIL (CONS "(LIST 'CBETA ,PROGNAME ',(CAR V)) CB)))
022               ((NULL V)
023                 (C "(LAMBDA ,VARS
024                       @FENV-FIX
025                       @RP
026                       @DEPROGNIFY LBOD))
027                   @NREVERSE CB))
028                 FNS))))
029   (EZCLOSE
030     (DO ((V VARS (CDR V))
031         (D DEFS (CDR D))
032         (FNS FNS (CONS (LIST PROGNAME (CAR D) NIL) FNS))
033         (RP NIL (CONS "(RPLACD ,(CAR V)
034                           ,(CONS-CLOSEREFS
035                             (CLAMBDA\CLOSEREFS
036                               (CNODE\CFORM (CAR D)))
037                               RNL))
038                           RP))
039         (CB NIL (CONS "(LIST ',(CAR V)) CB)))
040     ((NULL V)
041       (C "(LAMBDA ,VARS
042             @FENV-FIX
043             @RP
044             @DEPROGNIFY LBOD))
045         @NREVERSE CB))
046     FNS))))
047   (NOCLOSE
048     (C "(PROGN @FENV-FIX @DEPROGNIFY LBOD))
049       (DO ((V VARS (CDR V))
050           (D DEFS (CDR D))
051           (FNS FNS (CONS (LIST PROGNAME (CAR D) RNL) FNS)))
052         ((NULL V) FNS)))))))))

```

One problem is that these "closures" are not of the same form as ordinary EZCLOSE closures, which do not have the tag. This is the purpose of the LABELS-FUNCTION properties which BIND-ANALYZE created; when a call to an EZCLOSE function is generated, the presence of a LABELS-FUNCTION property indicates that the "closure" itself is not the environment, but rather its cdr is. (It would be possible to do without the cell containing the tag, by instead making up the environment with values of NIL, then constructing the "closures" as simple environments, and then going back and clobbering the environment structure with the closure objects, rather than clobbering the closure objects themselves. The decision not to do this was rather arbitrary.) The generated code for the EZCLOSE case thus looks like:

```
((LAMBDA (FOO BAR)
  (SETQ **ENV** (CONS ... (CONS X43 **ENV**)...))
  (RPLACD (CDDR BAR) (CONS ... (CONS X72 **ENV**)...))
  (RPLACD (CDDR FOO) (CONS ... (CONS X69 **ENV**)...))
  <body>))
(LIST 'FOO-TAG)
(LIST 'BAR-TAG))
```

In the NOCLOSE case, no closures are made at run time for the labelled functions, and so the code consists merely of the FNENV-FIX (which, again, using the current heuristic in CLOSE-ANALYZE will always be null in the NOCLOSE case) and the code for the body:

```
(PROGN (SETQ **ENV** (CONS ... (CONS X43 **ENV**)...)) <body>))
```

In any case, of course, the labelled functions are added to the FNS list which is handed back to C for later compilation.

PRODUCE-LAMBDA-COMBINATION generates code for the case of ((CLAMBDA ...) arg1 ... argn). First a number of consistency checks are performed, to make sure the pass-2 analysis is not completely awry. Then code is generated for the body of the CLAMBDA, using COMP-BODY. Then all the arguments, which are of course expected to produce values, are given to MAPANALYZE, which will call ANALYZE on each in turn and return a list of the pieces of generated code (here called ARGS in the continuation handed to MAPANALYZE). Finally, LAMBDA-CATE is called to generate the code for entering the body after setting up the arguments in an appropriate manner. Notice the use of SET-UP-ASET-VARS to generate any necessary additional code for adding ASET-VARS to the consed environment on entering the body. (A more complicated compiler would in this situation add the argument values to the consed environment directly, rather than first putting them in registers (which is done by LAMBDA-CATE) and then moving the registers into the consed environment (which is done by SET-UP-ASET-VARS). To do this, however, would involve destroying the modular distinction between LAMBDA-CATE and SET-UP-ASET-VARS. The extra complications were deemed not worthwhile because in practice the ASET-VARS set is almost always empty anyway.)

```

001
002 (DEFINE
003   PRODUCE-LAMBDA-COMBINATION
004   (LAMBDA (CNODE RNL PROGNAME BLOCKFNS CENV FNS C)
005     (LET ((CFM (CNODE\CFORM CNODE)))
006       (LET ((FN (CNODE\CFORM (CAR (CCOMBINATION\ARGS CFM))))
007         (AND (CLAMBDA\CLOSEREFS FN)
008              (ERROR '|Functional LAMBDA has CLOSEREFS| CNODE 'FAIL-ACT))
009         (OR (EQUAL CENV (CLAMBDA\CONSENV FN)
010             (ERROR '|Environment disagreement| CNODE 'FAIL-ACT))
011         (OR (EQ (CLAMBDA\FNP FN) 'NOCLOSE)
012             (ERROR '|Non-NOCLOSE LAMBDA in function position| CNODE 'FAIL-ACT))
013         (COMP-BODY
014           (CLAMBDA\BODY FN)
015           (ENVCARCDR (CLAMBDA\ASETVARS FN)
016                     (REGSLIST FN T (ENVCARCDR CENV RNL)))
017           PROGNAME
018           BLOCKFNS
019           (APPEND (CLAMBDA\ASETVARS FN) CENV)
020           FNS
021           (LAMBDA (BODY FNS)
022             (MAPANALYZE (CDR (CCOMBINATION\ARGS CFM))
023                       RNL
024                       PROGNAME
025                       BLOCKFNS
026                       FNS
027                       (LAMBDA (ARGS FNS)
028                         (C (LAMBDA CATE (CLAMBDA\VARS FN)
029                           (CLAMBDA\TVARS FN)
030                           (CLAMBDA\DEP FN)
031                           ARGS
032                           (REMARK-ON
033                             (CAR (CCOMBINATION\ARGS CFM)))
034                             '**ENV**
035                           (SET-UP-ASETVARS
036                             BODY
037                             (CLAMBDA\ASETVARS FN)
038                             (REGSLIST FN NIL NIL)))
039                           FNS)))))))))

```

PRODUCE-TRIVFN-COMBINATION handles a case like (CONS continuation arg1 arg2), i.e. a CCOMBINATION whose function position contains a TRIVFN. First all the arguments (excluding the continuation!) are given to MAPANALYZE; then a dispatch is made on whether the continuation is a CONTINUATION or a CVARIABLE, and one of two specialists is called.

PRODUCE-TRIVFN-COMBINATION-CONTINUATION handles a case like (CONS (CONTINUATION (Z) <body>) arg1 arg2). The idea here is to compile it approximately as if it were

```
((CONTINUATION (Z) <body>) (CONS arg1 arg2))
```

That is, the arguments are evaluated, the trivial function is given them to produce a value, and that value is then given to the continuation. Accordingly, the body of the CONTINUATION is compiled using COMP-BODY, and then LAMBDATE takes care of setting up the argument (the fourth argument to LAMBDATE is a list of the MacLISP code for invoking the trivial function) and invoking the body of the (necessarily NOCLOSE) CONTINUATION.



```

001
002 (DEFINE PRODUCE-TRIVFN-COMBINATION
003 (LAMBDA (CNODE RNL PROGNAME BLOCKFNS CENV FNS C)
004 (LET ((CFM (CNODE\CFORM CNODE)))
005 (LET ((FN (CNODE\CFORM (CAR (CCOMBINATION\ARGS CFM))))
006 (CONT (CNODE\CFORM (CADR (CCOMBINATION\ARGS CFM)))))
007 (MAPANALYZE (CDDR (CCOMBINATION\ARGS CFM))
008 RNL
009 PROGNAME
010 BLOCKFNS
011 FNS
012 (LAMBDA (ARGS FNS)
013 (EQCASE (TYPE CONT)
014 (CONTINUATION
015 (PRODUCE-TRIVFN-COMBINATION-CONTINUATION
016 CNODE RNL PROGNAME BLOCKFNS CENV
017 FNS C CFM FN CONT ARGS))
018 (CVARIABLE
019 (PRODUCE-TRIVFN-COMBINATION-CVARIABLE
020 CNODE RNL PROGNAME BLOCKFNS CENV
021 FNS C CFM FN CONT ARGS)))))))))
022
023 (DEFINE PRODUCE-TRIVFN-COMBINATION-CONTINUATION
024 (LAMBDA (CNODE RNL PROGNAME BLOCKFNS CENV FNS C CFM FN CONT ARGS)
025 (BLOCK (AND (CONTINUATION\CLOSEREFS CONT)
026 (ERROR '|CONTINUATION for TRIVFN has CLOSEREFS| CNODE 'FAIL-ACT))
027 (OR (EQ (CONTINUATION\FNP CONT) 'NOCLOSE)
028 (ERROR '|Non-NOCLOSE CONTINUATION for TRIVFN| CNODE 'FAIL-ACT))
029 (COMP-BODY (CONTINUATION\BODY CONT)
030 (IF (CONTINUATION\TVARS CONT)
031 (CONS (CONS (CAR (CONTINUATION\TVARS CONT))
032 (TEMPLOC (CONTINUATION\DEP CONT))))
033 (ENVCARCDR CENV RNL))
034 (ENVCARCDR CENV RNL))
035 PROGNAME
036 BLOCKFNS
037 CENV
038 FNS
039 (LAMBDA (BODY FNS)
040 (C (LAMBDATE
041 (LIST (CONTINUATION\VAR CONT))
042 (CONTINUATION\TVARS CONT)
043 (CONTINUATION\DEP CONT)
044 (LIST "(,(VARIABLE\VAR (NODE\FORM (TRIVIAL\NODE FN)))
045 @ARGS))
046 (REMARK-ON (CADR (CCOMBINATION\ARGS CFM)))
047 '***ENV**
048 BODY)
049 FNS))))))

```

PRODUCE-TRIVFN-COMBINATION-CVARIABLE handles a case like (CONS CONT-43 arg1 arg2), where the continuation for a trivial function call is a CVARIABLE. In this situation the continuation is given to ANALYZE to generate MacLISP code for referring to it; there are then two cases, depending on whether the CVARIABLE has a KNOWN-FUNCTION property. (Note that before the decision is made, VAL names the piece of MacLISP code for calling the trivial function on the arguments.)

If the CVARIABLE denotes a KNOWN-FUNCTION, then it should be possible to invoke it by adjusting the environment, setting up the arguments in registers, and jumping to the code. First the environment adjustment is computed; ADJUST-KNOWNFN-CENV generates a piece of MacLISP code which will at run time compute the correct new environment in which the continuation will expect to run. There are then two subcases, depending on whether the KNOWN-FUNCTION is of type NOCLOSE or not. If it is, then LAMBDATE is used to set up the arguments in the appropriate registers (the last argument of NIL indicates that there is no "body", but rather that the caller of LAMBDATE takes the responsibility of jumping to the code). If it is not, then PSETQIFY is used, because the value will always go in \*\*ONE\*\* (which is the car of \*\*ARGUMENT-REGISTERS\*\*). In either case, a GO is generated to jump to the code (within the current module, of course) for the continuation.

If the continuation is not a KNOWN-FUNCTION, then the standard function linkage mechanism is used: the continuation is put into \*\*FUN\*\*, the value into \*\*ONE\*\*, and then (RETURN NIL) exits the module to request the SCHEME run-time interface to invoke the continuation in whatever manner is appropriate.

```

001
002 (DEFINE PRODUCE-TRIVFN-COMBINATION-CVARIABLE
003 (LAMBDA (CNODE RNL PROGNAME BLOCKFNS CENV FNS C CFM FN CONT ARGS)
004 (ANALYZE
005 (CADR (CCOMBINATION\ARGS CFM))
006 RNL
007 PROGNAME
008 BLOCKFNS
009 FNS
010 (LAMBDA (CONTF FNS)
011 (LET ((KF (GET (CVARIABLE\VAR CONT) 'KNOWN-FUNCTION))
012 (VAL "(.(VARIABLE\VAR (NODE\FORM (TRIVIAL\NODE FN))) @ARGS)))
013 (IF KF
014 (LET ((KCFM (CNODE\CFORM KF))
015 (LET ((ENVADJ
016 (ADJUST-KNOWNFN-CENV CENV
017 (CVARIABLE\VAR CONT)
018 CONTF
019 (CONTINUATION\FNP KCFM)
020 (APPEND
021 (CONTINUATION\CLOSEREFS KCFM)
022 (CONTINUATION\CONSENV KCFM))))))
023 (C "(PROGN
024 @ (IF (EQ (CONTINUATION\FNP KCFM)
025 'NOCLOSE)
026 (DEPROGNIFY
027 (LAMBDA CATE (LIST (CONTINUATION\VAR KCFM)
028 (CONTINUATION\TVARS KCFM)
029 (CONTINUATION\DEP KCFM)
030 (LIST VAL)
031 (REMARK-ON KF)
032 ENVADJ
033 NIL))
034 (PSETQIFY (LIST ENVADJ VAL)
035 (LIST '**ENV**
036 (CAR **ARGUMENT-REGISTERS**))))))
037 (GO ,(CONTINUATION\NAME KCFM))
038 FNS)))
039 (C "(PROGN (SETQ **FUN** ,CONTF)
040 (SETQ ,(CAR **ARGUMENT-REGISTERS**) ,VAL)
041 (RETURN NIL)
042 FNS))))))

```

PRODUCE-COMBINATION handles combinations whose function positions contain neither TRIVFNs nor CLAMBDA's. All of the arguments, including the function position itself and the continuation, are given to MAPANALYZE, resulting in a list FORM of pieces of MacLISP code. There are then two cases. If the function position is a VARIABLE (within a TRIVIAL - not a CVARIABLE!), then PRODUCE-COMBINATION-VARIABLE is used. Otherwise code is generated to use the standard SCHEME run-time interface: first set **\*\*FUN\*\*** to the function, then set up the arguments in the standard argument registers (PSETQ-ARGS generates the code for this), then set **\*\*NARGS\*\*** to the number of arguments (this does not include the continuation), and exit the module with (RETURN NIL).

PRODUCE-COMBINATION-VARIABLE first determines whether the variable has a KNOWN-FUNCTION property. If so, then the approach is very much as in TRIVFN-COMBINATION-CVARIABLE: first the environment adjustment is computed, then either LAMBDATE or PSETQ-ARGS-ENV is used to adjust the environment and set up the arguments, and finally a GO to the piece of code for the KNOWN-FUNCTION is generated.

If the variable is not a KNOWN-FUNCTION, then it may still be in the list BLOCKFNS (which, recall, is a list of user functions included in this module). If so, the effect on the code generation strategy is roughly as if it were a KNOWN-FUNCTION. The environment adjustment is done differently, but a GO is generated to the piece of code for the called function.

In any other case, the standard interface is used. **\*\*FUN\*\*** is set to the function, the arguments are set up, **\*\*NARGS\*\*** is set to the number of arguments, and (RETURN NIL) exits the module.

```

001
002 (DEFINE PRODUCE-COMBINATION
003 (LAMBDA (CNODE RNL PROGNAME BLOCKFNS CENV FNS C)
004 (MAPANALYZE (CCOMBINATION\ARGS (CNODE\CFORM CNODE))
005 RNL
006 PROGNAME
007 BLOCKFNS
008 FNS
009 (LAMBDA (FORM FNS)
010 (C (LET ((F (CNODE\CFORM (CAR (CCOMBINATION\ARGS
011 (CNODE\CFORM CNODE))))))
012 (IF (AND (EQ (TYPE F) 'TRIVIAL)
013 (EQ (TYPE (NODE\FORM (TRIVIAL\NODE F)))
014 'VARIABLE))
015 (LET ((V (VARIABLE\VAR
016 (NODE\FORM (TRIVIAL\NODE F))))))
017 (PRODUCE-COMBINATION-VARIABLE
018 CNODE RNL PROGNAME BLOCKFNS CENV
019 FNS C FORM V (GET V 'KNOWN-FUNCTION)))
020 "(PROGN (SETQ **FUN** ,(CAR FORM))
021 @(PSETQ-ARGS (CDR FORM))
022 (SETQ **NARGS** ',(LENGTH (CDDR FORM)))
023 (RETURN NIL))))
024 FNS))))))
025
026 (DEFINE PRODUCE-COMBINATION-VARIABLE
027 (LAMBDA (CNODE RNL PROGNAME BLOCKFNS CENV FNS C FORM V KFN)
028 (IF KFN
029 (LET ((ENVADJ
030 (ADJUST-KNOWNFN-CENV CENV
031 V
032 (CAR FORM)
033 (CLAMBDA\FNP (CNODE\CFORM KFN))
034 (APPEND (CLAMBDA\CLOSEREFS (CNODE\CFORM KFN))
035 (CLAMBDA\CONSENV (CNODE\CFORM KFN))))))
036 (OR (EQ (TYPE (CNODE\CFORM KFN)) 'CLAMBDA)
037 (ERROR '|Known function not CLAMBDA| CNODE 'FAIL-ACT))
038 "(PROGN @(IF (EQ (CLAMBDA\FNP (CNODE\CFORM KFN)) 'NOCLOSE)
039 (DEPROGNIFY
040 (LAMBDA\CAT (CLAMBDA\VARS (CNODE\CFORM KFN))
041 (CLAMBDA\TVARS (CNODE\CFORM KFN))
042 (CLAMBDA\DEP (CNODE\CFORM KFN))
043 (CDR FORM)
044 (REMARK-ON KFN)
045 ENVADJ
046 NIL))
047 (PSETQ-ARGS-ENV (CDR FORM) ENVADJ))
048 (GO ,(CLAMBDA\NAME (CNODE\CFORM KFN))))))
049 (IF (ASSQ V BLOCKFNS)
050 "(PROGN @(PSETQ-ARGS (CDR FORM))
051 @(IF (NOT (EQUAL (CLAMBDA\CONSENV
052 (CNODE\CFORM
053 (CADR (ASSQ V BLOCKFNS))))
054 CENV))
055 "((SETQ **ENV** (CDDR ,(CAR FORM))))))
056 (GO ,(CLAMBDA\NAME (CNODE\CFORM (CADR (ASSQ V BLOCKFNS))))))
057 "(PROGN (SETQ **FUN** ,(CAR FORM))
058 @(PSETQ-ARGS (CDR FORM))
059 (SETQ **NARGS** ',(LENGTH (CDDR FORM)))
060 (RETURN NIL))))))

```

ADJUST-KNOWNFN-CENV computes a piece of code for adjusting the environment. CENV is the internal representation (as a list of variable names) of the environment in which the generated code will be used. VAR is the name of the variable which names the function to be invoked, and for whose sake the environment is to be adjusted. VARREF is a piece of MacLISP code by which the run-time value of VAR may be accessed. FNP is the FNP type of the KNOWN-FUNCTION denoted by VAR. LCENV is the representation of the environment for the function. Thus, the generated code should compute LCENV given CENV.

The two easy cases are when LCENV=CENV, in which case the environment does not change, and when LCENV=NIL, in which case the run-time environment will also be NIL. Otherwise it breaks down into three cases on FNP.

For FNP=NOCLOSE, it must be true that LCENV is some tail of CENV; that is, there is a stack-like discipline for NOCLOSE functions, and so CENV was constructed by adding things to LCENV. The piece of code must therefore consist of some number of CDR operations on \*\*ENV\*\*. If this operation does not in fact produce LCENV, then there is an inconsistency in the compiler.

For FNP=EZCLOSE, then VARREF can be used to reference the run-time "closure"; this may require a CDR operation if the function is an EZCLOSE LABELS-FUNCTION (see PRODUCE-LABELS).

For FNP=NIL, then VARREF will refer to a full closure; the CDDDR of this closure is the environment.

PRODUCE-CONTINUATION-RETURN is, mutatis mutandis, identical to PRODUCE-LAMBDA-COMBINATION. This is a good example of the fact that much code was duplicated because of the early design decision to treat COMBINATION and RETURN as distinct data types.

```

001
002 (DEFINE ADJUST-KNOWNFN-CENV
003 (LAMBDA (CENV VAR VARREF FNP LCENV)
004 (COND ((EQUAL LCENV CENV) '**ENV**')
005 ((NULL LCENV) 'NIL)
006 (T (EQCASE FNP
007 (NOCLOSE
008 (DO ((X CENV (CDR X))
009 (Y '**ENV**' (CDR .Y))
010 (I (- (LENGTH CENV) (LENGTH LCENV)) (- I 1)))
011 ((< I 1)
012 (IF (EQUAL X LCENV)
013 (DECARCDRATE Y)
014 (ERROR '|Cannot recover environment for known function|
015 VAR
016 'FAIL-ACT))))))
017 (EZCLOSE
018 (IF (GET VAR 'LABELS-FUNCTION)
019 "(CDR ,VARREF)
020 VARREF))
021 (NIL "(CDDDR ,VARREF))))))
022
023 (DEFINE PRODUCE-CONTINUATION-RETURN
024 (LAMBDA (CNODE RNL PROGNAME BLOCKFNS CENV FNS C)
025 (LET ((CFM (CNODE\CFORM CNODE)))
026 (LET ((FN (CNODE\CFORM (RETURN\CONT CFM))))
027 (AND (CONTINUATION\CLOSEREFS FN)
028 (ERROR '|Functional CONTINUATION has CLOSEREFS| CNODE 'FAIL-ACT))
029 (OR (EQUAL CENV (CONTINUATION\CONSENV FN))
030 (ERROR '|Environment disagreement| CNODE 'FAIL-ACT))
031 (OR (EQ (CONTINUATION\FNP FN) 'NOCLOSE)
032 (ERROR '|Non-NOCLOSE CONTINUATION in function position|
033 CNODE
034 'FAIL-ACT))
035 (COMP-BODY (CONTINUATION\BODY FN)
036 (IF (CONTINUATION\TVARS FN)
037 (CONS (CONS (CAR (CONTINUATION\TVARS FN))
038 (TEMPLOC (CONTINUATION\DEP FN)))
039 (ENVCARCDR CENV RNL))
040 (ENVCARCDR CENV RNL))
041 PROGNAME
042 BLOCKFNS
043 CENV
044 FNS
045 (LAMBDA (BODY FNS)
046 (ANALYZE (RETURN\VAL CFM)
047 RNL
048 PROGNAME
049 BLOCKFNS
050 FNS
051 (LAMBDA (VAL FNS)
052 (C (LAMBDA CATE
053 (LIST (CONTINUATION\VAR FN))
054 (CONTINUATION\TVARS FN)
055 (CONTINUATION\DEP FN)
056 (LIST VAL)
057 (REMARK-ON (RETURN\CONT CFM))
058 '**ENV**
059 BODY)
060 FNS)))))))))

```

PRODUCE-RETURN and PRODUCE-RETURN-1 together are almost identical to PRODUCE-COMBINATION and PRODUCE-COMBINATION-VARIABLE, except that the division between the two parts is different, and the BLOCKFNS trick is not applicable to RETURN.

PRODUCE-RETURN merely calls ANALYZE on each of the continuation and the value, and calls PRODUCE-RETURN-1.

PRODUCE-RETURN-1 checks to see whether the continuation is a KNOWN-FUNCTION. If so, the environment adjustment is computed, and code is generated in a way similar to previous routines. If not, the standard interface (involving (RETURN NIL)) is used. Notice the check to see if VAL is in fact **\*\*ONE\*\*** (the car of **\*\*ARGUMENT-REGISTERS\*\***); if so, the redundant code (SETQ **\*\*ONE\*\*** **\*\*ONE\*\***) is suppressed.



```

001
002 (DEFINE PRODUCE-RETURN
003 (LAMBDA (CNODE RNL PROGNAME BLOCKFNS CENV FNS C)
004 (LET ((CFM (CNODE\CFORM CNODE)))
005 (ANALYZE (RETURN\VAL CFM)
006 RNL
007 PROGNAME
008 BLOCKFNS
009 FNS
010 (LAMBDA (VAL FNS)
011 (ANALYZE (RETURN\CONT CFM)
012 RNL
013 PROGNAME
014 BLOCKFNS
015 FNS
016 (LAMBDA (CONT FNS)
017 (PRODUCE-RETURN-1
018 CNODE RNL PROGNAME BLOCKFNS
019 CENV FNS C CFM VAL CONT)))))))))
020
021 (DEFINE PRODUCE-RETURN-1
022 (LAMBDA (CNODE RNL PROGNAME BLOCKFNS CENV FNS C CFM VAL CONT)
023 (IF (AND (EQ (TYPE (CNODE\CFORM (RETURN\CONT CFM))) 'CVARIABLE)
024 (GET (CVARIABLE\VAR (CNODE\CFORM (RETURN\CONT CFM)))
025 'KNOWN-FUNCTION))
026 (LET ((KCFM (CNODE\CFORM
027 (GET (CVARIABLE\VAR
028 (CNODE\CFORM (RETURN\CONT CFM)))
029 'KNOWN-FUNCTION))))
030 (OR (EQ (TYPE KCFM) 'CONTINUATION)
031 (ERROR '|Known function not CONTINUATION| CNODE 'FAIL-ACT))
032 (LET ((ENVADJ
033 (ADJUST-KNOWNFN-CENV CENV
034 (CVARIABLE\VAR (CNODE\CFORM (RETURN\CONT CFM)))
035 CONT
036 (CONTINUATION\FNP KCFM)
037 (APPEND
038 (CONTINUATION\CLOSEREFS KCFM)
039 (CONTINUATION\CONSENSV KCFM))))))
040 (C "(PROGN @(IF (EQ (CONTINUATION\FNP KCFM) 'NOCLOSE)
041 (DEPROGNIFY
042 (LAMBDA (LIST (CONTINUATION\VAR KCFM))
043 (CONTINUATION\TVARS KCFM)
044 (CONTINUATION\DEP KCFM)
045 (LIST VAL)
046 (REMARK-ON
047 (GET (CVARIABLE\VAR
048 (CNODE\CFORM (RETURN\CONT CFM)))
049 'KNOWN-FUNCTION))
050 ENVADJ
051 NIL))
052 (PSETQIFY (LIST ENVADJ VAL)
053 (LIST '**ENV**
054 (CAR **ARGUMENT-REGISTERS**))))))
055 (GO ,(CONTINUATION\NAME KCFM)))
056 FNS)))
057 (C "(PROGN (SETQ **FUN** ,CONT)
058 @(IF (NOT (EQ VAL (CAR **ARGUMENT-REGISTERS**)))
059 "((SETQ ,(CAR **ARGUMENT-REGISTERS**)) ,VAL)))
060 (RETURN NIL))
061 FNS))))

```

LAMBACATE generates code for invoking a NOCLOSE KNOWN-FUNCTION. It arranges for the arguments to be evaluated and put in the proper registers, and also performs some optimizations.

VARs is a list of the variables which are to be bound. TVARS is a list of those variables (a subset of VARs) which will actually be passed through registers, as specified by the TVARS slot of the CLAMBDA or CONTINUATION; this is used for a consistency check on the optimizations of LAMBACATE. DEP is the register depth of the function (the DEP slot). ARGS is a list of pieces of MacLISP code which have been generated for the arguments to the function. REM is a comment (usually one generated by REMARK-ON) to be included in the generated code for debugging purposes; this comment typically details the state of the environment and what variables are being passed through registers at this point. ENVADJ is a piece of MacLISP code (usually generated by ADJUST-KNOWNFN-CENV) to whose value **\*\*ENV\*\*** is to be set, to adjust the environment. BODY may be a list of pieces of MacLISP code which constitute the body of the known function, to be executed after the arguments are set up (typically because of a combination like ((LAMBDA ...) ...)), or it may be NIL, implying that the caller of LAMBACATE intends to generate a GO to the code.

LAMBACATE divides ARGS into three classes: (1) arguments which are themselves NOCLOSE KNOWN-FUNCTIONs -- such arguments actually have no actual run-time representation as a MacLISP data object, and so are not passed at all; (2) arguments whose corresponding variables are never referenced -- these are accumulated in EFFARGS, a list of arguments to be evaluated for effect only (presumably the optimizer eliminated those unreferenced arguments which had no side effects); and (3) arguments whose values are needed and are to be passed through the registers -- these are accumulated in REALARGS, and the corresponding variables in REALVARs.

When this loop is done, (the reverse of) REALVARs should equal TVARS, for it is the set of actually passed arguments.

The generated code first evaluates all the EFFARGS (if any), then sets all the proper registers to the REALARGS (this code is generated by PSETQ-TEMPS), then (after the remark REM) executed the BODY (which, if NIL, is empty).

For example, consider generating code for:

```
((LAMBDA (F A B) ... (F A) ...)
 (LAMBDA (X) ...)
 (CONS X Y)
 (PRINT Z))
```

where F denotes a NOCLOSE KNOWN-FUNCTION, and B is never referred to. Then the call to LAMBACATE might look like this:

```

001
002   ;; HANDLE CASE OF INVOKING A KNOWN NOCLOSE FUNCTION OR CONTINUATION.
003   ;; FOR AN EXPLICIT ((LAMBDA ... BODY) ...), BODY IS THE BODY.
004   ;; OTHERWISE, IT IS NIL, AND SOMEONE WILL DO AN APPROPRIATE GO LATER.
005
006 (DEFINE LAMBDA CATE
007   (LAMBDA (VARS TVARS DEP ARGS REM ENVADJ BODY)
008     (LABELS ((LOOP
009               (LAMBDA (V A REALVARS REALARGS EFFARGS)
010                 ;; REALVARS IS COMPUTED PURELY FOR ERROR-CHECKING
011                 (IF (NULL A)
012                     (LET ((B "(PROGN @(PSETQ-TEMPS (NREVERSE REALARGS) DEP ENVADJ)
013                           ,REM
014                           @(DEPROGNIFY BODY)))
015                       (RV (NREVERSE REALVARS)))
016                       (IF (NOT (EQUAL RV TVARS))
017                           (ERROR '|TVARS screwup in LAMBDA CATE|
018                               "((VARS = ,VARS)
019                               (TVARS = ,TVARS)
020                               (REALVARS = ,RV))
021                               'FAIL-ACT))
022                       (IF EFFARGS
023                           "(PROGN @EFFARGS @(DEPROGNIFY B))
024                           B))
025                       (COND ((LET ((KFN (GET (CAR V) 'KNOWN-FUNCTION)))
026                               (AND KFN
027                                   (EQ (EQCASE (TYPE (CNODE\CFORM KFN))
028                                               (CLAMBDA
029                                                 (CLAMBDA\FNP
030                                                 (CNODE\CFORM KFN)))
031                                       (CONTINUATION
032                                         (CONTINUATION\FNP
033                                           (CNODE\CFORM KFN))))
034                                       'NOCLOSE)))
035                               (LOOP (CDR V) (CDR A) REALVARS REALARGS EFFARGS))
036                               ((OR (GET (CAR V) 'READ-REFS)
037                                   (GET (CAR V) 'WRITE-REFS))
038                                   (LOOP (CDR V)
039                                       (CDR A)
040                                       (CONS (CAR V) REALVARS)
041                                       (CONS (CAR A) REALARGS)
042                                       EFFARGS))
043                               (T (LOOP (CDR V)
044                                       (CDR A)
045                                       REALVARS
046                                       REALARGS
047                                       (CONS (CAR A) EFFARGS))))))))))
048   (LOOP VARS ARGS NIL NIL NIL)))

```

```
(LAMBDA CATE '(F A B)
  '(A)
  12
  '(<illegal> (CONS X43 Y69) (PRINT Z91))
  <remark>
  **ENV**
  <body>)
```

where <illegal> is an object that should never be looked at (see ANALYZE-CLAMBDA); X43, Y69, and Z91 are pieces of code which refer to the variables X, Y, and Z; <remark> is some remark; the environment adjustment is assumed to be trivial; and <body> is the code for the body of the LAMBDA. The generated code would look something like this:

```
(PROGN (PRINT Z91)
  (SETQ -12- (CONS X43 Y69))
  <remark>
  <body>)
```

Notice that LAMBDA CATE explicitly takes advantage of the fact that the execution of arguments for a combination may be arbitrarily reordered.

The various PSETQ... routines generate code to perform Parallel SETQs, i.e. the simultaneous assignment of several values to several values. The parallel nature is important, because some of the values may refer to other registers being assigned to, and a sequential series of assignments might not work.

The main routine here is PSETQIFY, which takes a list of arguments (pieces of MacLISP code which will generate values when executed at run-time) and a list of corresponding registers. One of two different methods is used depending on the number of values involved. Method 2 produces better code (this is obvious only when one understands the properties of the MacLISP compiler which will compile the MacLISP code into PDP-10 machine language). Unfortunately, it happened that when RABBIT was written there was a bug in the MacLISP compiler such that it often found itself unable to compile the code generated by Method 2. Moreover, the primary maintainer of the MacLISP compiler was on leave for a year. For this reason Method 3 was invented, which always works, but is considerably more expensive in terms of the PDP-10 code produced. (I concerned myself with this low level of detail only for this routine, because the code it produces is central to the whole code generator, and so its efficiency is of the greatest importance.) In order to achieve the best code, I determined empirically that Method 2 never failed as long as fewer than five values were involved. I might also add that a Method 1 was once used, which happened to provoke a different bug in the MacLISP compiler; Method 2 was invented in an attempt to circumvent that first bug! Now that the maintainer of the MacLISP compiler (Jon L White) has returned, it may soon be possible to remove Method 3 from RABBIT; but I think this story serves as an excellent example of pragmatic engineering to get around immediate obstacles (also known as a "kludge").

```

001
002   ::: GENERATE PARALLEL SETQ'ING OF REGISTERS TO ARGS.
003   ::: RETURNS A LIST OF THINGS; ONE WRITES @(PSETQIFY ...) WITHIN ".
004
005 (DEFINE PSETQIFY
006   (LAMBDA (ARGS REGISTERS)
007     (IF (< (LENGTH ARGS) 5)
008       (PSETQIFY-METHOD-2 ARGS REGISTERS)
009       (PSETQIFY-METHOD-3 ARGS REGISTERS))))
010
011
012 (DEFINE PSETQIFY-METHOD-2
013   (LAMBDA (ARGS REGISTERS)
014     (LABELS ((PSETQ1
015               (LAMBDA (A REGS QVARS SETQS USED)
016                 (IF (NULL A)
017                     (IF (NULL SETQS)
018                         NIL
019                         (IF (NULL (CDR SETQS))
020                             "((SETQ ,(CADAR SETQS) ,(CAR USED)))
021                             ;;IMPORTANT: DO NOT NREVERSE THE SETQS!
022                             ;;MAKES MACLISP COMPILER WIN BETTER.
023                             "(((LAMBDA ,(NREVERSE QVARS) @SETQS)
024                                 @(NREVERSE USED))))))
025                 (IF (EQ (CAR A) (CAR REGS)) ;AVOID USELESS SETQ'S
026                     (PSETQ1 (CDR A)
027                             (CDR REGS)
028                             QVARS
029                             SETQS
030                             USED)
031                     ((LAMBDA (QV)
032                       (PSETQ1 (CDR A)
033                             (CDR REGS)
034                             (CONS QV QVARS)
035                             (CONS "(SETQ ,(CAR REGS) ,QV) SETQS)
036                             (CONS (CAR A) USED))))
037                       (GENTEMP 'Q))))))
038   (PSETQ1 ARGS REGISTERS NIL NIL NIL)))

```

Method 2 essentially uses local MacLISP LAMBDA variables to temporarily name the values before assignment to the registers, while Method 3 uses global variables. (Method 2 produces better code because the MacLISP compiler can allocate the local variables on a stack, one by one, and then pop them off in reverse order into the "registers".) Both methods perform two peephole optimizations: (1) If a value-register pair calls for setting the register to its own contents, that SETQ is eliminated. (2) If this elimination reduces the number of SETQs to zero or one, then NIL or a single SETQ is produced, rather than the more complicated and general piece of code.

As examples, (PSETQIFY '(-12- -12- (CDR -13-)) '(-11- -12- -13-)) would produce:

```
((LAMBDA (Q-43 Q-44)
  (SETQ -13- Q-44)
  (SETQ -11- Q-43))
-12-
(CDR -13-))
```

(note that (SETQ -12- -12-) was eliminated), and

```
(PSETQIFY '(-23- -21- -24- -25- -22-) '(-21- -22- -23- -24- -25-))
```

would produce:

```
(PROG () (DECLARE (SPECIAL -21--TEMP -22--TEMP -23--TEMP -24--TEMP -25--TEMP)
  (SETQ -25--TEMP -22-)
  (SETQ -24--TEMP -25-)
  (SETQ -23--TEMP -24-)
  (SETQ -22--TEMP -21-)
  (SETQ -21--TEMP -23-)
  (SETQ -25- -25--TEMP)
  (SETQ -24- -24--TEMP)
  (SETQ -23- -23--TEMP)
  (SETQ -22- -22--TEMP)
  (SETQ -21- -21--TEMP))
```

The only reason for using PROG is so that the DECLARE form could be included for the benefit of the MacLISP compiler.

The examples here are slightly incorrect; PSETQIFY actually produces a list of MacLISP forms, so that when no SETQs are produced the resulting NIL is interpreted as no code at all.

In principle the elimination of redundant SETQs should be performed before choosing which method to use, so that there will be a maximal chance of using the more efficient Method 2. I chose not to only so that the two methods would remain distinct pieces of code and thus easily replaceable.

```

001
002 (DEFINE PSETQIFY-METHOD-3
003     (LAMBDA (ARGS REGISTERS)
004         (LABELS ((PSETQ1
005                 (LAMBDA (A REGS QVARS SETQS USED)
006                     (IF (NULL A)
007                         (IF (NULL SETQS)
008                             NIL
009                             (IF (NULL (CDR SETQS))
010                                 "((SETQ ,(CADAR SETQS) ,(CADDR (CAR USED))))
011                                 "((PROG () (DECLARE (SPECIAL @QVARS)) @USED @SETQS) )))
012                     (IF (EQ (CAR A) (CAR REGS)) ;AVOID USELESS SETQ'S
013                         (PSETQ1 (CDR A)
014                             (CDR REGS)
015                             QVARS
016                             SETQS
017                             USED)
018                         ((LAMBDA (QV)
019                             (PSETQ1 (CDR A)
020                                 (CDR REGS)
021                                 (CONS QV QVARS)
022                                 (CONS "(SETQ ,(CAR REGS) ,QV) SETQS)
023                                 (CONS "(SETQ ,QV ,(CAR A)) USED)))
024                             (CATENATE (CAR REGS) '|-TEMP|))))))
025 (PSETQ1 ARGS REGISTERS NIL NIL NIL)))

```

PSETQ-ARGS is a handy routine which calls PSETQ-ARGS-ENV with an ENVADJ of **\*\*ENV\*\***, knowing that later the redundant "(SETQ **\*\*ENV\*\*** **\*\*ENV\*\***)" will be eliminated.

PSETQ-ARGS-ENV takes a set of arguments and an environment adjustment, and arranges to call PSETQIFY so as to set up the standard argument registers. Recall that how this is done depends on whether the number of arguments exceeds **\*\*NUMBER-OF-ARG-REGS\*\***; if it does, then a list of the arguments (except the continuation) is passed in **\*\*ONE\*\***. **\*\*ENV+CONT+ARG-REGS\*\*** is the same as **\*\*ARGUMENT-REGISTERS\*\***, except that both the names **\*\*ENV\*\*** and **\*\*CONT\*\*** are adjoined to the front. It can be quite critical that **\*\*ENV\*\*** and the argument registers be assigned to in parallel, because the computation of the argument values may well refer to variables in the environment, whereas the environment adjustment may be taken from a closure residing in one of the argument registers.

PSETQ-TEMPS is similar to PSETQ-ARGS-ENV, but is used on registers other than the standard argument-passing registers. It takes ARGS and ENVADJ as before, but also a depth DEP which is the number of the first register to be assigned to. TEMPLOC is used to generate the register names, then **\*\*ENV\*\*** is tacked on and PSETQIFY does the real work.

MAPANALYZE is a simple loop which maps over a list of cnode-trees and calls ANALYZE on each. A list of the results returned by ANALYZE is given to C. Also, FNS is chained through the calls to ANALYZE, so that all functions to be compiled later will have been accumulated properly.



```

001
002 (DEFINE PSETQ-ARGS
003     (LAMBDA (ARGS)
004         (PSETQ-ARGS-ENV ARGS '**ENV**)))
005
006 (DEFINE PSETQ-ARGS-ENV
007     (LAMBDA (ARGS ENVADJ)
008         (IF (> (LENGTH ARGS) (+ **NUMBER-OF-ARG-REGS** 1))
009             (PSETQIFY (LIST ENVADJ (CAR ARGS) (CONS 'LIST (CDR ARGS)))
010                 **ENV+CONT+ARG-REGS**)
011             (PSETQIFY (CONS ENVADJ ARGS) **ENV+CONT+ARG-REGS**)))
012
013 (DEFINE PSETQ-TEMPS
014     (LAMBDA (ARGS DEP ENVADJ)
015         (DO ((A ARGS (CDR A))
016             (J DEP (+ J 1))
017             (R NIL (CONS (TEMPLOC J) R)))
018             ((NULL A)
019              (PSETQIFY (CONS ENVADJ ARGS)
020                  (CONS '**ENV** (NREVERSE R))))))
021
022
023 (DEFINE MAPANALYZE
024     (LAMBDA (FLIST RNL PROGNAME BLOCKFNS FNS C)
025         (LABELS ((LOOP
026                 (LAMBDA (F Z FNS)
027                     (IF (NULL F)
028                         (C (NREVERSE Z) FNS)
029                         (ANALYZE (CAR F)
030                             RNL
031                             PROGNAME
032                             BLOCKFNS
033                             FNS
034                             (LAMBDA (STUFF FNS)
035                                 (LOOP (CDR F)
036                                     (CONS STUFF Z)
037                                     FNS)))))))
038             (LOOP FLIST NIL FNS))))

```

ANALYZE is the routine called to compile a piece of code which is expected to produce a value. ANALYZE itself is primarily a dispatch to specialists. For the "simple" case of a "trivial" form, TRIVIALIZE is used to generate the code. For the simple case of a CVARIABLE, ANALYZE simply uses LOOKUPICATE to get the code for the variable reference.

ANALYZE-CLAMBDA has three cases based on FNP. For type NIL, code is generated to create a full closure of the form (CBETA <value of proname> <tag> . <environment>). CONS-CLOSEREFS generates the code to add the CLOSEREFS to the existing consed environment for making this closure. For type EZCLOSE, just the environment part is created, again using CONS-CLOSEREFS. For type NOCLOSE, the generated "code" should never be referenced at all -- it is not even passed as an argument as such -- and so a little message to the debugger is returned as the "code", which of course must not appear in the final code for the module. For all three cases, the code for the function is added to the FNS list for later compilation.

ANALYZE-CONTINUATION is essentially identical to ANALYZE-CLAMBDA.

```

001
002 (DEFINE ANALYZE
003   (LAMBDA (CNODE RNL PROGNAME BLOCKFNS FNS C)
004     (LET ((CFM (CNODE\CFORM CNODE)))
005       (EQCASE (TYPE CFM)
006         (TRIVIAL
007           (C (TRIVIALIZE (TRIVIAL\NODE CFM) RNL) FNS))
008         (CVARIABLE
009           (C (LOOKUPICATE (CVARIABLE\VAR CFM) RNL) FNS))
010         (CLAMBDA
011           (ANALYZE-CLAMBDA CNODE RNL PROGNAME BLOCKFNS FNS C CFM))
012         (CONTINUATION
013           (ANALYZE-CONTINUATION CNODE RNL PROGNAME BLOCKFNS FNS C CFM))
014         (CIF
015           (ANALYZE-CIF CNODE RNL PROGNAME BLOCKFNS FNS C CFM))
016         (CLABELS
017           (ANALYZE-CLABELS CNODE RNL PROGNAME BLOCKFNS FNS C CFM))
018         (CCOMBINATION
019           (ANALYZE-CCOMBINATION CNODE RNL PROGNAME BLOCKFNS FNS C CFM))
020         (RETURN
021           (ANALYZE-RETURN CNODE RNL PROGNAME BLOCKFNS FNS C CFM))))))
022
023 (DEFINE ANALYZE-CLAMBDA
024   (LAMBDA (CNODE RNL PROGNAME BLOCKFNS FNS C CFM)
025     (EQCASE (CLAMBDA\FNP CFM)
026       (NIL
027         (C "(CONS 'CBETA
028           (CONS ,PROGNAME
029             (CONS ',(CLAMBDA\NAME CFM)
030               ,(CONS-CLOSEREF (CLAMBDA\CLOSEREF CFM)
031                 RNL))))))
032         (CONS (LIST PROGNAME CNODE NIL) FNS)))
033     (EZCLOSE
034       (C (CONS-CLOSEREF (CLAMBDA\CLOSEREF CFM) RNL)
035         (CONS (LIST PROGNAME CNODE NIL) FNS)))
036     (NOCLOSE
037       (C '|Shouldn't ever be seen - NOCLOSE CLAMBDA|
038         (CONS (LIST PROGNAME CNODE RNL) FNS))))))
039
040 (DEFINE ANALYZE-CONTINUATION
041   (LAMBDA (CNODE RNL PROGNAME BLOCKFNS FNS C CFM)
042     (EQCASE (CONTINUATION\FNP CFM)
043       (NIL
044         (C "(CONS 'CBETA
045           (CONS ,PROGNAME
046             (CONS ',(CONTINUATION\NAME CFM)
047               ,(CONS-CLOSEREF (CONTINUATION\CLOSEREF CFM)
048                 RNL))))))
049         (CONS (LIST PROGNAME CNODE NIL) FNS)))
050     (EZCLOSE
051       (C (CONS-CLOSEREF (CONTINUATION\CLOSEREF CFM) RNL)
052         (CONS (LIST PROGNAME CNODE NIL) FNS)))
053     (NOCLOSE
054       (C '|Shouldn't ever be seen - NOCLOSE CONTINUATION|
055         (CONS (LIST PROGNAME CNODE RNL) FNS))))))

```

ANALYZE-CIF merely calls ANALYZE recursively on the predicate, consequent, and alternative, and then uses CONDICATE to construct a MacLISP COND form.

ANALYZE-CLABELS calls ANALYZE recursively on the body of the CLABELS, and then calls PRODUCE-LABELS to do the rest. (Unlike the other PRODUCE- functions, PRODUCE-LABELS does not depend on generating code which does not produce a value. It accepts an already-compiled body, and builds around that the framework for constructing the mutually referent functions. If the body was compiled using COMP-BODY, then the code generated by PRODUCE-LABELS will produce no value; but if the body was compiled using ANALYZE, then it will produce a value.)

```

001
002 (DEFINE ANALYZE-CIF
003     (LAMBDA (CNODE RNL PROGNAME BLOCKFNS FNS C CFM)
004         (ANALYZE (CIF\PRED CFM)
005             RNL
006             PROGNAME
007             BLOCKFNS
008             FNS
009             (LAMBDA (PRED FNS)
010                 (ANALYZE (CIF\CON CFM)
011                     RNL
012                     PROGNAME
013                     BLOCKFNS
014                     FNS
015                     (LAMBDA (CON FNS)
016                         (ANALYZE (CIF\ALT CFM)
017                             RNL
018                             PROGNAME
019                             BLOCKFNS
020                             FNS
021                             (LAMBDA (ALT FNS)
022                                 (C (CONDICATE PRED CON ALT)
023                                     FNS))))))))))
024
025 (DEFINE ANALYZE-CLABELS
026     (LAMBDA (CNODE RNL PROGNAME BLOCKFNS FNS C CFM)
027         (ANALYZE (CLABELS\BODY CFM)
028             (ENVCARCDR (APPEND (CLABELS\FNENV CFM)
029                             (CLABELS\CONSENV CFM))
030                 RNL)
031             PROGNAME
032             BLOCKFNS
033             FNS
034             (LAMBDA (LBOD FNS)
035                 (PRODUCE-LABELS CNODE LBOD RNL PROGNAME BLOCKFNS FNS C))))))

```

ANALYZE-COMBINATION requires the function to be a CLAMBDA (for if it were not, then something too complicated for continuation-passing style is going on). ANALYZE is called on the body of the CLAMBDA, and then on all the arguments (using MAPANALYZE); finally LAMBDATE is used to generate the code. (LAMBDATE is much like PRODUCE-LABELS, in that it is handed a body, and whether the generated code produces a value depends only on whether the body does.)

ANALYZE-RETURN is essentially just like ANALYZE-COMBINATION.

```

001
002 (DEFINE
003 ANALYZE-COMBINATION
004 (LAMBDA (CNODE RNL PROGNAME BLOCKFNS FNS C CFM)
005 (LET ((FN (CNODE\CFORM (CAR (CCOMBINATION\ARGS CFM))))))
006 (IF (EQ (TYPE FN) 'CLAMBDA)
007 (ANALYZE (CLAMBDA\BODY FN)
008 (ENVCARCDR (CLAMBDA\ASETVARS FN)
009 (REGSLIST FN T (ENVCARCDR (CLAMBDA\CONSENV FN) RNL))))
010 PROGNAME
011 BLOCKFNS
012 FNS
013 (LAMBDA (BODY FNS)
014 (MAPANALYZE
015 (CDR (CCOMBINATION\ARGS CFM))
016 RNL
017 PROGNAME
018 BLOCKFNS
019 FNS
020 (LAMBDA (ARGS FNS)
021 (C (LAMBDA CATE (CLAMBDA\VARS FN)
022 (CLAMBDA\TVARS FN)
023 (CLAMBDA\DEP FN)
024 ARGS
025 (REMARK-ON (CAR (CCOMBINATION\ARGS CFM)))
026 '**ENV**
027 (SET-UP-ASETVARS BODY
028 (CLAMBDA\ASETVARS FN)
029 (REGSLIST FN NIL NIL)))
030 FNS))))))
031 (ERROR '|Non-trivial Function in ANALYZE-COMBINATION| CNODE 'FAIL-ACT))))))
032
033 (DEFINE ANALYZE-RETURN
034 (LAMBDA (CNODE RNL PROGNAME BLOCKFNS FNS C CFM)
035 (LET ((FN (CNODE\CFORM (RETURN\CONT CFM))))
036 (IF (EQ (TYPE FN) 'CONTINUATION)
037 (ANALYZE (CONTINUATION\BODY FN)
038 (IF (CONTINUATION\TVARS FN)
039 (CONS (CONS (CAR (CONTINUATION\TVARS FN))
040 (TEMPLOC (CONTINUATION\DEP FN)))
041 (ENVCARCDR (CONTINUATION\CONSENV FN) RNL))
042 (ENVCARCDR (CONTINUATION\CONSENV FN) RNL))
043 PROGNAME
044 BLOCKFNS
045 FNS
046 (LAMBDA (BODY FNS)
047 (ANALYZE (RETURN\VAL CFM)
048 RNL
049 PROGNAME
050 BLOCKFNS
051 FNS
052 (LAMBDA (ARG FNS)
053 (C (LAMBDA CATE
054 (LIST (CONTINUATION\VAR FN))
055 (CONTINUATION\TVARS FN)
056 (CONTINUATION\DEP FN)
057 (LIST ARG)
058 (REMARK-ON (RETURN\CONT CFM))
059 '**ENV**
060 BODY)
061 FNS))))))
062 (ERROR '|Non-trivial Function in ANALYZE-RETURN| CNODE 'FAIL-ACT))))))

```

LOOKUPICATE (I make no apology for the choice of the name of this or any other function; suffice it to say that a function named LOOKUP already existed in the SCHEME interpreter) takes a variable name VAR and a rename list RNL, and returns a piece of MacLISP code for referring to that variable. If an entry is in RNL for the variable, that entry contains the desired code. Otherwise a global variable reference must be constructed. This will simply be a reference to the MacLISP variable, unless it is the name of a TRIVFN. In this case a GETL form is constructed. (This is a big kludge which does not always work, and is done this way as a result of a rather unclean hack in the SCHEME interpreter which interfaces MacLISP functions with SCHEME functions.)

CONS-CLOSEREFS constructs a piece of MacLISP code which will cons onto the value of **\*\*ENV\*\*** all the variables in the set CLOSEREFS. This is a simple loop which uses LOOKUPICATE to generate code, and constructs a chain of calls to CONS. For example, (CONS-CLOSEREFS '(A B C) NIL) would produce:

```
(CONS A (CONS B (CONS C **ENV**)))
```

Notice the use of REVERSE to preserve an order assumed by other routines.

OUTPUT-ASET takes two pieces of code: VARREF, which refers to a variable, and BODY, which produces a value to be assigned to the variable. From the form of VARREF a means of assigning to the variable is deduced. (This implies that OUTPUT-ASET knows about all forms of code which might possibly be returned by LOOKUPICATE and, a fortiori, which might appear in a RNL.) For example, if the reference is (CADR (CDDDDR **\*\*ENV\*\***)), OUTPUT-ASET would generate (RPLACA (CDR (CDDDDR **\*\*ENV\*\***)) <body>).



```
001
002 (DEFINE LOOKUPICATE
003   (LAMBDA (VAR RNL)
004     ((LAMBDA (SLOT)
005       (IF SLOT (CDR SLOT)
006         (IF (TRIVFN VAR)
007           "(GETL ' ,VAR '(EXPR SUBR LSUBR))
008             VAR)))
009       (ASSQ VAR RNL))))
010
011 (DEFINE CONS-CLOSEREFS
012   (LAMBDA (CLOSEREFS RNL)
013     (DO ((CR (REVERSE CLOSEREFS) (CDR CR))
014         (X '**ENV** "(CONS ,(LOOKUPICATE (CAR CR) RNL) ,X)))
015       ((NULL CR) X))))
016
017 (DEFINE OUTPUT-ASET
018   (LAMBDA (VARREF BODY)
019     (COND ((ATOM VARREF)
020           "(SETQ ,VARREF ,BODY))
021           ((EQ (CAR VARREF) 'CAR)
022            "(CAR (RPLACA ,(CADR VARREF) ,BODY)))
023           ((EQ (CAR VARREF) 'CADR)
024            "(CAR (RPLACA (CDR ,(CADR VARREF)) ,BODY)))
025           ((EQ (CAR VARREF) 'CADDR)
026            "(CAR (RPLACA (CDDR ,(CADR VARREF)) ,BODY)))
027           ((EQ (CAR VARREF) 'CADDR)
028            "(CAR (RPLACA (CADDR ,(CADR VARREF)) ,BODY)))
029           (T (ERROR '|Unknown ASET discipline - OUTPUT-ASET| VARREF 'FAIL-ACT))))))
```

CONDICATE takes the three components of an IF conditional, and constructs a MacLISP COND form. It also performs a simple peephole optimization:

```
(COND (a b)
      (T (COND (c d) ...)))
```

becomes:

```
(COND (a b) (c d) ...)
```

Also, DEPROGNIFY is used to take advantage of the fact that MacLISP COND clauses are implicitly PROGN forms. Thus:

```
(CONDICATE '(NULL X) '(PROGN (PRINT X) Y) '(COND ((NULL Y) X) (T FOO)))
```

would produce:

```
(COND ((NULL X) (PRINT X) Y) ((NULL Y) X) (T FOO))
```

DECARCDRATE is a peephole optimizer which attempts to collapse CAR/CDR chains in a piece of MacLISP code to make it more readable. For example:

```
(CAR (CDR (CDR (CAR (CDR (CAR (CDR (CDR (CDR (CDR X))))))))))
```

would become:

```
(CADDR (CADR (CADDR (CDR X))))
```

The arbitrary heuristic is that "A" should appear only initially in a "C...R" composition. DECARCDRATE also knows that MacLISP ordinarily has defined CAR/CDR compositions up to four long.

```

001
002   ;;; CONDICATE TURNS AN IF INTO A COND; IN SO DOING IT TRIES TO MAKE THE RESULT PRETTY.
003
004 (DEFINE CONDICATE
005     (LAMBDA (PRED CON ALT)
006       (IF (OR (ATOM ALT) (NOT (EQ (CAR ALT) 'COND)))
007         "(COND (.PRED @(DEPROGNIFY CON))
008           (T @(DEPROGNIFY ALT)))
009         "(COND (.PRED @(DEPROGNIFY CON))
010           @(CDR ALT))))))
011
012
013   ;;; DECARCDRATE MAKES CAR-CDR CHAINS PRETTIER.
014
015 (DEFINE DECARCDRATE
016   (LAMBDA (X)
017     (COND ((ATOM X) X)
018           ((EQ (CAR X) 'CAR)
019            (IF (ATOM (CADR X))
020                X
021                (LET ((Y (DECARCDRATE (CADR X))))
022                    (COND ((EQ (CAR Y) 'CAR) "(CAAR ,(CADR Y))
023                          ((EQ (CAR Y) 'CDR) "(CADR ,(CADR Y))
024                          ((EQ (CAR Y) 'CDDR) "(CADDR ,(CADR Y))
025                          ((EQ (CAR Y) 'CDDDR) "(CADDRR ,(CADR Y))
026                          (T "(CAR ,Y))))))
027           ((EQ (CAR X) 'CDR)
028            (IF (ATOM (CADR X))
029                X
030                (LET ((Y (DECARCDRATE (CADR X))))
031                    (COND ((EQ (CAR Y) 'CDR) "(CDDR ,(CADR Y))
032                          ((EQ (CAR Y) 'CDDR) "(CDDDR ,(CADR Y))
033                          ((EQ (CAR Y) 'CDDDR) "(CDDDDR ,(CADR Y))
034                          (T "(CDR ,Y))))))
035           (T X))))))

```

TRIVIALIZE is the version of ANALYZE which handles trivial forms. Recall that these are represented as pass-1 node-trees rather than as pass-2 cnode-trees. The task of TRIVIALIZE is to take such a node-tree and generate value-producing code. Recall that the subforms of a trivial form must themselves be trivial.

For a CONSTANT, a quoted copy of the value of the constant is generated.

For a VARIABLE, a reference to the variable is generated using LOOKUPICATE.

For an IF, the components are recursively given to TRIVIALIZE and then CONDICATE is used to generate a MacLISP COND form.

For an ASET, a reference to the ASET variable is generated using LOOKUPICATE, and code for the body is generated by calling TRIVIALIZE recursively; then OUTPUT-ASET generates the code for the ASET.

For a COMBINATION, the function must be either a TRIVFN or a LAMBDA-expression. For the former, a simple MacLISP function call is generated, after generating code for all the arguments. For the latter, TRIV-LAMBACATE is invoked after generating code for the arguments and the LAMBDA body.

TRIV-LAMBACATE is, so to speak, a trivial version of LAMBACATE. The arguments are divided into two classes, those which are referenced and those which are not (the possibility of a referenced argument which is a KNOWN-FUNCTION cannot arise). When this is done, a MacLISP ((LAMBDA ...) ...) form is generated, preceded by any unreferenced arguments (which presumably have side-effects). For example:

```
(TRIV-LAMBACATE '(V1 V2 V3)
                '((CAR X) (PRINT Y) (CDR Z))
                '(PROGN (PRINT V1) (LIST V1 V3)))
```

ought to produce:

```
(PROGN (PRINT Y)
        ((LAMBDA (V1 V3)
          (COMMENT (VARS = (A C)))
          (PRINT V1)
          (LIST V1 V3))
         (CAR X)
         (CDR Z)))
```

Note that a MacLISP LAMBDA body is an implicit PROGN. TRIV-LAMBACATE also takes advantage of the ability to arbitrarily reorder the execution of arguments to a combination.

```

001
002 (DEFINE TRIVIALIZE
003     (LAMBDA (NODE RNL)
004         (LET ((FM (NODE\FORM NODE)))
005             (EQCASE (TYPE FM)
006                 (CONSTANT "',(CONSTANT\VALUE FM))
007                 (VARIABLE (LOOKUPICATE (VARIABLE\VAR FM) RNL))
008                 (IF (CONDICATE (TRIVIALIZE (IF\PRED FM) RNL)
009                             (TRIVIALIZE (IF\CON FM) RNL)
010                             (TRIVIALIZE (IF\ALT FM) RNL)))
011             (ASET
012                 (OUTPUT-ASET (LOOKUPICATE (ASET\VAR FM) RNL)
013                             (TRIVIALIZE (ASET\BODY FM) RNL)))
014             (COMBINATION
015                 (LET ((ARGS (COMBINATION\ARGS FM)))
016                     (LET ((FN (NODE\FORM (CAR ARGS)))
017                         (IF (AND (EQ (TYPE FN) 'VARIABLE)
018                             (VARIABLE\GLOBALP FN)
019                             (TRIVFN (VARIABLE\VAR FN)))
020                             (CONS (VARIABLE\VAR FN)
021                                 (AMAPCAR (LAMBDA (A) (TRIVIALIZE A RNL))
022                                     (CDR ARGS))))
023                         (IF (EQ (TYPE FN) 'LAMBDA)
024                             (TRIV-LAMBDCATE
025                                 (LAMBDA\VARS FN)
026                                 (AMAPCAR (LAMBDA (A) (TRIVIALIZE A RNL))
027                                     (CDR ARGS))
028                                 (TRIVIALIZE (LAMBDA\BODY FN) RNL))
029                             (ERROR '|Strange Trivial Function - TRIVIALIZE|
030                                 NODE
031                                 'FAIL-ACT))))))))))
032
033 (DEFINE TRIV-LAMBDCATE
034     (LAMBDA (VARS ARGS BODY)
035         (LABELS ((LOOP
036                 (LAMBDA (V A REALVARS REALARGS EFFARGS)
037                     (IF (NULL A)
038                         (LET ((RV (NREVERSE REALVARS))
039                             (OR (NULL V)
040                                 (ERROR '|We blew it in TRIV-LAMBDCATE| V 'FAIL-ACT)))
041                             (LET ((B (IF RV
042                                     *((LAMBDA ,RV
043                                         (COMMENT
044                                             (VARS = ,(MAP-USER-NAMES RV)))
045                                             @(DEPROGNIFY BODY))
046                                         @(NREVERSE REALARGS))
047                                     BODY)))
048                                 (IF EFFARGS
049                                     *(PROGN @EFFARGS @(DEPROGNIFY B))
050                                     B)))
051                             (IF (OR (GET (CAR V) 'READ-REFS)
052                                     (GET (CAR V) 'WRITE-REFS))
053                                 (LOOP (CDR V)
054                                     (CDR A)
055                                     (CONS (CAR V) REALVARS)
056                                     (CONS (CAR A) REALARGS)
057                                     EFFARGS)
058                                 (LOOP (CDR V)
059                                     (CDR A)
060                                     REALVARS
061                                     REALARGS
062                                     (CONS (CAR A) EFFARGS))))))))
063         (LOOP VARS ARGS NIL NIL NIL)))

```

We have examined the entire code generator, and now turn to high-level control routines. COMPILATE-ONE-FUNCTION is the highest-level entry to the code generator, called by COMPILE. It takes a code-tree and the user-name for the function, and returns a complete piece of MacLISP code constituting a module for the user function. It generates a global name for use as the module name (PROGNAME), and invokes COMPILATE-LOOP (which really ought to have been a LABELS function, but was too big to fit on the paper that way). The last argument is a list of two MacLISP forms; one causes a SCHEME compiled closure form (a CBETA list) to be put in the value cell of the user-name, so that it will be a globally defined SCHEME function, and the other creates a property linking the PROGNAME with the USERNAME for debugging purposes.

COMPILATE-LOOP repeatedly calls COMPILATE, giving it the next function on the FNS list. Of course, the invocation of COMPILATE may cause new entries to appear on the FNS list. COMPILATE-LOOP iterates until the FNS list converges to emptiness. As it does so it takes each piece of generated code and strings it together as PROGBODY. It also calculates in TMAX the maximum over all MAXDEP slots; this is the only place where the MAXDEP slot is ever used.

When FNS is exhausted, a module is constructed. This contains a comment, a MacLISP DEFUN form for defining a MacLISP function, a SETQ form to put the SUBR pointer in the value cell of the PROGNAME (for the benefit of code which creates CBETA forms), and extra "stuff". TMAX is used to generate a list of all temporary variables used in the module; a MacLISP SPECIAL declaration is created to advise the MacLISP compiler.

USED-TEMPLOCS takes a TMAX value and generates the names of all temporary registers (whose names are of the form -nn-; standard argument registers are not included) up to that number.

```

001
002 (DEFINE COMPILATE-ONE-FUNCTION
003     (LAMBDA (CNODE USERNAME)
004         (LET ((PROGNAME (GEN-GLOBAL-NAME)))
005             (COMPILATE-LOOP USERNAME
006                 PROGNAME
007                 (LIST (LIST USERNAME CNODE))
008                 (LIST (LIST PROGNAME CNODE NIL))
009                 NIL
010                 0
011                 (LIST "(SETQ ,USERNAME
012                     (LIST 'CBETA
013                         ,PROGNAME
014                         ',(CLAMBDA\NAME (CNODE\CFORM CNODE))))
015                 "(DEFPROP ,PROGNAME ,USERNAME USER-FUNCTION))))))
016
017 (DEFINE COMPILATE-LOOP
018     (LAMBDA (USERNAME PROGNAME BLOCKFNS FNS PROGBODY TMAX STUFF)
019         (IF (NULL FNS)
020             "(PROGN 'COMPILE
021                 (COMMENT MODULE FOR FUNCTION ,USERNAME)
022                 (DEFUN ,PROGNAME ()
023                     (PROG ()
024                         (DECLARE (SPECIAL ,PROGNAME @(USED-TEMPLOCS TMAX)))
025                         (GO (PROG2 NIL
026                             (CAR **ENV**)
027                             (SETQ **ENV** (CDR **ENV**))))
028                         @(NREVERSE PROGBODY)))
029                 (SETQ ,PROGNAME (GET ',PROGNAME 'SUBR))
030                 @STUFF)
031             (COMPILATE (CAR (CAR FNS))
032                 (CADR (CAR FNS))
033                 (CADDR (CAR FNS))
034                 BLOCKFNS
035                 (CDR FNS)
036                 (LAMBDA (CODE NEWFNS)
037                     (LET ((CFM (CNODE\CFORM (CADR (CAR FNS))))
038                         (COMPILATE-LOOP
039                             USERNAME
040                             PROGNAME
041                             BLOCKFNS
042                             NEWFNS
043                             (NCONC (REVERSE (DEPROGNIFY1 CODE T))
044                                 (CONS (REMARK-ON (CADR (CAR FNS)))
045                                     (CONS (EQCASE (TYPE CFM)
046                                         (CLAMBDA
047                                             (CLAMBDA\NAME CFM))
048                                             (CONTINUATION
049                                                 (CONTINUATION\NAME CFM))))
050                                     PROGBODY)))
051                             (MAX TMAX
052                                 (EQCASE (TYPE CFM)
053                                     (CLAMBDA
054                                         (CLAMBDA\MAXDEP CFM))
055                                     (CONTINUATION
056                                         (CONTINUATION\MAXDEP CFM))))
057                             STUFF))))))
058
059 (DEFINE USED-TEMPLOCS
060     (LAMBDA (N)
061         (DO ((J (+ **NUMBER-OF-ARG-REGS** 1) (+ J 1))
062             (X NIL (CONS (TEMPLOC J) X)))
063             ((> J N) (NREVERSE X))))

```

REMARK-ON takes a cnode for a CLAMBDA or CONTINUATION and generates a comment containing pertinent information about invoking that function. This comment will presumably be inserted in the output code to guide the debugging process.

MAP-USER-NAMES takes a list of internal variable names and returns a list of the corresponding user names for the variables, as determined by the USER-NAME property. (If a variable is an internally generated one, e.g. for a continuation, then it will have no USER-NAME property, and the internal name itself is used.)



```

001
002 (DEFINE REMARK-ON
003   (LAMBDA (CNODE)
004     (LET ((CFM (CNODE\CFORM CNODE)))
005       (LABELS ((REMARK1
006                 (LAMBDA (DEP FNP VARS ENV)
007                   "(COMMENT (DEPTH = ,DEP)
008                     (FNP = ,FNP)
009                     @(IF VARS "((VARS = ,(MAP-USER-NAMES VARS))))
010                     @(IF ENV "((ENV = ,(MAP-USER-NAMES ENV))))))))))
011     (EQCASE (TYPE CFM)
012       (CLAMBDA
013         (REMARK1 (CLAMBDA\DEP CFM)
014                 (CLAMBDA\FNP CFM)
015                 (IF (EQ (CLAMBDA\FNP CFM) 'NOCLOSE)
016                     (CLAMBDA\TVARS CFM)
017                     (CLAMBDA\VARS CFM))
018                 (APPEND (CLAMBDA\CLOSEREFS CFM)
019                         (CLAMBDA\CONSENV CFM))))
020       (CONTINUATION
021         (REMARK1 (CONTINUATION\DEP CFM)
022                 (CONTINUATION\FNP CFM)
023                 NIL ;NEVER INTERESTING ANYWAY
024                 (APPEND (CONTINUATION\CLOSEREFS CFM)
025                         (CONTINUATION\CONSENV CFM)))))))))
026
027
028 (DEFINE MAP-USER-NAMES
029   (LAMBDA (VARS)
030     (AMAPCAR (LAMBDA (X) (OR (GET X 'USER-NAME) X)) VARS)))

```

The next few pages contain routines which deal with files. COMFILE takes a file name, and compiles all the code in that file, producing an output file of MacLISP code suitable for giving to the MacLISP compiler. It also computes the CPU time required to compile the file.

FN gets the given file name, processed and defaulted according to ITS/MacLISP standard conventions. RT and GCT save runtime and gc-runtime information.

IFILE and OFILE get MacLISP "file objects" created by the OPEN function, which opens the file specified by its first argument. (The output file names are initially "RABB OUTPUT", conforming to an ITS standard. These will later be renamed.)

\*GLOBAL-GEN-PREFIX\* is initialized as a function of the file name, to "directory=firstname". This is to guarantee that the global symbols generated for two different compiled files of SCHEME code will not conflict should the two files be loaded into the same SCHEME together. (Notice the use of SYMEVAL. This is necessary because MacLISP allows names to be used in two different kinds of contexts, one meaning the "functional" value, and the other meaning the "variable" value. SCHEME does not make this distinction, and tries to make the functional value available, but does not do this consistently. This is a problem which results from a fundamental difference in semantics between SCHEME and MacLISP. For such variables as DEFAULTF and TYO, which in MacLISP are used for both purposes, it is necessary to use SYMEVAL to specify that the variable, rather than the function, is desired.)

(SYMEVAL 'TYO) refers to the file object for the terminal; this is used to print out messages to the user while the file is being compiled. Various information is also printed to the file, including identification and a timestamp. The DECLARE form printed to the output file contains the names of the standard argument registers, and also \*\*ENV\*\*, \*\*FUN\*\*, and \*\*NARGS\*\*. (This is why USED-TEMPLOCS need not generate names of standard argument registers -- this single global declaration covers them.) The second DECLARE form defines to the MacLISP compiler a function called DISPLACE for obscure reasons having to do with the implementation of SCHEME macros.

TRANSDUCE does the primary work of processing the input file. When it is done, another timestamp is printed to the output file, so that the real time consumed can be determined; then the runtime statistics are calculated and printed, along with the number of errors if any occurred. The output file is then renamed as "firstname LISP" and closed. The statistics message is returned so that it will be printed as the last message on the terminal.

```

001
002 (DEFINE COMFILE
003 (LAMBDA (FNAME)
004 (LET ((FN (DEFAULTF (MERGEF FNAME '(* >))))
005 (RT (RUNTIME))
006 (GCT (STATUS GCTIME)))
007 (LET ((IFILE (OPEN FN 'IN))
008 (OFILE (OPEN (MERGEF '(_RABB_ OUTPUT) FN) 'OUT)))
009 (SET' *GLOBAL-GEN-PREFIX*
010 (CATENATE (CADAR (SYMEVAL 'DEFAULTF))
011 '|=|
012 (CADR (SYMEVAL 'DEFAULTF))))
013 (LET ((TN (NAMESTRING (TRUENAME IFILE))))
014 (PRINT "(COMMENT THIS IS THE RABBIT LISP CODE FOR ,TN) OFILE)
015 (TIMESTAMP OFILE)
016 (TERPRI OFILE)
017 (TERPRI (SYMEVAL 'TYO))
018 (PRINC '|;Beginning RABBIT compilation on | (SYMEVAL 'TYO))
019 (PRINC TN (SYMEVAL 'TYO))
020 (PRINT "(DECLARE (SPECIAL @**CONT+ARG-REGS** **ENV** **FUN** **NARGS**))
021 OFILE)
022 (PRINT '(DECLARE (DEFUN DISPLACE (X Y) Y)) OFILE)
023 (ASET' *TESTING* NIL)
024 (ASET' *ERROR-COUNT* 0)
025 (ASET' *ERROR-LIST* NIL)
026 (TRANSDUCE IFILE
027 OFILE
028 (LIST NIL)
029 (CATENATE '|INIT-| (CADR (TRUENAME IFILE))))
030 (TIMESTAMP OFILE)
031 (LET ((X (*QUO (- (RUNTIME) RT) 1.0E6))
032 (Y (*QUO (- (STATUS GCTIME) GCT) 1.0E6)))
033 (LET ((MSG "(COMPILE TIME: ,X SECONDS
034 (GC TIME ,Y SECONDS)
035 (NET ,(-$ X Y) SECONDS)
036 @(IF (NOT (ZEROP *ERROR-COUNT*))
037 "((*ERROR-COUNT* ERRORS))))))
038 (PRINT "(COMMENT ,MSG) OFILE)
039 (RENAMEF OFILE
040 (MERGEF (LIST (CADR FN) 'LISP)
041 FN))
042 (CLOSE OFILE)
043 MSG))))))

```

TRANSDUCE processes forms from IFILE, one by one, calling PROCESS-FORM to do the real work on each one. PROCESS-FORM may print results on OFILE, and may also return a list of "random forms" to be saved.

The business of "random forms" has to do with the fact that the file being compiled may contain forms which are not function definitions. The expectation is that when the file is loaded these forms will be evaluated during the loading process, and this is indeed true if the interpreter loads the original file of source forms.

Now MacLISP provides a facility for evaluating random forms within a compiled file, but they are evaluated as MacLISP forms, not SCHEME forms. To get around this whole problem, I chose another solution. All the random forms in the file are accumulated, and then compiled as the body of a function named "INIT-firstname". In this way, once the compiled code is loaded, the user is expected to say (INIT-firstname) to cause the random forms to be evaluated.

This idea would have worked perfectly except that files typically have a large number of random forms in them (macro definitions create one or two random forms as well as the definition of the macro-function). Putting all the random forms together in a single function often creates a function too big for RABBIT to compile, given PDP-10 memory limitations. The four lines of code in TRANSDUCE for this have therefore been commented out with a ";" at the beginning of each line.

The final solution was to compile each random form as its own function, and arrange for all these little functions to be chained; each one executes one random form and then calls the next. A call to INIT-firstname starts the chain going.

This, then, is the purpose of the big DO loop in TRANSDUCE: to construct all the little functions for the random forms. The third argument to PROCESS-FORM may be NIL, which suppresses the printing of any messages on the terminal; this spares the user having to see tens or hundreds of uninteresting messages concerning the compilation of these initialization functions. However, so that the user will not be dismayed at the long pause, a message saying how many random forms there were is printed first.

READIFY implements the MacLISP convention that if the value of the variable READ is non-nil, then that value is the read-in function to use; while if it is NIL, then the function READ is the read-in function. (This "hook" is the method by which CGOL works, for example.)

```

001
002 (DEFINE TRANSDUCE
003   (LAMBDA (IFILE OFILE EOF INITNAME)
004     (LABELS ((LOOP
005               (LAMBDA (FORM RANDOM-FORMS)
006                 (IF (EQ FORM EOF)
007                     (DO ((X (GENTEMP INITNAME) (GENTEMP INITNAME))
008                         (Y NIL X)
009                         (Z RANDOM-FORMS (CDR Z)))
010                     ((NULL Z)
011                     (IF RANDOM-FORMS
012                         (PRINT "(,(LENGTH RANDOM-FORMS)
013                             RANDOM FORMS IN FILE TO COMPILE)
014                             (SYMEVAL 'TYO)))
015                     (IF Y (PROCESS-FORM "(DECLARE (SPECIAL .Y)
016                             OFILE
017                             T))
018                     (PROCESS-FORM "(DEFINE ,INITNAME
019                             (LAMBDA () ,(IF Y (LIST Y) NIL)))
020                             OFILE
021                             T))
022                     (IF Y (PROCESS-FORM "(DECLARE (SPECIAL .Y)
023                             OFILE
024                             NIL))
025                     (PROCESS-FORM "(DEFINE ,X
026                             (LAMBDA ()
027                                 (BLOCK ,(CAR Z)
028                                     ,(IF Y
029                                         (LIST Y)
030                                         NIL))))
031                             OFILE
032                             NIL))
033                     (PROCESS-FORM
034                       "(DEFINE ,INITNAME
035                       (LAMBDA () (BLOCK @RANDOM-FORMS NIL NIL)))
036                     OFILE)
037                     (LET ((X (PROCESS-FORM FORM OFILE T)))
038                       (LOOP (READIFY IFILE EOF) (NCONC X RANDOM-FORMS))))))
039                   (LOOP (READIFY IFILE EOF) NIL))))
040
041
042 (DEFINE READIFY ;FUNNY MACLISP CONVENTION - READIFY'LL DO THE JOB!
043   (LAMBDA (IFILE EOF)
044     (IF (SYMEVAL 'READ)
045         (APPLY (SYMEVAL 'READ) IFILE EOF)
046         (READ IFILE EOF))))

```

PROCESS-FORM takes a form, an output file, and a switch saying whether to be "noisy". The form is broken down into one of many special cases and processed accordingly. The returned value is a list of "random forms" for TRANSDUCE to save for later handling.

An atom, while pretty useless, is transduced directly to the output file.

A DEFINE-form, which defined a function to be compiled, is given to PROCESS-DEFINE-FORM. This is the interesting case, which we will discuss on the next page.

A special hack handed down from MacLISP is that a form (PROGN 'COMPILE ...) (and, for SCHEME, the analogous (BLOCK 'COMPILE ...)) should be treated as if all the subforms of the PROGN (or BLOCK) after the first should be processed as if they had been read as "top-level" forms from the file. This allows a macro call to generate more than one form to be compiled, for example. It is necessary to accumulate all the results of the calls to PROCESS-FORM so that they may be collectively returned.

A PROCLAIM form contains a set of forms to be evaluated by RABBIT at compile time. The evaluation is accomplished by constructing a LAMBDA form and using the SCHEME primitive ENCLOSE to create a closure, and then invoking the closure. As a special service, the variable OFILE is made apparent to the evaluated form so that it can print information to the output file if desired.

A DECLARE form is meant to be seen by the MacLISP compiler, and so it is passed on directly.

A COMMENT form is simply eliminated. (It could be passed through directly with no harm.)

A DEFUN form is passed directly, for compilation by the MacLISP compiler.

A form which is a macro call is expanded and re-processed. As a special hack, those which are calls to DEFMAC, SCHMAC, or MACRO are also evaluated (MacLISP evaluation serves), so that the defined macro will be available for compiling calls to it later in the file.

Any other form is considered "random", and is returned to TRANSDUCE provided \*BUFFER-RANDOM-FORMS\* is non-NIL. This switch is provided in case it is necessary to force a random form (e.g. an ALLOC form) to be output early in the file. In this case any random forms must be MacLISP-evaluable as well as SCHEME-evaluable. (This requirement is the reason RABBIT has random forms like "(SET' FOO ...)"; SETQ is unacceptable to SCHEME, while ASET' is unacceptable to MacLISP, but SET' happens to work in both languages for setting a global variable.) RABBIT itself sets \*BUFFER-RANDOM-FORMS\* to NIL on page 1 in a PROCLAIM form.

```

001
002 (SET' *OPTIMIZE* T)
003
004 (SET' *BUFFER-RANDOM-FORMS* T)
005
006 (DEFINE PROCESS-FORM
007     (LAMBDA (FORM OFILE NOISYP)
008         (COND ((ATOM FORM)
009             (PRINT FORM OFILE)
010             NIL)
011             ((EQ (CAR FORM) 'DEFINE)
012             (PROCESS-DEFINE-FORM FORM OFILE NOISYP)
013             NIL)
014             ((AND (MEMQ (CAR FORM) '(BLOCK PROGN))
015                 (EQUAL (CADR FORM) ''COMPILE))
016             (DO ((F (CDDR FORM) (CDR F))
017                 (Z NIL (NCONC Z (PROCESS-FORM (CAR F) OFILE NOISYP))))
018                 ((NULL F) Z)))
019             ((EQ (CAR FORM) 'PROCLAIM)
020             (AMAPC (LAMBDA (X) ((ENCLOSE "(LAMBDA (OFILE) ,X)) OFILE))
021                 (CDR FORM))
022             NIL)
023             ((EQ (CAR FORM) 'DECLARE)
024             (PRINT FORM OFILE)
025             NIL)
026             ((EQ (CAR FORM) 'COMMENT)
027             NIL)
028             ((EQ (CAR FORM) 'DEFUN)
029             (PRINT FORM OFILE)
030             NIL)
031             ((AND (ATOM (CAR FORM))
032                 (EQ (GET (CAR FORM) 'AINT) 'AMACRO)
033                 (NOT (EQ (GET (CAR FORM) 'AMACRO) 'AFSUBR)))
034             (IF (MEMQ (CAR FORM) '(DEFMAC SCHMAC MACRO))
035                 (EVAL FORM))
036             (PROCESS-FORM (MACRO-EXPAND FORM) OFILE NOISYP))
037             (T (COND (*BUFFER-RANDOM-FORMS* (LIST FORM))
038                 (T (PRINT FORM OFILE) NIL))))))

```

PROCESS-DEFINE-FORM disambiguates the three DEFINE formats permitted in SCHEME:

```
(DEFINE FOO (LAMBDA (X Y) ...))  
(DEFINE FOO (X Y) ...)  
(DEFINE (FOO X Y) ...)
```

and constructs an appropriate LAMBDA-expression in standard form.

PROCESS-DEFINITION takes this LAMBDA-expression and compiles it, after some error checks. It then cleans up, and if desired prints a message on the console to the effect that the function was compiled successfully.

CLEANUP is used to clear out garbage left around by the compilation process which is no longer needed (but is useful during the compilation, whether for compilation proper or only for debugging should the compilation process fail).

REPLACE has to do with macros which displace calls to them with the expanded forms, but retain enough information to undo this. REPLACE undoes this and throws away the saved information. (The DISPLACE facility is normally turned off anyway, and is used only to make the compiler run faster when it itself is being run under the SCHEME interpreter. This was of great use when RABBIT wasn't running well enough to compile itself!)

GENFLUSH removes from the MacLISP OBARRAY all the temporary generated symbols created by GENTEMP.

The MAPATOMS form removes from every atom on the OBARRAY the properties shown. This takes more time but less space than recording exactly which atoms had such properties created for them.



```

001
002 (DEFINE PROCESS-DEFINE-FORM
003   (LAMBDA (FORM OFILE NOISYP
004     (COND ((ATOM (CADR FORM))
005       (PROCESS-DEFINITION FORM
006         OFILE
007         NOISYP
008         (CADR FORM)
009         (IF (NULL (CADDR FORM))
010           (CADDR FORM)
011           "(LAMBDA ,(CADDR FORM)
012             (BLOCK . ,(CADDR FORM))))))
013       (T (PROCESS-DEFINITION FORM
014         OFILE
015         NOISYP
016         (CAADR FORM)
017         "(LAMBDA ,(CADR FORM)
018           (BLOCK . ,(CADDR FORM)))))))))
019
020 (DEFINE PROCESS-DEFINITION
021   (LAMBDA (FORM OFILE NOISYP NAME LAMBDA-EXP)
022     (COND ((NOT (EQ (TYPEP NAME) 'SYMBOL))
023       (WARN |Function Name Not SYMBOL| NAME FORM))
024     ((OR (NOT (EQ (CAR LAMBDA-EXP) 'LAMBDA))
025       (AND (ATOM (CADR LAMBDA-EXP))
026         (NOT (NULL (CADR LAMBDA-EXP))))))
027     (WARN |Malformed LAMBDA-expression| LAMBDA-EXP FORM))
028     (T (PRINT (COMPILE NAME
029       LAMBDA-EXP
030       NIL
031       *OPTIMIZE*)
032       OFILE)
033     (CLEANUP)
034     (IF NOISYP
035       (PRINT (LIST NAME 'COMPILED)
036         (SYMEVAL 'TYO))))))
037
038 (DEFINE CLEANUP
039   (LAMBDA ()
040     (BLOCK (REPLACE)
041       (GENFLUSH)
042       (MAPATOMS '(LAMBDA (X)
043         (REMPROP X 'READ-REFS)
044         (REMPROP X 'WRITE-REFS)
045         (REMPROP X 'NODE)
046         (REMPROP X 'BINDING)
047         (REMPROP X 'USER-NAME)
048         (REMPROP X 'KNOWN-FUNCTION)
049         (REMPROP X 'EASY-LABELS-FUNCTION))))))

```

SEXPRFY and CSEXPRFY are debugging aids which take a node-tree or cnode-tree and produce a fairly readable S-expression version of the code it represents. They are used by the SX and CSX macros defined earlier. The USERP switch for SEXPRFY specifies whether internal variables names or user variable names should be used in the construction.

```

001
002   ::: INVERSE OF ALPHATIZE.  USED BY SX, E.G., FOR DEBUGGING.
003
004   (DEFINE SEXPRFY
005     (LAMBDA (NODE USERP)
006       (LET ((FM (NODE\FORM NODE)))
007         (EQCASE (TYPE FM)
008           (CONSTANT "(QUOTE ,(CONSTANT\VALUE FM)))
009           (VARIABLE (IF (AND USERP (NOT (VARIABLE\GLOBALP FM)))
010             (GET (VARIABLE\VAR FM) 'USER-NAME)
011             (VARIABLE\VAR FM)))
012           (LAMBDA "(LAMBDA ,(IF USERP (LAMBDA\UVARs FM) (LAMBDA\VARS FM))
013             ,(SEXPRFY (LAMBDA\BODY FM) USERP)))
014           (IF "(IF ,(SEXPRFY (IF\PRED FM) USERP)
015             ,(SEXPRFY (IF\CON FM) USERP)
016             ,(SEXPRFY (IF\ALT FM) USERP)))
017           (ASET "(ASET' ,(IF (AND USERP (NOT (ASET\GLOBALP FM)))
018             (GET (ASET\VAR FM) 'USER-NAME)
019             (ASET\VAR FM)
020             ,(SEXPRFY (ASET\BODY FM) USERP)))
021           (CATCH "(CATCH ,(IF USERP
022             (GET (CATCH\VAR FM) 'USER-NAME)
023             (CATCH\VAR FM)
024             ,(SEXPRFY (CATCH\BODY FM) USERP)))
025           (LABELS "(LABELS ,(AMAPCAR (LAMBDA (V D) "(.(IF USERP
026             (GET V 'USER-NAME)
027             V)
028             ,(SEXPRFY D USERP)))
029             (LABELS\FNVARs FM)
030             (LABELS\FNDEFs FM)
031             ,(SEXPRFY (LABELS\BODY FM) USERP)))
032           (COMBINATION
033             (AMAPCAR (LAMBDA (A) (SEXPRFY A USERP))
034               (COMBINATION\ARGS FM))))))
035
036   (DEFINE CSEXPRFY
037     (LAMBDA (CNODE)
038       (LET ((CFM (CNODE\CFORM CNODE)))
039         (EQCASE (TYPE CFM)
040           (TRIVIAL "(TRIVIAL ,(SEXPRFY (TRIVIAL\NODE CFM) NIL)))
041           (CVARIABLE (CVARIABLE\VAR CFM))
042           (CLAMBDA "(CLAMBDA ,(CLAMBDA\VARS CFM)
043             ,(CSEXPRFY (CLAMBDA\BODY CFM)))
044           (CONTINUATION
045             "(CONTINUATION ,(CONTINUATION\VAR CFM)
046             ,(CSEXPRFY (CONTINUATION\BODY CFM)))
047           (CIF "(CIF ,(CSEXPRFY (CIF\PRED CFM))
048             ,(CSEXPRFY (CIF\CON CFM))
049             ,(CSEXPRFY (CIF\ALT CFM)))
050           (CASET "(CASET' ,(CSEXPRFY (CASET\CONT CFM))
051             ,(CASET\VAR CFM)
052             ,(CSEXPRFY (CASET\BODY CFM)))
053           (CLABELS "(CLABELS ,(AMAPCAR (LAMBDA (V D) "(.(V
054             ,(CSEXPRFY D)))
055             (CLABELS\FNVARs CFM)
056             (CLABELS\FNDEFs CFM))
057             ,(CSEXPRFY (CLABELS\BODY CFM)))
058           (CCOMBINATION
059             (AMAPCAR CSEXPRFY (CCOMBINATION\ARGS CFM)))
060           (RETURN
061             "(RETURN ,(CSEXPRFY (RETURN\CONT CFM))
062             ,(CSEXPRFY (RETURN\VAL CFM))))))

```

CHECK-NUMBER-OF-ARGS is used by COMPILE and ALPHA-COMBINATION to make sure that function calls and definitions agree on the number of arguments taken by a function. If a mismatch is detected, a warning is issued. This check frequently catches various typographical errors. The argument DEFP is NIL unless this call is on behalf of a definition rather than a call. The DEFINED property is used only so that a more comprehensive warning may be given.

\*EXPR and \*LEXPR are two special forms suitable for use in a PROCLAIM form for declaring that certain names refer to MacLISP functions rather than SCHEME functions. An example, for PRINT-SHORT, occurs on page 1 of RABBIT.

DUMPIT establishes a simple user interface for RABBIT. After loading a compiled RABBIT into a SCHEME run-time system, the call (DUMPIT) initializes the RABBIT, then suspends the MacLISP environment, with an argument which is an ITS command for dumping the core image. When this core image is later loaded and resumed, DUMPIT prints "FILE NAME:" and reads a line. All the user need do is type a file name and a carriage return to compile the file. When this is done, the call to QUIT kills the RABBIT job.

STATS prints out statistics accumulated in the counters listed in \*STAT-VARS\*. RESET-STATS resets all the counters.

```

001
002 (DEFINE CHECK-NUMBER-OF-ARGS
003     (LAMBDA (NAME NARGS DEFP)
004         (OR (GETL NAME '(*LEXPR LSUBR))
005             (LET ((N (GET NAME 'NUMBER-OF-ARGS)))
006                 (IF N
007                     (IF (NOT (= N NARGS))
008                         (IF DEFP
009                             (WARN |definition disagrees with earlier use on number of args|
010                                 NAME
011                                 NARGS
012                                 N)
013                             (IF (GET NAME 'DEFINED)
014                                 (WARN |use disagrees with definition on number of args|
015                                     NAME
016                                     NARGS
017                                     N)
018                                 (WARN |two uses disagree before definition on number of args|
019                                     NAME
020                                     NARGS
021                                     N))))
022                     (PUTPROP NAME NARGS 'NUMBER-OF-ARGS))
023                 (IF DEFP (PUTPROP NAME 'T 'DEFINED))))))
024
025
026 (DEFUN *EXPR FEXPR (X)
027     (MAPCAR '(LAMBDA (Y) (PUTPROP Y 'T '*EXPR)) X))
028
029 (DEFPROP *EXPR AFSUBR AMACRO) (DEFPROP *EXPR AMACRO AINT)
030
031 (DEFUN *LEXPR FEXPR (X)
032     (MAPCAR '(LAMBDA (Y) (PUTPROP Y 'T '*LEXPR)) X))
033
034 (DEFPROP *LEXPR AFSUBR AMACRO) (DEFPROP *LEXPR AMACRO AINT)
035
036
037 (DEFINE DUMPIT
038     (LAMBDA ()
039         (BLOCK (INIT-RABBIT)
040             (SUSPEND '|:PDUMP DSK:SCHEME;TS RABBIT|)
041             (TERPRI)
042             (PRINC '|File name: |)
043             (COMFILE (READLINE))
044             (QUIT))))
045
046 (DEFINE STATS
047     (LAMBDA ()
048         (AMAPC (LAMBDA (VAR)
049                 (BLOCK (TERPRI)
050                     (PRIN1 VAR)
051                     (PRINC '| = |)
052                     (PRIN1 (SYMEVAL VAR))))
053                 *STAT-VARS*))
054
055 (DEFINE RESET-STATS
056     (LAMBDA () (AMAPC (LAMBDA (VAR) (SET VAR 0)) *STAT-VARS*)))

```

*	SIDE EFFECTS	017 005
*EXPR	FEXPR	071 026
*EXPR	PROPERTY	071 027
*EXPR	AMACRO	071 029
*EXPR	AIN	071 029
*LEXPR	FEXPR	071 031
*LEXPR	PROPERTY	071 032
*LEXPR	AMACRO	071 034
*LEXPR	AIN	071 034
+	SIDE EFFECTS	017 003
-	SIDE EFFECTS	017 004
/	SIDE EFFECTS	017 006
<	SIDE EFFECTS	017 008
=	SIDE EFFECTS	017 007
>	SIDE EFFECTS	017 009
ACCESSFN	@DEFINE	004 002
ACCESSFN	MACLISP MACRO	004 004
ADDPROP	SCHEME FUNCTION	006 004
ADJOIN	SCHEME FUNCTION	006 029
ADJUST-KNOWNFN-CENV	SCHEME FUNCTION	052 002
AIN	PROPERTY	071 029
AIN	PROPERTY	071 034
ALPHA-ASET	SCHEME FUNCTION	010 010
ALPHA-ATOM	SCHEME FUNCTION	009 032
ALPHA-BLOCK	SCHEME FUNCTION	011 011
ALPHA-CATCH	SCHEME FUNCTION	010 029
ALPHA-COMBINATION	SCHEME FUNCTION	011 037
ALPHA-IF	SCHEME FUNCTION	010 002
ALPHA-LABELS	SCHEME FUNCTION	010 040
ALPHA-LABELS-DEFN	SCHEME FUNCTION	011 002
ALPHA-LAMBDA	SCHEME FUNCTION	009 042
ALPHATIZE	SCHEME FUNCTION	009 005
AMACRO	PROPERTY	071 029
AMACRO	PROPERTY	071 034
ANALYZE	SCHEME FUNCTION	058 002
ANALYZE-COMBINATION	SCHEME FUNCTION	060 003
ANALYZE-CIF	SCHEME FUNCTION	059 002
ANALYZE-CLABELS	SCHEME FUNCTION	059 025
ANALYZE-CLAMBDA	SCHEME FUNCTION	058 023
ANALYZE-CONTINUATION	SCHEME FUNCTION	058 040
ANALYZE-RETURN	SCHEME FUNCTION	060 033
APPEND	SIDE EFFECTS	017 057
ASET	DATA TYPE	008 042
ASK	PDP-10 SCHEME MACRO	003 024
ASSQ	SIDE EFFECTS	017 059
ATOM	SIDE EFFECTS	017 045
BIGP	SIDE EFFECTS	017 052
BIND-ANALYZE	SCHEME FUNCTION	034 030
BIND-ANALYZE-CASET	SCHEME FUNCTION	035 040
BIND-ANALYZE-COMBINATION	SCHEME FUNCTION	037 002
BIND-ANALYZE-CIF	SCHEME FUNCTION	035 030
BIND-ANALYZE-CLABELS	SCHEME FUNCTION	036 002
BIND-ANALYZE-CLAMBDA	SCHEME FUNCTION	035 002
BIND-ANALYZE-CONTINUATION	SCHEME FUNCTION	035 016
BIND-ANALYZE-RETURN	SCHEME FUNCTION	036 033
BIND-COMBINATION-ANALYZE	SCHEME FUNCTION	037 043
CAAAAR	SIDE EFFECTS	017 024
CAAADR	SIDE EFFECTS	017 025
CAAAAR	SIDE EFFECTS	017 016
CAADAR	SIDE EFFECTS	017 026
CAADDR	SIDE EFFECTS	017 027
CAADR	SIDE EFFECTS	017 017
CAAR	SIDE EFFECTS	017 012
CADAAR	SIDE EFFECTS	017 028
CADADR	SIDE EFFECTS	017 029
CADAR	SIDE EFFECTS	017 018

CADDAR	SIDE EFFECTS	017 030
CADDR	SIDE EFFECTS	017 031
CADDR	SIDE EFFECTS	017 019
CADR	SIDE EFFECTS	017 013
CAR	SIDE EFFECTS	017 010
CASET	DATA TYPE	026 039
CATCH	DATA TYPE	008 046
CATENATE	MACLISP MACRO	002 016
CCOMBINATION	DATA TYPE	026 047
CDAAR	SIDE EFFECTS	017 032
CDAADR	SIDE EFFECTS	017 033
CDAAR	SIDE EFFECTS	017 020
CDADAR	SIDE EFFECTS	017 034
CDADDR	SIDE EFFECTS	017 035
CDADR	SIDE EFFECTS	017 021
CDAR	SIDE EFFECTS	017 014
CDDAAR	SIDE EFFECTS	017 036
CDDADR	SIDE EFFECTS	017 037
CDDAR	SIDE EFFECTS	017 022
CDDAR	SIDE EFFECTS	017 038
CDDDDR	SIDE EFFECTS	017 039
CDDDR	SIDE EFFECTS	017 023
CDDR	SIDE EFFECTS	017 015
CDR	SIDE EFFECTS	017 011
CENV-ANALYZE	SCHEME FUNCTION	032 018
CENV-CCOMBINATION-ANALYZE	SCHEME FUNCTION	033 028
CENV-TRIV-ANALYZE	SCHEME FUNCTION	033 004
CHECK-COMBINATION-PEFFS	SCHEME FUNCTION	016 002
CHECK-NUMBER-OF-ARGS	SCHEME FUNCTION	071 002
CIF	DATA TYPE	026 038
CL	PDP-10 SCHEME MACRO	007 049
CLABELS	DATA TYPE	026 040
CLAMBDA	DATA TYPE	026 017
CLEANUP	SCHEME FUNCTION	069 038
CLOBBER	MACLISP MACRO	004 025
CLOSE-ANALYZE	SCHEME FUNCTION	040 002
CNAME	MACLISP MACRO	004 015
CNODE	DATA TYPE	026 007
CNODIFY	SCHEME FUNCTION	027 002
COMBINATION	DATA TYPE	008 055
COMFILE	SCHEME FUNCTION	066 002
COMP-BODY	SCHEME FUNCTION	045 006
COMPILATE	SCHEME FUNCTION	041 018
COMPILATE-LOOP	SCHEME FUNCTION	064 017
COMPILATE-ONE-FUNCTION	SCHEME FUNCTION	064 002
COMPILE	SCHEME FUNCTION	007 010
COMPONENT-NAMES	PROPERTY	005 060
CONDICATE	SCHEME FUNCTION	062 004
CONS	SIDE EFFECTS	017 055
CONS-CLOSEREFS	SCHEME FUNCTION	061 011
CONSTANT	DATA TYPE	008 028
CONTINUATION	DATA TYPE	026 036
CONVERT	SCHEME FUNCTION	027 006
CONVERT-ASET	SCHEME FUNCTION	029 002
CONVERT-CATCH	SCHEME FUNCTION	029 024
CONVERT-COMBINATION	SCHEME FUNCTION	031 014
CONVERT-IF	SCHEME FUNCTION	028 024
CONVERT-LABELS	SCHEME FUNCTION	030 006
CONVERT-LAMBDA-FM	SCHEME FUNCTION	028 009
COPY-CODE	SCHEME FUNCTION	025 002
COPY-NODES	SCHEME FUNCTION	025 007
CSEXPRFY	SCHEME FUNCTION	070 036
CSX	MACLISP MACRO	003 029
CVARIABLE	DATA TYPE	026 015
CXR	SIDE EFFECTS	017 040
DECARCDRATE	SCHEME FUNCTION	062 015
DEFINE	@DEFINE	001 062

DEFMAC	@DEFINE	001 063
DEFTYPE	@DEFINE	005 002
DEFTYPE	MACLISP MACRO	005 008
DELPROP	SCHEME FUNCTION	006 012
DEPROGNIFY	MACLISP MACRO	042 005
DEPROGNIFY1	SCHEME FUNCTION	042 009
DEPTH-ANALYZE	SCHEME FUNCTION	038 010
DISPLACE	EXPR	001 006
DUMPIT	SCHEME FUNCTION	071 037
EFFDEF	@DEFINE	016 039
EFFDEF	MACLISP MACRO	016 034
EFFECTLESS	SCHEME FUNCTION	023 036
EFFECTLESS-EXCEPT-CONS	SCHEME FUNCTION	023 039
EFFS-ANALYZE	SCHEME FUNCTION	014 006
EFFS-ANALYZE-COMBINATION	SCHEME FUNCTION	015 031
EFFS-ANALYZE-IF	SCHEME FUNCTION	015 010
EFFS-INTERSECT	SCHEME FUNCTION	023 028
EFFS-UNION	SCHEME FUNCTION	015 002
EMPTY	SCHEME FUNCTION	002 005
ENV-ANALYZE	SCHEME FUNCTION	012 018
ENVCARCDR	SCHEME FUNCTION	043 002
EQ	SIDE EFFECTS	017 044
EQCASE	MACLISP MACRO	003 032
ERASE-ALL-NODES	MACLISP MACRO	018 005
ERASE-NODE	MACLISP MACRO	018 004
ERASE-NODES	SCHEME FUNCTION	018 007
FILTER-CLOSEREFS	SCHEME FUNCTION	039 030
FIXP	SIDE EFFECTS	017 050
FLOATP	SIDE EFFECTS	017 051
FN	FN-SIDE-EFFECTS	016 035
FN	FN-SIDE-AFFECTED	016 036
FN	OKAY-TO-FOLD	016 037
FN-SIDE-AFFECTED	PROPERTY	016 036
FN-SIDE-EFFECTS	PROPERTY	016 035
GEN-GLOBAL-NAME	SCHEME FUNCTION	002 041
GENFLUSH	SCHEME FUNCTION	002 036
GENTEMP	SCHEME FUNCTION	002 030
HUNKFN	@DEFINE	004 028
HUNKFN	MACLISP MACRO	004 030
HUNKP	SIDE EFFECTS	017 049
IF	DATA TYPE	008 038
INCREMENT	MACLISP MACRO	002 014
INTERSECT	SCHEME FUNCTION	006 039
LABELS	DATA TYPE	008 050
LAMBDA	DATA TYPE	008 034
LAMBDA-CATE	SCHEME FUNCTION	054 006
LIST	SIDE EFFECTS	017 056
LOOKUPICATE	SCHEME FUNCTION	061 002
MACRO	@DEFINE	001 065
MACRO-EXPAND	SCHEME FUNCTION	011 026
MAKE-RETURN	SCHEME FUNCTION	028 002
MAP-USER-NAMES	SCHEME FUNCTION	065 028
MAPANALYZE	SCHEME FUNCTION	057 023
MEMQ	SIDE EFFECTS	017 058
META-COMBINATION-LAMBDA	SCHEME FUNCTION	021 007
META-COMBINATION-TRIVFN	SCHEME FUNCTION	020 037
META-EVALUATE	SCHEME FUNCTION	019 007
META-IF-FUDGE	SCHEME FUNCTION	020 010
META-SUBSTITUTE	SCHEME FUNCTION	024 009
NAME	MACLISP MACRO	004 011
NAME	ACCESS MACRO	004 031
NAME	COMPONENT-NAMES	005 060
NAME	SUPPRESSED-COMPONENT-NAMES	005 061
NODE	DATA TYPE	008 012
MODIFY	SCHEME FUNCTION	008 059
NOT	SIDE EFFECTS	017 053
NULL	SIDE EFFECTS	017 054



NUMBERP	SIDE EFFECTS	017 046
OKAY-TO-FOLD	PROPERTY	016 037
OUTPUT-ASET	SCHEME FUNCTION	061 017
PAIRLIS	SCHEME FUNCTION	007 002
PASS1-ANALYZE	SCHEME FUNCTION	007 041
PASSABLE	SCHEME FUNCTION	023 042
PRIN1	SIDE EFFECTS	017 061
PRINC	SIDE EFFECTS	017 062
PRINT	SIDE EFFECTS	017 060
PRINT-SHORT	EXPR	003 016
PRINT-WARNING	SCHEME FUNCTION	003 005
PROCESS-DEFINE-FORM	SCHEME FUNCTION	069 002
PROCESS-DEFINITION	SCHEME FUNCTION	069 020
PROCESS-FORM	SCHEME FUNCTION	068 006
PRODUCE-ASET	SCHEME FUNCTION	046 003
PRODUCE-COMBINATION	SCHEME FUNCTION	051 002
PRODUCE-COMBINATION-VARIABLE	SCHEME FUNCTION	051 026
PRODUCE-CONTINUATION-RETURN	SCHEME FUNCTION	052 023
PRODUCE-IF	SCHEME FUNCTION	045 042
PRODUCE-LABELS	SCHEME FUNCTION	047 003
PRODUCE-LAMBDA-COMBINATION	SCHEME FUNCTION	048 003
PRODUCE-RETURN	SCHEME FUNCTION	053 002
PRODUCE-RETURN-1	SCHEME FUNCTION	053 021
PRODUCE-TRIVFN-COMBINATION	SCHEME FUNCTION	049 002
PRODUCE-TRIVFN-COMBINATION-CONTINUATION	SCHEME FUNCTION	049 023
PRODUCE-TRIVFN-COMBINATION-CVARIABLE	SCHEME FUNCTION	050 002
PSETQ-ARGS	SCHEME FUNCTION	057 002
PSETQ-ARGS-ENV	SCHEME FUNCTION	057 006
PSETQ-TEMPS	SCHEME FUNCTION	057 013
PSETQIFY	SCHEME FUNCTION	055 005
PSETQIFY-METHOD-2	SCHEME FUNCTION	055 012
PSETQIFY-METHOD-3	SCHEME FUNCTION	056 002
READ	SIDE EFFECTS	017 065
READIFY	SCHEME FUNCTION	067 042
REANALYZE1	SCHEME FUNCTION	023 002
REFD-VARS	SCHEME FUNCTION	034 053
REGSLIST	SCHEME FUNCTION	044 004
REMARK-ON	SCHEME FUNCTION	065 002
REMOVE	SCHEME FUNCTION	006 047
RESET-STATS	SCHEME FUNCTION	071 055
RETURN	DATA TYPE	026 049
RPLACA	SIDE EFFECTS	017 041
RPLACD	SIDE EFFECTS	017 042
RPLACX	SIDE EFFECTS	017 043
SCHMAC	@DEFINE	001 064
SET-UP-ASETVARS	SCHEME FUNCTION	044 032
SETDIFF	SCHEME FUNCTION	006 058
SETPROP	SCHEME FUNCTION	006 018
SEXPRFY	SCHEME FUNCTION	070 004
STATS	SCHEME FUNCTION	071 046
SUBST-CANDIDATE	SCHEME FUNCTION	022 006
SUPPRESSED-COMPONENT-NAMES	PROPERTY	005 061
SX	MACLISP MACRO	003 028
SYMBOLP	SIDE EFFECTS	017 048
TEMPLOC	SCHEME FUNCTION	042 029
TERPRI	SIDE EFFECTS	017 063
TEST-COMPILE	SCHEME FUNCTION	007 051
TRANSDUCE	SCHEME FUNCTION	067 002
TRIV-ANALYZE	SCHEME FUNCTION	013 012
TRIV-ANALYZE-FN-P	SCHEME FUNCTION	013 056
TRIV-LAMBDA-CATE	SCHEME FUNCTION	063 033
TRIVFN	SCHEME FUNCTION	002 009
TRIVIAL	DATA TYPE	026 013
TRIVIALIZE	SCHEME FUNCTION	063 002
TYI	SIDE EFFECTS	017 066
TYO	SIDE EFFECTS	017 064
TYPE	HUNK ACCESS MACRO	005 006

TYPEP .....	SIDE EFFECTS .....	017 047
UNION .....	SCHEME FUNCTION .....	006 033
USED-TEMPLOCS .....	SCHEME FUNCTION .....	064 059
VARIABLE .....	DATA TYPE .....	008 030
WARN .....	MACLISP MACRO .....	003 002